



**International  
Standard**

**ISO 19983**

**Rubber — Determination of  
precision of test methods**

*Caoutchouc — Détermination de la fidélité des méthodes d'essai*

**Third edition  
2024-06**

iTeh Standards  
(<https://standards.itih.ai>)  
Document Preview

[ISO 19983:2024](https://standards.itih.ai/catalog/standards/iso/0ef80eb2-d701-4dfe-8de0-5815f6a3b04f/iso-19983-2024)

<https://standards.itih.ai/catalog/standards/iso/0ef80eb2-d701-4dfe-8de0-5815f6a3b04f/iso-19983-2024>

iTeh Standards  
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)  
Document Preview

ISO 19983:2024

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/0ef80eb2-d701-4dfe-8de0-5815f6a3b04f/iso-19983-2024>



**COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT**

© ISO 2024

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office  
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8  
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva  
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11  
Email: [copyright@iso.org](mailto:copyright@iso.org)  
Website: [www.iso.org](http://www.iso.org)

Published in Switzerland

# Contents

	Page
<b>Foreword</b> .....	<b>iv</b>
<b>Introduction</b> .....	<b>v</b>
<b>1 Scope</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Normative references</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>3 Terms and definitions</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>4 Symbols</b> .....	<b>2</b>
<b>5 Interlaboratory test programme</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>6 Procedures</b> .....	<b>3</b>
6.1 Application.....	3
6.2 Repeatability conditions.....	4
6.3 Day-to-day repeatability conditions.....	4
6.4 Reproducibility conditions.....	4
6.5 Testing elements.....	4
6.6 Planning.....	4
6.7 Methodology.....	5
6.7.1 Method A.....	5
6.7.2 Method B.....	5
6.7.3 Method A versus Method B — Day-to-day repeatability value.....	6
6.7.4 Method A versus Method B — Number of replicates.....	6
6.8 Detection of outliers.....	6
6.9 Treatment of outliers.....	6
<b>7 Report</b> .....	<b>8</b>
<b>Annex A (normative) Calculations for Method A</b> .....	<b>9</b>
<b>Annex B (normative) Calculations for Method B</b> .....	<b>12</b>
<b>Annex C (normative) Calculating the <i>h</i> and <i>k</i> values (Mandel's statistics)</b> .....	<b>13</b>
<b>Annex D (informative) Example of general precision determination</b> .....	<b>15</b>
<b>Annex E (informative) Guidance for using precision results</b> .....	<b>20</b>
<b>Annex F (informative) Example of outlier treatment for Method A</b> .....	<b>22</b>
<b>Annex G (informative) An example of outlier treatment for Method B</b> .....	<b>28</b>
<b>Bibliography</b> .....	<b>37</b>

## Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see [www.iso.org/directives](http://www.iso.org/directives)).

ISO draws attention to the possibility that the implementation of this document may involve the use of (a) patent(s). ISO takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, ISO had not received notice of (a) patent(s) which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at [www.iso.org/patents](http://www.iso.org/patents). ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see [www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html](http://www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html).

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 45, *Rubber and rubber products*, Subcommittee SC 2, *Testing and analysis*.

This third edition cancels and replaces the second edition (ISO 19983:2022), which has been technically revised.

The main changes are as follows:

- [Annex F](#) has been modified to provide an example of outlier treatment for Method A;
- previous Annex F has been changed to [Annex G](#);
- a comparison of Method A and Method B is shown in [6.7.3](#) and [6.7.4](#).

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at [www.iso.org/members.html](http://www.iso.org/members.html).

## Introduction

The procedures used for several years for estimating precision of test methods by means of interlaboratory tests (ISO/TR 9272<sup>1)</sup>) were closely related to ASTM D4483. ISO/TR 9272 was found to have serious flaws which users were using work-arounds to counteract. This document has therefore been developed to replace ISO/TR 9272 and includes using ISO 5725-1 and ISO 5725-2 with specific choices and variations of procedures to suit the particular requirements of rubbers.

This document provides two methods for determining the precision values of a test method:

- Method A based on ISO 5725-1, ISO 5725-2 and ISO 5725-3 to calculate repeatability, day-to-day repeatability, and reproducibility;
- Method B based on ASTM D4483 to calculate day-to-day repeatability and reproducibility.

# iTeh Standards (<https://standards.iteh.ai>) Document Preview

[ISO 19983:2024](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/0ef80eb2-d701-4dfe-8de0-5815f6a3b04f/iso-19983-2024)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/0ef80eb2-d701-4dfe-8de0-5815f6a3b04f/iso-19983-2024>

---

1) Cancelled and replaced by ISO 19983:2017.



