



**International
Standard**

ISO/IEC 26131

**Information technology — OpenID
connect — OpenID connect core 1.0
incorporating errata set 2**

**First edition
2024-10**

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

[ISO/IEC 26131:2024](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/444186ad-7d67-4936-97b9-71801a5e0f1c/iso-iec-26131-2024)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/444186ad-7d67-4936-97b9-71801a5e0f1c/iso-iec-26131-2024>

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

[ISO/IEC 26131:2024](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/444186ad-7d67-4936-97b9-71801a5e0f1c/iso-iec-26131-2024)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/444186ad-7d67-4936-97b9-71801a5e0f1c/iso-iec-26131-2024>



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO/IEC 2024

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of document should be noted (see www.iso.org/directives or www.iec.ch/members_experts/refdocs).

ISO and IEC draw attention to the possibility that the implementation of this document may involve the use of (a) patent(s). ISO and IEC take no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, ISO and IEC had not received notice of (a) patent(s) which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at www.iso.org/patents and <https://patents.iec.ch>. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html. In the IEC, see www.iec.ch/understanding-standards.

This document was prepared by the OpenID Foundation (OIDF) (as OpenID Connect Core 1.0 incorporating errata set 2) and drafted in accordance with its editorial rules. It was adopted, under the JTC 1 PAS procedure, by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html and www.iec.ch/national-committees.

Abstract

OpenID Connect 1.0 is a simple identity layer on top of the OAuth 2.0 protocol. It enables Clients to verify the identity of the End-User based on the authentication performed by an Authorization Server, as well as to obtain basic profile information about the End-User in an interoperable and REST-like manner.

This specification defines the core OpenID Connect functionality: authentication built on top of OAuth 2.0 and the use of Claims to communicate information about the End-User. It also describes the security and privacy considerations for using OpenID Connect.

iTeh Standards (<https://standards.iteh.ai>) Document Preview

[ISO/IEC 26131:2024](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/444186ad-7d67-4936-97b9-71801a5e0f1c/iso-iec-26131-2024)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/444186ad-7d67-4936-97b9-71801a5e0f1c/iso-iec-26131-2024>

Table of Contents

- 1. Introduction**
 - 1.1. Requirements Notation and Conventions**
 - 1.2. Terminology**
 - 1.3. Overview**
- 2. ID Token**
- 3. Authentication**
 - 3.1. Authentication using the Authorization Code Flow**
 - 3.1.1. Authorization Code Flow Steps**
 - 3.1.2. Authorization Endpoint**
 - 3.1.2.1. Authentication Request**
 - 3.1.2.2. Authentication Request Validation**
 - 3.1.2.3. Authorization Server Authenticates End-User**
 - 3.1.2.4. Authorization Server Obtains End-User Consent/Authorization**
 - 3.1.2.5. Successful Authentication Response**
 - 3.1.2.6. Authentication Error Response**
 - 3.1.2.7. Authentication Response Validation**
 - 3.1.3. Token Endpoint**
 - 3.1.3.1. Token Request**
 - 3.1.3.2. Token Request Validation**
 - 3.1.3.3. Successful Token Response**
 - 3.1.3.4. Token Error Response**
 - 3.1.3.5. Token Response Validation**
 - 3.1.3.6. ID Token**
 - 3.1.3.7. ID Token Validation**
 - 3.1.3.8. Access Token Validation**
 - 3.2. Authentication using the Implicit Flow**
 - 3.2.1. Implicit Flow Steps**
 - 3.2.2. Authorization Endpoint**
 - 3.2.2.1. Authentication Request**
 - 3.2.2.2. Authentication Request Validation**
 - 3.2.2.3. Authorization Server Authenticates End-User**
 - 3.2.2.4. Authorization Server Obtains End-User Consent/Authorization**
 - 3.2.2.5. Successful Authentication Response**
 - 3.2.2.6. Authentication Error Response**
 - 3.2.2.7. Redirect URI Fragment Handling**
 - 3.2.2.8. Authentication Response Validation**
 - 3.2.2.9. Access Token Validation**
 - 3.2.2.10. ID Token**
 - 3.2.2.11. ID Token Validation**
 - 3.3. Authentication using the Hybrid Flow**
 - 3.3.1. Hybrid Flow Steps**
 - 3.3.2. Authorization Endpoint**

