



**International
Standard**

ISO 5461

**Space systems — Failure reporting,
analysis and corrective action
(FRACA) process requirements**

*Systèmes spatiaux — Exigences relatives au processus de
notification des défaillances, d'analyse et d'action corrective
(FRACA)*

**First edition
2025-05**

*ISO Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview*

ISO 5461:2025

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/768e1db1-1855-4496-a502-fe23392a982b/iso-5461-2025>

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.itih.ai>)
Document Preview

ISO 5461:2025

<https://standards.itih.ai/catalog/standards/iso/768e1db1-1855-4496-a502-fe23392a982b/iso-5461-2025>



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2025

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

Page

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Foreword | iv |
| Introduction | v |
| 1 Scope | 1 |
| 2 Normative references | 1 |
| 3 Terms, definitions and abbreviated terms | 1 |
| 3.1 Terms and definitions | 1 |
| 3.2 Abbreviated terms | 3 |
| 4 General requirements | 4 |
| 4.1 Overview of FRACA process | 4 |
| 4.2 Failure reporting | 5 |
| 4.3 Failure verification | 5 |
| 4.4 Failure analysis | 5 |
| 4.5 Corrective action | 6 |
| 5 Tailoring requirements | 7 |
| 5.1 General | 7 |
| 5.2 Level 1 FRACA process | 8 |
| 5.2.1 FRACA process management and resources authorization | 8 |
| 5.2.2 Identification or determination of FRACA process requirements | 8 |
| 5.2.3 Development or reuse of a FRACA procedure | 9 |
| 5.2.4 Implementation and coordination of a FRACA process | 10 |
| 5.2.5 Identification, documentation, reporting and assessment of residual failure risk | 11 |
| 5.2.6 Implementation of approved corrective action(s) | 11 |
| 5.2.7 Verification and or validation of corrective actions and failure events | 11 |
| 5.3 Level 2 FRACA process | 12 |
| 5.3.1 Documentation and approval of a FRACA plan | 12 |
| 5.3.2 Implementation and coordination of a FRACA process | 12 |
| 5.3.3 Verification of reported failures modes | 12 |
| 5.4 Level 3 FRACA process | 12 |
| 5.4.1 Implementation and coordination of a FRACA process | 12 |
| 5.4.2 Failure analyses, corrective actions, and failure trending | 13 |
| 5.5 Level 4 FRACA process | 13 |
| 5.5.1 FRACA process audit | 13 |
| 5.5.2 FRACA process improvement | 13 |
| 5.6 Level 5 FRACA process | 14 |
| 5.6.1 FRACA process continuous improvement | 14 |
| 5.6.2 FRACA training and model-based systems engineering integration | 14 |
| 5.6.3 Reliability development growth testing support | 14 |
| Annex A (Informative) Supplier's integrated FRACA process | 16 |
| Annex B (Informative) Product safety or mission severity category definitions | 17 |
| Annex C (Informative) Qualitative probability level criteria | 19 |
| Annex D (Informative) Notional shop replaceable unit (SRU) failure mode distribution | 21 |
| Bibliography | 22 |

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

ISO draws attention to the possibility that the implementation of this document may involve the use of (a) patent(s). ISO takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, ISO had not received notice of (a) patent(s) which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at www.iso.org/patents. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 20, *Aircraft and space vehicles*, Subcommittee SC 14, *Space systems and operations*.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

ISO 5461:2025

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/768e1db1-1855-4496-a502-fe23392a982b/iso-5461-2025>

Introduction

The failure reporting, analysis and corrective action (FRACA) process is a closed-loop process that manages faults, failures and anomalies of deliverable hardware, software, firmware, testing or monitoring devices and maintenance or inspection equipment, which occur after qualification.

This document is a tier-three standard within the ISO TC 20/SC 14 hierarchical framework of space systems requirements, for the following reasons:

- a) ISO 14300-2 (product assurance) requires that system safety, dependability and quality assurance programme be implemented during the space system life cycle. ISO 14620-1 (system safety), ISO 23460 (dependability), and ISO 27025 (quality assurance) are tier-two standards under ISO 14300-2, which is a tier-one standard.
- b) ISO 14620-1 requires that accidents and safety-critical nonconformances which occur during production, operations and disposal phases in the space system life cycle be reported, investigated and mitigated. ISO 23460 requires that reliability-critical failures which occur during the operations phase in the space system life cycle be reported, analysed and subjected to risk reduction. ISO 27025 requires that nonconformances which occur during the operations phase in the space system life cycle be reported, reviewed and dispositioned via a corrective feedback loop. This document and ISO 23461 are tier-three standards under ISO 14620-1, ISO 23460, and ISO 27025.

The FRACA process as defined in this document and the nonconformance management process as defined in ISO 23461 are often assumed to provide overlapping functions during the space system life cycle. However, the FRACA process and nonconformance management process provide separate and unique functions.

The FRACA process is first applied by the supplier to manage verified failures and anomalies of qualified hardware and software during production acceptance testing. After delivery and deployment of the space system, the space system operator can team-up with the supplier, via an SOW or MOA, to apply the FRACA process during the operations and disposal phases of the space system life cycle.

The nonconformance management process is applied by the supplier to manage verified nonconformances to requirements, from the time of the space system's conceptual development through its delivery. It is important to note that not all verified failures or anomalies are nonconformances and vice versa. When the root cause of a failure or an anomaly during qualification testing is found to be an incorrect design, fabrication, or performance requirement, then a corrective action should be determined and implemented by the FRACA process. When the root cause of a failure or an anomaly during operation is found to be an operator-induced damage, then a corrective action should be determined and implemented by the nonconformance management process.

As in the case of the FRACA process, after delivery and deployment of the space system, the space system operator can team-up with the supplier, via an SOW or MOA, to apply the nonconformance management process during the operations and disposal phases of the space system life cycle.