



Designation: D3975 – 93 (Reapproved 2014)

# Standard Practice for Development and Use (Preparation) of Samples for Collaborative Testing of Methods for Analysis of Sediments<sup>1</sup>

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D3975; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon ( $\epsilon$ ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

## 1. Scope

1.1 This practice establishes uniform general procedures for the development, (preparation) and use of samples in the collaborative testing of methods for chemical analysis of sediments and similar materials.

1.2 The principles of this practice are applicable to aqueous samples with suitable technical modifications.

1.3 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

## 2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards:*<sup>2</sup>

D596 Guide for Reporting Results of Analysis of Water

D1129 Terminology Relating to Water

D2777 Practice for Determination of Precision and Bias of Applicable Test Methods of Committee D19 on Water

D3670 Guide for Determination of Precision and Bias of Methods of Committee D22

D3975-93 Practice for Preparation of Sediment Samples for Chemical Analysis

E691 Practice for Conducting an Interlaboratory Study to Determine the Precision of a Test Method

## 3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions*—For definition of terms used in this practice, refer to Terminology D1129.

## 4. Summary of Practice

4.1 Test samples of adequately defined composition and homogeneity are required for evaluating the precision and bias

<sup>1</sup> This practice is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D19 on Water and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D19.07 on Sediments, Geomorphology, and Open-Channel Flow.

Current edition approved Jan. 1, 2014. Published February 2014. Originally approved in 1980. Last previous edition approved in 2008 as D3975 – 93 (2008). DOI: 10.1520/D3975-93R14.

<sup>2</sup> For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, [www.astm.org](http://www.astm.org), or contact ASTM Customer Service at [service@astm.org](mailto:service@astm.org). For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

of test methods. These samples should be typical in all respects to the sample for which the test method is applicable. Samples with three levels of concentration of the measurand are recommended to evaluate the linearity of the test method. Acceptable test materials, in order of preference are: three samples of different compositions; mixtures of two samples to obtain the desired concentration levels; samples prepared by dilution of a single sample.

4.2 In the absence of samples of known composition, the use of the spiking technique, in which standard additions of known constituents are made by established techniques, will be acceptable for evaluating the linearity and the bias of test methods. In such a case, the bias statement will consist of the accuracy of recovery of the spike.

4.3 Comparison of a candidate test method with a standard test method of known precision and bias will constitute an acceptable technique for evaluation of precision and bias. In such comparative measurements, any convenient test samples may be used, provided they are shown to be stable during the time required to make the intercomparison, and that the measurement sequences are chosen to minimize or eliminate errors due to sample instability.

## 5. Significance and Use

5.1 The objective of this practice is to provide guidelines for the preparation of samples for use in collaborative tests, to evaluate methods during their development, and for the evaluation of the precision and bias of proposed test methods.

5.2 Statements of the precision and bias are a mandatory part of ASTM test methods. Such an evaluation is necessary to provide guidance to the user as to the reliability of measurements that can be expected by its use. The statements are developed on the basis of user experience (ordinarily collaborative tests) with the test method.

5.3 The availability of test samples is a key requirement for collaborative evaluation of test methods.

## 6. Rationale

6.1 The use of materials of demonstrated adequate homogeneity and known composition enable evaluation of the precision and bias of a test method. Materials of known

composition are required to identify biases. Consensus values obtained as the result of collaborative testing are not adequate to permit quantitative evaluation of biases, although they may show that such exist.

6.2 Materials of adequate homogeneity but uncertain composition may be used to determine the precision of a given test method. Both single-laboratory precision or between operator-laboratory precision can be evaluated.

6.3 Samples at only one compositional level can provide information for performance statements for only the concentration level of the test sample. Accordingly, other evidence is required to evaluate such factors as linearity and sensitivity.

6.4 A series of samples, spanning the useful concentration range of the test method, are required to evaluate the relation of the precision and bias to the concentration level measured.