- [3.3.2.1. Authentication Request](#)
 - [3.3.2.2. Authentication Request Validation](#)
 - [3.3.2.3. Authorization Server Authenticates End-User](#)
 - [3.3.2.4. Authorization Server Obtains End-User Consent/Authorization](#)
 - [3.3.2.5. Successful Authentication Response](#)
 - [3.3.2.6. Authentication Error Response](#)
 - [3.3.2.7. Redirect URI Fragment Handling](#)
 - [3.3.2.8. Authentication Response Validation](#)
 - [3.3.2.9. Access Token Validation](#)
 - [3.3.2.10. Authorization Code Validation](#)
 - [3.3.2.11. ID Token](#)
 - [3.3.2.12. ID Token Validation](#)
 - [3.3.3. Token Endpoint](#)
 - [3.3.3.1. Token Request](#)
 - [3.3.3.2. Token Request Validation](#)
 - [3.3.3.3. Successful Token Response](#)
 - [3.3.3.4. Token Error Response](#)
 - [3.3.3.5. Token Response Validation](#)
 - [3.3.3.6. ID Token](#)
 - [3.3.3.7. ID Token Validation](#)
 - [3.3.3.8. Access Token](#)
 - [3.3.3.9. Access Token Validation](#)
- [4. Initiating Login from a Third Party](#)
- [5. Claims](#)
 - [5.1. Standard Claims](#)
 - [5.1.1. Address Claim](#)
 - [5.1.2. Additional Claims](#)
 - [5.2. Claims Languages and Scripts](#)
 - [5.3. UserInfo Endpoint](#)
 - [5.3.1. UserInfo Request](#)
 - [5.3.2. Successful UserInfo Response](#)
 - [5.3.3. UserInfo Error Response](#)
 - [5.3.4. UserInfo Response Validation](#)
 - [5.4. Requesting Claims using Scope Values](#)
 - [5.5. Requesting Claims using the "claims" Request Parameter](#)
 - [5.5.1. Individual Claims Requests](#)
 - [5.5.1.1. Requesting the "acr" Claim](#)
 - [5.5.2. Languages and Scripts for Individual Claims](#)
 - [5.6. Claim Types](#)
 - [5.6.1. Normal Claims](#)
 - [5.6.2. Aggregated and Distributed Claims](#)
 - [5.6.2.1. Example of Aggregated Claims](#)
 - [5.6.2.2. Example of Distributed Claims](#)
 - [5.7. Claim Stability and Uniqueness](#)
- [6. Passing Request Parameters as JWTs](#)
 - [6.1. Passing a Request Object by Value](#)

- [6.1.1. Request using the "request" Request Parameter](#)
- [6.2. Passing a Request Object by Reference](#)
 - [6.2.1. URI Referencing the Request Object](#)
 - [6.2.2. Request using the "request_uri" Request Parameter](#)
 - [6.2.3. Authorization Server Fetches Request Object](#)
 - [6.2.4. "request_uri" Rationale](#)
- [6.3. Validating JWT-Based Requests](#)
 - [6.3.1. Encrypted Request Object](#)
 - [6.3.2. Signed Request Object](#)
 - [6.3.3. Request Parameter Assembly and Validation](#)
- [7. Self-Issued OpenID Provider](#)
 - [7.1. Self-Issued OpenID Provider Discovery](#)
 - [7.2. Self-Issued OpenID Provider Registration](#)
 - [7.2.1. Providing Information with the "registration" Request Parameter](#)
 - [7.3. Self-Issued OpenID Provider Request](#)
 - [7.4. Self-Issued OpenID Provider Response](#)
 - [7.5. Self-Issued ID Token Validation](#)
- [8. Subject Identifier Types](#)
 - [8.1. Pairwise Identifier Algorithm](#)
- [9. Client Authentication](#)
- [10. Signatures and Encryption](#)
 - [10.1. Signing](#)
 - [10.1.1. Rotation of Asymmetric Signing Keys](#)
 - [10.2. Encryption](#)
 - [10.2.1. Rotation of Asymmetric Encryption Keys](#)
- [11. Offline Access](#)
- [12. Using Refresh Tokens](#)
 - [12.1. Refresh Request](#)
 - [12.2. Successful Refresh Response](#)
 - [12.3. Refresh Error Response](#)
- [13. Serializations](#)
 - [13.1. Query String Serialization](#)
 - [13.2. Form Serialization](#)
 - [13.3. JSON Serialization](#)
- [14. String Operations](#)
- [15. Implementation Considerations](#)
 - [15.1. Mandatory to Implement Features for All OpenID Providers](#)
 - [15.2. Mandatory to Implement Features for Dynamic OpenID Providers](#)
 - [15.3. Discovery and Registration](#)
 - [15.4. Mandatory to Implement Features for Relying Parties](#)
 - [15.5. Implementation Notes](#)
 - [15.5.1. Authorization Code Implementation Notes](#)