# Rubber — Determination of precision of test methods

## 1 Scope

This document provides guidelines and specifies requirements for estimating the precision of rubber test methods by means of interlaboratory test programmes based on the procedures given in:

- Method A using ISO 5725-1, ISO 5725-2 and ISO 5725-3;
- Method B using ASTM D4483.

## 2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 3534-1, *Statistics — Vocabulary and symbols — Part 1: General statistical terms and terms used in probability*

ISO 3534-2, *Statistics — Vocabulary and symbols — Part 2: Applied statistics*

ISO 5725-1:2023, *Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results — Part 1: General principles and definitions*

ISO 5725-2, *Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results — Part 2: Basic method for the determination of repeatability and reproducibility of a standard measurement method*

ISO 5725-3, *Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results — Part 3: Intermediate measures of the precision of a standard measurement method*

ASTM D4483, *Standard Practice for Determining Precision for Test Method Standards in the Rubber and Carbon Black Industries*

## 3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 3534-1, ISO 3534-2, ISO 5725-1, ISO 5725-2, ISO 5725-3, ASTM D4483, and the following apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <https://www.electropedia.org/>

### 3.1 day-to-day repeatability

precision under the conditions where independent test results are obtained with the same method on identical test items in the same laboratory by the same operator using the same equipment

Note 1 to entry: The time interval between repeated tests is normally between one and seven days.

3.2

**type 1 precision**

precision determined directly on a target material

Note 1 to entry: Prepared test pieces or test portions of the target material (class of elements) drawn from a homogeneous source are tested, with no processing or other operations required prior to testing.

3.3

**type 2 precision**

precision determined indirectly for a target material

Note 1 to entry: The target material is usually combined with a number of homogeneous ancillary materials to form a composite material and testing is conducted on samples of this and the property response of the target material is determined.

3.4

**pooled standard deviation**

square root of the average variance of a set of selected individual variances

Note 1 to entry: The pooled standard deviation, as well as the average variance, is intended as an overall or general descriptor of some set of variances and their standard deviations.

**4 Symbols**

$D_{ij}$	day-to-day effect, the day-to-day variance component of which is $\sigma_D^2$
$h$ values	Mandel's between-laboratory consistency test statistic
$k$ values	Mandel's within-laboratory consistency test statistic
$L_i$	between-laboratory effect, the between-laboratory variance component of which is $\sigma_L^2$
$M_{ijk}$	repeatability effect, the repeatability variance component of which is $\sigma_M^2$
$n$	number of measurements
$p$	number of laboratories
$q$	number of days
$r$	repeatability
$r_{DA}$	day-to-day repeatability as determined from method A calculations
$r_{DB}$	day-to-day repeatability as determined from method B calculations
$R$	reproducibility
$(r)$	relative repeatability
$(r_{DA})$	relative day-to-day repeatability as determined from method A calculations
$(r_{DB})$	relative day-to-day repeatability as determined from method B calculations
$(R)$	relative reproducibility
$s_M^2$	repeatability variance
$s_{rD}^2$	day-to-day repeatability variance as determined from method A calculations
$s_R^2$	reproducibility variance
$s_D^2$	day-to-day variance as determined from method B calculations
$s_L^2$	between-laboratory variance
$s$	standard deviation of data
$s_r$	repeatability standard deviation
$s_{rD}$	day-to-day repeatability standard deviation as determined from method A calculations
$s_R$	reproducibility standard deviation
$s_D$	day-to-day repeatability standard deviation as determined from method B calculations
$SS_T$	total sum of squares



$SS_L$	between-laboratory sum of squares
$SS_D$	day-to-day sum of squares
$SS_M$	repeatability sum of squares
$T$	total sum of data
$V_L$	between-laboratory mean square
$V_D$	day-to-day mean square
$V_M$	repeatability mean square
$y_{ijk}$	data $i, j, k$ : each data of laboratory, day, repeat
$\bar{y}$	mean value of data
$\bar{\bar{y}}$	mean value of $\bar{y}$
$\phi_T$	total degree of freedom
$\phi_L$	between-laboratory degree of freedom
$\phi_D$	day-to-day degree of freedom
$\phi_M$	repeatability degree of freedom
$\mu$	population mean
$\sigma_M^2$	repeatability variance component
$\sigma_D^2$	day-to-day variance component
$\sigma_L^2$	between-laboratory variance component

NOTE The symbols  $r_{DB}$  and  $(r_{DB})$  in this document are the same as  $r$  and  $(r)$ , respectively, in ASTM D4483.

