



Designation: **F1063–09 F1063 – 09 (Reapproved 2014)**

Standard Practice for Functional Inspections and Adjustments of Alpine Ski/ Binding/Boot Systems¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation F1063; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

INTRODUCTION

Adhering to these guidelines may help reduce the risk of injuries resulting from improper mechanical functioning of releasable alpine binding systems. Skiing involves inherent risks and injury can result from simply falling down, impact with an object, or from many other actions. Many injuries are unrelated to system function and a properly functioning system cannot protect the skier in all situations. Compliance with these guidelines in no way guarantees that injury will be prevented.

1. Scope

1.1 This practice provides procedures for inspection and adjustment of alpine ski/binding/boot systems.

1.2 This practice should be followed for systems, whether newly mounted or previously mounted, when work is performed on the system that may affect its release function, unless otherwise specified by the binding manufacturer in non-rental applications.

1.3 Nonapplicability of this function and release inspection practice to rental shop operations is based upon the existence of applicable ASTM practices.

NOTE 1—Refer to Practice **F1064** for equivalent procedures and tolerances for complete and incomplete rental systems.

1.4 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as standard. No other units of measurement are included in this standard.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards*:²

F939 Practice for Selection of Release Torque Values for Alpine Ski Bindings

F1061 Specification for Ski Binding Test Devices

F1064 Practice for Sampling and Inspection of Complete and Incomplete Alpine Ski/Binding/Boot Systems in Rental Applications

3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions*:

3.1.1 *clean versus lubricated tolerance*—accepted difference between clean and lubricated test results, defined as not more than 20 % of the clean test, used whenever a functional test for binding-boot compatibility is required (see **6.3**).

3.1.2 *clockwise versus counterclockwise tolerance*—accepted difference between test results about an axis perpendicular to the plane of the ski, usually from the toe piece component, and defined as within the inspection tolerance.

3.1.3 *corrective action*—procedures other than readjustment of the visual indicator setting (see **3.1.18**) to include repair or replacement of system components.

3.1.4 *deviation*—difference between the test result (see **3.1.16**) and the selected reference torque value (see **3.1.12**), usually expressed as a percentage of the selected reference torque value.

¹ This practice is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee **F27** on Snow Skiing and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee **F27.50** on Shop Procedures (Retail and Rental).

Current edition approved ~~June 1, 2009~~ July 1, 2014. Published ~~July 2009~~ July 2014. Originally approved in 1989. Last previous edition approved in ~~2005~~ 2009 as **F1063–05**/**F1063 – 09**.^ε DOI: ~~10.1520/F1063-09~~.10.1520/F1063-09R14.

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.



3.1.5 *in use tolerance*—accepted difference between the reference torque value and the test result(s), defined as $\pm 30\%$ of the reference torque value, or ± 5 Nm for twist and 20 Nm for forward lean, whichever is greater, or two horizontal rows up or down from the selected reference torque value determined on the binding manufacturer's adjustment chart. In the absence of an applicable manufacturer's chart, use Annex A2. For non-rental applications, this tolerance is used as the upper and lower limit for determining if system release values are acceptable for in-use or in-service purposes, after said system has been released to the customer. This limit is derived from Practice F1064, 3.1.1 *Class 1 deviation*. This deviation (± 16 to 30% , or two horizontal rows up or down from the selected reference torque value) is defined as a minor deviation that does not require corrective action for equipment that is in-service, in rental applications. It is used as the upper and lower limit for readjustment of the binding.

3.1.6 *initial visual indicator setting*—visual indicator setting derived from the binding manufacturer's adjustment chart.

3.1.7 *inspection tolerance*—accepted difference between the reference torque value and the test result. Defined as $\pm 15\%$ of the reference torque value, or ± 3 Nm for twist and ± 10 Nm for forward lean, whichever is greater, or one horizontal row up or down from the selected reference torque value determined on the binding manufacturer's adjustment chart, (see Annex A2). It is used as the criteria for prompting consultation of the binding manufacturer's troubleshooting procedures or readjustment of the binding, or a combination of both.

3.1.7.1 *Discussion*—

When an algorithm or table is used to provide a value, either may be used (differences may be insignificant).

3.1.8 *limit for readjustment*—accepted difference between the reference torque value (see 3.1.12) and test result(s) (see 3.1.16), defined as $\pm 30\%$ of the reference torque value, or ± 5 Nm for twist and 20 Nm for forward lean, whichever is greater, or two horizontal rows up or down from the selected reference torque value determined on the binding manufacturer's adjustment chart (see Annex A2). For a reference torque value of 8 Nm in twist and 29 Nm in forward lean (Skier Code A in Annex A2), the limit for readjustment is one row up or two rows down on the adjustment chart. The limit for readjustment is used as the upper and lower limit for readjustment of the binding.