[15.5.2.](#) **Nonce Implementation Notes**

[15.5.3.](#) **Redirect URI Fragment Handling**

Implementation Notes

[15.6.](#) **Compatibility Notes**

[15.7.](#) **Related Specifications and Implementer's**

Guides

[16.](#) **Security Considerations**

[16.1.](#) **Request Disclosure**

[16.2.](#) **Server Masquerading**

[16.3.](#) **Token Manufacture/Modification**

[16.4.](#) **Access Token Disclosure**

[16.5.](#) **Server Response Disclosure**

[16.6.](#) **Server Response Repudiation**

[16.7.](#) **Request Repudiation**

[16.8.](#) **Access Token Redirect**

[16.9.](#) **Token Reuse**

[16.10.](#) **Eavesdropping or Leaking Authorization Codes
(Secondary Authenticator Capture)**

[16.11.](#) **Token Substitution**

[16.12.](#) **Timing Attack**

[16.13.](#) **Other Crypto Related Attacks**

[16.14.](#) **Signing and Encryption Order**

[16.15.](#) **Issuer Identifier**

[16.16.](#) **Implicit Flow Threats**

[16.17.](#) **TLS Requirements**

[16.18.](#) **Lifetimes of Access Tokens and Refresh**

Tokens

[16.19.](#) **Symmetric Key Entropy**

[16.20.](#) **Need for Signed Requests**

[16.21.](#) **Need for Encrypted Requests**

[16.22.](#) **HTTP 307 Redirects**

[16.23.](#) **Custom URI Schemes on iOS**

[17.](#) **Privacy Considerations**

[17.1.](#) **Personally Identifiable Information**

[17.2.](#) **Data Access Monitoring**

[17.3.](#) **Correlation**

[17.4.](#) **Offline Access**

[18.](#) **IANA Considerations**

[18.1.](#) **JSON Web Token Claims Registration**

[18.1.1.](#) **Registry Contents**

[18.2.](#) **OAuth Parameters Registration**

[18.2.1.](#) **Registry Contents**

[18.3.](#) **OAuth Extensions Error Registration**

[18.3.1.](#) **Registry Contents**

[18.4.](#) **URI Scheme Registration**

[18.4.1.](#) **Registry Contents**

[19.](#) **References**

[19.1.](#) **Normative References**

[19.2.](#) **Informative References**

Appendix A. **Authorization Examples**

- [A.1.](#) **Example using response_type=code**
- [A.2.](#) **Example using response_type=id_token**
- [A.3.](#) **Example using response_type=id_token token**
- [A.4.](#) **Example using response_type=code id_token**
- [A.5.](#) **Example using response_type=code token**
- [A.6.](#) **Example using response_type=code id_token token**
- [A.7.](#) **RSA Key Used in Examples**

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

[ISO/IEC 26131:2024](#)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/444186ad-7d67-4936-97b9-71801a5e0f1c/iso-iec-26131-2024>

Information technology — OpenID Connect — OpenID Connect Core 1.0 incorporating errata set 2

1. Introduction

TOC

OpenID Connect 1.0 is a simple identity layer on top of the OAuth 2.0 [\[RFC6749\]](#) protocol. It enables Clients to verify the identity of the End-User based on the authentication performed by an Authorization Server, as well as to obtain basic profile information about the End-User in an interoperable and REST-like manner.

The OpenID Connect Core 1.0 specification defines the core OpenID Connect functionality: authentication built on top of OAuth 2.0 and the use of Claims to communicate information about the End-User. It also describes the security and privacy considerations for using OpenID Connect.

As background, the [OAuth 2.0 Authorization Framework](#) [RFC6749] and [OAuth 2.0 Bearer Token Usage](#) [RFC6750] specifications provide a general framework for third-party applications to obtain and use limited access to HTTP resources. They define mechanisms to obtain and use Access Tokens to access resources but do not define standard methods to provide identity information. Notably, without profiling OAuth 2.0, it is incapable of providing information about the authentication of an End-User. Readers are expected to be familiar with these specifications.