## 7. Requirements for Test Samples

7.1 The collaborative testing of proposed analytical procedures ideally requires samples identical to, or closely resembling the materials for which the test method is designed to analyze. Because this is not always possible, subrogate materials must often be used. Acceptable kinds of samples may be classified as:

7.1.1 *Authentic*—Samples identical in all respects to typical test specimens.

7.1.2 *Synthetic*—Samples synthesized to be equivalent to typical test samples. Spiked samples are a special class of synthetic samples.

7.1.3 *Simulative*—Samples that do not resemble typical samples but that possess some parameter of concern of the test method.

7.2 As one proceeds down the list, the ability of the material to test the analytical method becomes more controversial.

7.3 Test samples must have stable compositions during the test period.

7.4 Test samples must be sufficiently homogeneous to evaluate the test method. The degree of homogeneity is related to the size of sample analyzed. Hence the minimum size of sample meeting a specified homogeneity must be stated, and this must be equal to or smaller than the size of sample specified in the test method.

7.5 The sample must be available in sufficient quantity both for the requirements of the collaborative test and to permit further examination to resolve any operational questions. Preferably, an additional amount should be available for possible examination by other techniques. A desirable objective would be the establishment of sample banks for future use in: (1) testing refinement of the method and (2) testing other methodologies.

7.6 The uncertainties in homogeneity or composition, or both, of test samples should not exceed one third of the measurement uncertainties they are expected to evaluate. When this specification is met, sample uncertainties make a negligible contribution to the variance of the test results. While replicate samples may be used in practical analysis to average out sample variations, this is usually not feasible in the case of

collaborative test samples, hence homogeneity should be attained, as far as possible.

## 8. Preparation of Test Samples

8.1 Authentic test samples may be procured from natural sources or from suppliers of such materials. The specifications for such materials must be established on the basis of the requirements for matrix composition, composition levels, and sample size-homogeneity considerations of the particular test method. Ordinarily, such materials will need to be disaggregated, sieved, and blended to obtain requisite homogeneity. Pulverizing samples is not recommended because the resulting changes in particle size distribution essentially preclude the use of the original size distribution or surface area for normalizing analytical results. Much of the sample to sample concentration variability, commonly observed in natural sediments, results from differences in the particle size distribution. Samples may need preliminary drying (preferably freeze-drying) and the drying procedure necessary to obtain constant weight should be established. Practice D3976 provides guidance in this respect.

8.2 Synthetic test samples are prepared to be equivalent to typical analytical samples as far as practicable. Materials required to prepare the test samples include those to simulate the matrix and the parameter(s) of the test method. The extent to which these simulate a typical sample will determine the reliability of its use as a test material. Homogeneity of mixing of synthetic samples must always be verified.

8.3 Spiked samples consist of those in which the substance to be measured is added to an authentic or synthetic test sample, which may or may not already count measurable levels of the constituent added. The spike may be a pure substance or a mixture or solution containing a known amount of the substance that is added quantitatively to the dried sediment. The spiking procedure must be developed in accordance with the requirements of the specific situation. Matrix considerations are always of concern in that the added material may not behave as it would in the case of natural occurrence. Thorough mixing of the spiked sample is very important, although less critical if the entire sample is used in the subsequent measurement.

8.4 Samples that only possess some parameter of interest, hence classified as “simulative,” should be used only when it is not feasible to obtain “authentic” or “synthetic” samples. The use of several simulative samples of differing matrices is recommended to minimize dependence of the test results on matrix effects.

## 9. Test Levels

9.1 The test specimens used in a collaborative test should span the concentration range for which the test method is expected to be valid. Three levels—a high, intermediate, and low level—are recommended. This requirement can be met by the use of several test samples, by a dilution technique involving a single sample, by mixing of two samples, or by the spiking technique.

9.2 Two samples of differing concentration but with similar matrices may be quantitatively mixed to provide several test