3.1.9 *measured release value*—release torque value determined by the use of a testing device of the type defined in Annex A1.

3.1.10 *readjustment value*—value that shall be added or subtracted from the initial visual indicator setting to bring the test result within the inspection tolerance.

3.1.11 *release/retention value*—release torque of the ski/binding/boot system.

3.1.11.1 *discretionary settings*—visual indicator settings higher or lower than the normal setting range

(1) (–)—a symbol, that when placed to the left of Type I (see 3.1.14.1), provides release/retention values lower than Type I, corresponds to a further increase in the risk of inadvertent binding release in order to gain increased releasability in a fall.

(2) (+)—a symbol, that when placed to the right of Type III (see 3.1.14.3), provides release/retention values higher than Type III, corresponds to a further decrease in releasability in a fall in order to gain a decreased risk of inadvertent binding release.

3.1.12 *reference torque value*—nominal release torque value derived from a document compatible with Practice F939, such as Annex A2, or information supplied by the binding or test device manufacturer.

3.1.13 *skier code*—letter code derived from the binding manufacturer's adjustment chart, based on a skier's parameters (height, weight, age, and skier type).

3.1.14 *skier type*—classification, selected by the skier, for the type of skiing to be undertaken.

3.1.14.1 *Type I*—designation that provides lower than average release/retention values; corresponds to an increased risk of inadvertent binding release in order to gain releasability in a fall; also applies to entry level skiers uncertain of their classification.

3.1.14.2 *Type II*—designation that provides average release/retention values appropriate for most recreational skiing; applies to skiers not classified as in Type I or Type III.

3.1.14.3 *Type III*—designation that provides higher than average release/retention values; corresponds to decreased releasability in a fall in order to gain a decreased risk of inadvertent binding release.

3.1.14.4 (/)—a symbol that separates skier type designations, used when, as a result of troubleshooting, different skier types have been selected for determining twist (M_x), and forward lean (M_z) release/retention values; shown in the order (twist/forward lean) or (T/H) to denote toe piece (T) and heel piece (H) of this ski binding. Other conventions may be used to record different skier types for twist and forward lean when required by the documentation

3.1.15 *system*—group of interacting components, usually comprised of a ski, binding, and boot.

3.1.16 *test result*—middle quantitative value of three repetitions of the same test.

3.1.17 *troubleshooting*—binding manufacturer's recommendations or procedures of analyzing system failure.

3.1.18 *visual indicator setting*—setting displayed on the binding's release/retention adjustment scale.

4. Significance and Use

4.1 The purpose of this practice is to aid in providing the end user with an appropriately functioning system with appropriate release torque setting(s).

4.2 The definitions and tolerances defined in this practice do not necessarily apply to procedures incorporating an inspection interval or schedule, in which such procedures are specified by the binding manufacturer. This practice is not intended to be a method for evaluating equipment design.

NOTE 2—Refer to Practice F1064 for definitions and tolerances pertaining to the evaluation of equipment once in use.

5. Procedure

5.1 *Inspections*—Two types of inspection procedures are described in this practice: (1) procedures to check the system for appropriate function, and (2) procedures to check the system for appropriate release torque calibration (see Appendix X4). In all procedures requiring a measured release value, the system testing device should meet Specification F1061 and be checked by the method described in Annex A1.

5.1.1 *Functional Inspections*—These inspections shall include inspection of all boot-to-binding adjustments and clearances, appropriate elastic travel (see 6.1), symmetry of torsional release, boot-binding compatibility (see 6.3), and other inspections recommended by the equipment manufacturers (see Appendix X4).

5.1.2 *Release Torque Value Inspections*—The release torque value of the system, as assembled for use, shall be inspected with the use of a system testing device (see Annex A1). A description of release torque value inspections and tolerances is included in this practice (see Section 7 and Appendix X4).

5.2 *Reference Torque Value Selection*—Reference torque values for release torque may be selected using Annex A2 or tables supplied by the binding manufacturer or system testing device manufacturer, which are in accordance with Practice F939. Reference torque values above the upper limit specified by Practice F939 or above the binding manufacturer's recommendations should not be used. Values below the lower limit or below the binding manufacturer's recommendations may be used unless the binding manufacturer recommends against such procedures.