## 5 Interlaboratory test programme

To evaluate precision for test method standards by means of interlaboratory test programmes (ITPs), use either one of the two methods:

- Method A, where three precisions, namely the repeatability, the day-to-day repeatability and the reproducibility, are calculated in accordance with ISO 5725-3;
- Method B, where two precisions, namely the day-to-day repeatability and the reproducibility, are calculated in accordance with ASTM D4483.

NOTE If two or more results are available from within-a-day repeated tests, Method A is applicable to evaluate the variance of measurement errors.

## 6 Procedures

### 6.1 Application

A standard measurement method is taken to mean an established international test method for rubber.

A determination of the precision of a test method is normally conducted with a selected group of materials typical of those used with that method, and by a group of volunteer laboratories that have experience of the method.

Caution is necessary in applying precision results for a particular test method to product testing for commercial product accepted procedures. For this purpose, the precision estimates should be obtained from special programmes that are specific to the product in question and carried out by the interested laboratories.

## 6.2 Repeatability conditions

Repeatability conditions are where independent test results are obtained with the same method on identical test items in the same laboratory by the same operator using the same equipment within short intervals of time.

NOTE “Short interval of time” indicates that tests are repeated within a day, when the time needed to complete a test allows repeating the test within the same day.

"Identical test items" is interpreted as nominally identical, i.e. no intentional differences.

For rubbers, repeatability can be dependent on the magnitude or level of the measured property and is usually reported for each of several materials having particular property levels.

## 6.3 Day-to-day repeatability conditions

Day-to-day repeatability conditions are where independent test results are obtained with the same method on identical test items in the same laboratory by the same operator using the same equipment.

The “intervals of time” between repeated measurements of test results may be selected by the consensus of a particular testing community. For the international rubber manufacturing industry, the time interval between repeat tests is of the order of one to seven days, but most commonly seven days. For special tests (long ageing periods), however, replicate tests can require a longer time span.

NOTE The “repeatability” traditionally used is equivalent to the day-to-day repeatability defined in this document.

## 6.4 Reproducibility conditions

Reproducibility conditions are where test results are obtained with the same method on identical test items in different laboratories with different operators using different equipment.

"Identical test items" is interpreted as nominally identical, i.e. no intentional differences.

Different equipment means apparatus that can have different manufacturers but complies with the requirements of the test standard in question, including calibration.

For rubbers, reproducibility can possibly be dependent on the magnitude or level of the measured property and is usually reported for each of several materials having particular property levels.

## 6.5 Testing elements

The element that is tested is either a test piece or a test sample as defined in the test method standard. The test method standard will also define the number of test elements to be tested to obtain a result for the property.

## 6.6 Planning

Select either type 1 precision or type 2 precision as defined in [3.2](#) and [3.3](#).

It is possible that a type 1 precision programme can be conducted on test pieces or portions that require some minimum processing or other simple operations prior to actual testing.

Unless circumstances dictate otherwise, using type 1 precision is preferred.

For type 1 precision, the test pieces or test samples need to be produced from the same lot of material by the same procedures and then stored and conditioned in the same manner, in order to be nominally identical. This is best achieved by test pieces being prepared in one laboratory and distributed to the others with instructions for conditioning.

For type 2 precision, the properties of the composite material are directly related to the quality of properties of the target material. As an example, to determine the quality of a grade of SBR, a sample of the rubber

plus curatives, fillers, antioxidants, etc. are mixed and cured. The precision of the resulting test pieces is determined and reflects sample preparation and the properties response of the target SBR.

The estimation of precision for rubber test methods is normally conducted using a balanced uniform level design with three or more materials sent to each participating laboratory with tests conducted to yield an independent test result by the same technician on each of two test days.

NOTE A balanced uniform level design is a plan for an interlaboratory test programme for precision, where all laboratories test all the materials selected for the programme and each laboratory conducts the same number of repeated tests,  $n$ , on each material.

The test method, materials, participating laboratories, test equipment and time interval for test in a laboratory are addressed in [6.1](#) to [6.6](#). Other aspects of planning shall be addressed in accordance with ISO 5725-1:2023, Clause 6.

## 6.7 Methodology

### 6.7.1 Method A

Method A determines the repeatability variance component (measurement error component)  $\sigma_M^2$ , the day-to-day variance component  $\sigma_D^2$  and the between-laboratory variance component  $\sigma_L^2$ , by calculating the expected mean square in accordance with a suitable ANOVA table in ISO 5725-3, fully-nested experiments.