6. Functional Inspections

6.1 *Test for Elastic Travel and Recentering*—The system should be exercised to ensure the boot or plate can travel a distance specified by the manufacturer and return freely to within 2 mm of the original position. This test should be made in all directions of release and in a manner specified by the binding manufacturer. If no displacement is specified, then displacement of 5 mm measured at the toe or heel (as appropriate) should be used and the test should be performed by any device or method capable of displacing the boot or plate the necessary distance.

6.2 *Test for Symmetrical Release*—The system should be tested for twist release in both the clockwise and counterclockwise directions with a device of the type specified in Annex A1.

6.3 *Test of Boot/Binding Compatibility*—Used as a diagnostic inspection for determining the compatibility of a boot and binding used in a system. The boot should be of a shape, composition, construction, and condition acceptable to the binding manufacturer. Functional inspections specified by the binding manufacturer to determine the compatibility of the boot and binding should be performed. If no functional inspection procedures are specified by the binding manufacturer, a functional inspection should be performed to determine the difference in test results between a clean, dry boot/binding system and the same system after lubrication of all boot/binding interfaces. This functional inspection should be made in all directions of release specified by the binding manufacturer, using a device of the type specified in Annex A1 (see 5.1).

6.3.1 The lubricant used for this test should be applied in a thin film and may be of any type specified by the boot or binding manufacturer. If unspecified, a liquid detergent or soap or a lubricant of a type normally accepted in the maintenance of the binding, such as a grease or silicone spray lubricant, may be used. If a spray lubricant is used, ensure that overspray does not contaminate other systems.

6.3.2 If there is reason to believe a boot/binding interface or system has been contaminated, a common dishwashing soap or detergent solution may be used to help clean the system, provided all surfaces are flushed with clean water afterwards.

7. Release Torque Inspections

7.1 *Tests for Twist Release*—A test should be performed to determine the torque required to release the binding in twist (M_z) about an axis perpendicular to the plane of the boot sole. This test should be performed using a device of the type described in Annex A1 and should be performed in both clockwise and counterclockwise directions of release. Test results should be within the appropriate inspection tolerance. Units that exceed the inspection tolerance should be readjusted to test within the inspection tolerance. When an initial visual indicator setting is used (see Appendix X4), readjustment should not be attempted if test result(s) exceed the limit for readjustment without first taking corrective action as specified by the binding manufacturer.

7.2 *Tests of Forward Lean Release*—A test should be made to determine the torque required to release the binding in forward lean. This test should be made using a device of the type described in Annex A1. Test result(s) should be within the inspection

tolerance. Units that exceed the inspection tolerance should be readjusted to within the inspection tolerance. When an initial indicator setting is used (see 3.1.6 and Appendix X4), readjustment should not be attempted if test result(s) exceed the limit for readjustment without first taking corrective action as specified by the binding manufacturer (see 3.1.1 and 3.1.7).

7.2.1 If no independent means are provided to adjust the forward lean release, this test should be used to ensure the ratio of forward lean to twist release is as specified by the manufacturer.

7.3 *Other Release Tests*—Tests of the type in 7.1 and 7.2 should be made in any other direction specified by the binding manufacturer.

8. Test Conditions

8.1 *Visual Indicator Setting for Functional Inspections*—All functional inspections should be performed at a setting provided by the binding manufacturer. If no manufacturer recommendations are provided, all functional inspections should be performed at the setting selected for the skier.

8.2 *Release Adjustment for Validating Visual Indicator Setting*—Tests to validate the visual indicator setting should be made in accordance with the procedures specified by the binding manufacturer.

8.3 *Binding Preconditioning*—The binding should be cycled at least once in all directions prior to calibration of the release/retention value or validation of the visual indicator setting. Once all functional inspections have been completed on the system, a lubricant may be used on the boot-binding interfaces, unless otherwise specified by the binding manufacturer.

NOTE 3—The use of a lubricant is not intended to improve the performance of the system in use but to reduce the boot-binding friction.

8.4 *Temperature*—Tests should be performed at temperatures between 10 and 25°C.

8.5 *Load Rate*—Tests should be performed at a load rate specified by the manufacturer of the testing device or in accordance with the binding manufacturer's recommendations. If no recommendations are provided, the load required to release the boot or plate from the binding should be applied smoothly in such a way that the time to achieve release is between 1 and 5 s.

9. Report/Workshop Ticket

9.1 In principal, a report/workshop ticket is generated by the ski shop and delivered to the user. It shall contain at least the following information:

9.1.1 Skier parameters,

9.1.2 Visual indicator settings, and

9.1.3 Pass/fail result of the system inspection.

10. Miscellaneous

10.1 Some other functional inspections that may be considered in diagnostic procedures are described in Appendix X1 and Appendix X2.

11. Keywords

11.1 retail standard; ski, binding, boot system testing

ANNEXES

(Mandatory Information)

A1. TESTING DEVICE INSPECTION REQUIREMENTS

A1.1 Definition

A1.1.1 For the purposes of this practice, a testing device is defined as any piece of equipment capable of indicating the torque or force required to release the boot or plate.

A1.1.2 Testing equipment should be of a type that conforms to Specification F1061.



A1.2 Inspection Schedule

A1.2.1 The test device is inspected prior to preseason testing and at least once during the skiing season or whenever it is apparent that the device is not performing as intended, or both.

A1.3 Inspection

A1.3.1 The test device is inspected in accordance with all procedures recommended by the manufacturer of the test device or by the manufacturer of the system to be tested (if appropriate).

A1.3.2 The calibration of the test device is checked by a procedure recommended by either the test device manufacturer or system manufacturer.

A1.3.3 The calibration is checked at three points over the range in which the test device is intended to be used or as specified by the test device manufacturer.

A1.3.4 The test device is corrected by means of an adjustment to the indicator, if necessary, to read within $\pm 5\%$ or $\pm 2.5\text{ Nm}$, whichever is greater, of the desired value.

A1.3.5 If a dead weight is used, its weight should be known to be accurate within $\pm 2\%$.

A1.3.6 Calibration of the testing device may also be made with respect to a reference binding if recommended by the manufacturer.

A1.3.7 A reference boot or binding, if used, is as recommended by the manufacturer for that purpose and tested with all surfaces lubricated, unless otherwise specified in the manufacturer's procedures.

A2. RELEASE TORQUE SELECTION PROCEDURES

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/62edd506-70fd-4db1-bb55-e5bd32a7fe6c/astm-f1063-092014>
A2.1 See Appendix X1 through X3 in Practice F939.

APPENDIXES

(Nonmandatory Information)

X1. EXAMPLE OF TEST METHOD FOR REPRODUCIBILITY AMONG OPERATORS

X1.1 Scope

X1.1.1 This test method covers procedures that may be supplied by the test device manufacturer to the user for the purpose of evaluating reproducibility among operators.

X1.2 Procedure

X1.2.1 Select a ski/binding/boot system typical of equipment in use and adjust the visual indicator setting until the measured release value falls within the approximate middle of the range of release for the test device.

X1.2.2 Randomly order a series of tests in which each operator performs five repetitions of the test (see example, Fig. X1.1).

X1.2.3 Designate one person to make all observations. The observer should not perform any tests.



Operator Name	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	a
	A	B	C	D	E	
	(3) _____	(4) _____	(5) _____	(1) _____	(2) _____	b
	(7) _____	(10) _____	(8) _____	(9) _____	(6) _____	c
	(11) _____	(12) _____	(14) _____	(15) _____	(13) _____	d
	(20) _____	(18) _____	(16) _____	(17) _____	(19) _____	e
	(24) _____	(21) _____	(22) _____	(23) _____	(25) _____	f
Operator Medians (Line b through Line f)						
	Highest		Line g	_____		g
	Median		Line g	_____		h
	Lowest		Line g	_____		i
	Range =		Line h - Line j =	_____		j
	Variability =		$\frac{\text{Line k}}{\text{Line i}} \times 100 =$	_____ %		k
Observer's Name	_____			Date	____/____/____	

NOTE 1—(B) denotes test sequence.

FIG. X1.1 Data and Calculation Sheet for Multiple-Operator Reproducibility Test

X1.2.4 Conduct all training recommended by the test device manufacturer.

X1.2.5 Conduct all tests in the order determined in X1.2.2.

X1.2.6 Do not allow the operators to observe each other or the results of any tests.

X1.2.7 Observe and record the test results to the resolutions specified by the test device manufacturer.

X1.2.8 Perform tests in only one direction of release. Repeat the entire procedure for other directions as appropriate.

X1.3 Calculation

X1.3.1 Determine the test result for each operator.

X1.3.2 Determine the range of operator medians and express it as a percentage of the median for all operators.

X1.4 Evaluation

X1.4.1 Variability among operators of more than 15 % should prompt continued training and retesting.

X1.5 Other Uses for This Test Method

X1.5.1 This test method may be used to evaluate multiple observers of the test device scale using a single operator.

X1.5.2 This test method also may be modified to evaluate multiple observers of other scales such as the visual indicator setting scale of the binding. These other test methods may require criteria for evaluation different from X1.4.1.