Then, the day-to-day repeatability variance  $s_{rD}^2$  and the reproducibility variance  $s_R^2$  are given by [Formulae \(1\)](#) and [\(2\)](#):

$$s_{rD}^2 = \sigma_M^2 + \sigma_D^2 \quad (1)$$

$$s_R^2 = \sigma_M^2 + \sigma_D^2 + \sigma_L^2 \quad (2)$$

The repeatability,  $r$ , the day-to-day repeatability,  $r_{DA}$ , and the reproducibility,  $R$ , are given by [Formulae \(3\)](#), [\(4\)](#), and [\(5\)](#), respectively:

$$r = 2,83 \left( s_M^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2,83 \left( \sigma_M^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2,83 s_M = 2,83 \sigma_M \quad (3)$$

$$r_{DA} = 2,83 \left( s_{rD}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2,83 s_{rD} \quad (4)$$

$$R = 2,83 \left( s_R^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2,83 s_R \quad (5)$$

Calculations for method A shall be in accordance with [Annex A](#). An example is given in [D.3](#).

For rubber tests, it is usually possible to have two or more repeated tests within one day.

### 6.7.2 Method B

Method B determines the day-to-day variance (between-day variance),  $s_D^2$ , the between-laboratory variance  $s_L^2$  and the reproducibility variance  $s_R^2$  (which is equal to  $s_L^2 + s_D^2$ ), according to the calculation procedures in ASTM D4483.

The day-to-day repeatability,  $r_D$ , and the reproducibility,  $R$ , are given by [Formulae \(6\)](#) and [\(7\)](#):

$$r_{DB} = 2,83 \left( s_D^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2,83 s_D \quad (6)$$

$$R = 2,83 \left( s_R^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2,83 s_R \quad (7)$$

Calculations for Method B shall be in accordance with [Annex B](#) for two test results or ASTM D4483 for more than two test results. An example is given in [D.4](#).

When there are two or more data from repeated tests (individual determinations) within the same day, estimate the median values or the mean values, as appropriate, and apply them for the method B procedures.

### 6.7.3 Method A versus Method B — Day-to-day repeatability value

Refer to the NOTE in [D.4](#) for the reason why  $r_{DA}$  is large when  $r_{DA}$  and  $r_{DB}$  are calculated with the same data set.

When calculating with Method B, even if there is repeated data within a day, the average value or median value is used for calculation without considering the variation.

Therefore, the intra-day variation  $r$  cannot be evaluated in Method B.

If intra-day repeat data exist, Method A should be used.

### 6.7.4 Method A versus Method B — Number of replicates

In the accuracy standards (ISO/TR 9272 and ISO 19983:2017<sup>2)</sup>), days could only be repeated twice.

The reason is that the accuracy calculation of  $s_D^2$  in Method B can only repeat in a day = 2 times. See [Formula D.17](#) in [Annex D](#).

On the other hand, in the accuracy calculation of Method A, based on a suitable ANOVA table according to ISO 5725-3, the number of repetitions of measurement and the number of repetitions of day are not limited to two.

Additional values with  $n = 3$  to 5 were added to the  $n$  column of [Table C.2](#) (Mandel's test table).

## 6.8 Detection of outliers

For detecting outliers, this document adopts two measures called Mandel's  $h$  and  $k$  statistics. The  $h$  statistic is a parameter used to review the difference between averages, while the  $k$  statistics is a parameter used to review the difference between variances. This treatment is applied separately for  $h$  and  $k$  for each material. It may be noted that, as well as describing the variability of the measurement method, these help in laboratory evaluation. The calculation of  $h$  and  $k$  statistic values and the determination of their critical values at 5 % significance level for replicates ( $n = 2$  to 5) shall be in accordance with [Annex C](#).

For some test methods, a test result is defined as some statistical parameter, such as mean or median, calculated from the individual measurements. For Method B, Mandel's  $h$  and  $k$  statistics are calculated using average and standard deviation values of repeated individual test measurement data usually within the same day. These individual measurements are used to calculate a test result. For Method A, Mandel's  $h$  and  $k$  statistics are calculated using average and standard deviation values of test result data from performing the test method on approximately two to five different days.

## 6.9 Treatment of outliers

As with outlier detection, the treatment of outliers is performed separately for the  $h$  and  $k$  statistics for each material. There are several techniques that can be used for outlier treatment, such as data deletion, data replacement, parameter replacement, parameter deletion and retesting. It is also possible to not treat the outliers and keep them in the precision calculations. There are several methods for deriving the replacement values. Once an outlier treatment method is selected, that method is applied to all outliers at a given significance level.

2) Cancelled and replaced by ISO 19983:2022.