OpenID Connect implements authentication as an extension to the OAuth 2.0 authorization process. Use of this extension is requested by Clients by including the `openid` scope value in the Authorization Request. Information about the authentication performed is returned in a [JSON Web Token \(JWT\)](#) [JWT] called an ID Token (see [Section 2](#)). OAuth 2.0 Authentication Servers implementing OpenID Connect are also referred to as OpenID Providers (OPs). OAuth 2.0 Clients using OpenID Connect are also referred to as Relying Parties (RPs).

This specification assumes that the Relying Party has already obtained configuration information about the OpenID Provider, including its Authorization Endpoint and Token Endpoint locations. This information is normally obtained via Discovery, as described in [OpenID Connect Discovery 1.0](#) [OpenID.Discovery], or may be obtained via other mechanisms.

Likewise, this specification assumes that the Relying Party has already obtained sufficient credentials and provided information needed to use the OpenID Provider. This is normally done via Dynamic Registration, as described in [OpenID Connect Dynamic Client Registration 1.0](#) [OpenID.Registration], or may be obtained via other mechanisms.

The previous versions of this specification are:

- [OpenID Connect Core 1.0 incorporating errata set 1](#) [OpenID.Core.Errata1]
- [OpenID Connect Core 1.0 \(final\)](#) [OpenID.Core.Final]

1.1. Requirements Notation and Conventions

TOC

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#) [RFC2119].

In the .txt version of this specification, values are quoted to indicate that they are to be taken literally. When using these values in protocol messages, the quotes MUST NOT be used as part of the value. In the HTML version of this specification, values to be taken literally are indicated by the use of `this fixed-width font`.

All uses of [JSON Web Signature \(JWS\)](#) [JWS] and [JSON Web Encryption \(JWE\)](#) [JWE] data structures in this specification utilize the JWS Compact Serialization or the JWE Compact Serialization; the JWS JSON Serialization and the JWE JSON Serialization are not used.

1.2. Terminology

TOC

This specification uses the terms "Access Token", "Authorization Code", "Authorization Endpoint", "Authorization Grant", "Authorization Server", "Client", "Client Authentication", "Client Identifier", "Client Secret", "Grant Type", "Protected Resource", "Redirection URI", "Refresh Token", "Resource Server", "Response Type", and "Token Endpoint" defined by [OAuth 2.0](#) [RFC6749], the terms "Claim Name", "Claim Value", "JSON Web Token (JWT)", "JWT Claims Set", and "Nested JWT" defined by [JSON Web Token \(JWT\)](#) [JWT], the terms "Base64url Encoding",

"Header Parameter", and "JOSE Header" defined by [JSON Web Signature \(JWS\)](#) [JWS], the term "User Agent" defined by [RFC 7230](#) [RFC7230], and the term "Response Mode" defined by [OAuth 2.0 Multiple Response Type Encoding Practices](#) [OAuth.Responses].

This specification also defines the following terms:

Authentication

Process used to achieve sufficient confidence in the binding between the Entity and the presented Identity.

Authentication Request

OAuth 2.0 Authorization Request using extension parameters and scopes defined by OpenID Connect to request that the End-User be authenticated by the Authorization Server, which is an OpenID Connect Provider, to the Client, which is an OpenID Connect Relying Party.

Authentication Context

Information that the Relying Party can require before it makes an entitlement decision with respect to an authentication response. Such context can include, but is not limited to, the actual authentication method used or level of assurance such as [ISO/IEC 29115](#) [ISO29115] entity authentication assurance level.

Authentication Context Class [26131:2024](#)

Set of authentication methods or procedures that are considered to be equivalent to each other in a particular context.

Authentication Context Class Reference

Identifier for an Authentication Context Class.

Authorization Code Flow

OAuth 2.0 flow in which an Authorization Code is returned from the Authorization Endpoint and all tokens are returned from the Token Endpoint.

Authorization Request

OAuth 2.0 Authorization Request as defined by [\[RFC6749\]](#).

Claim

Piece of information asserted about an Entity.

Claim Type

Syntax used for representing a Claim Value. This specification defines Normal, Aggregated, and Distributed Claim Types.

Claims Provider

Server that can return Claims about an Entity.

Credential

Data presented as evidence of the right to use an identity or other resources.

End-User

Human participant.

Entity

Something that has a separate and distinct existence and that can be identified in a context. An End-User is one example of an Entity.

Essential Claim

Claim specified by the Client as being necessary to ensure a smooth authorization experience for the specific task requested by the End-User.

Hybrid Flow

OAuth 2.0 flow in which an Authorization Code is returned from the Authorization Endpoint, some tokens are returned from the Authorization Endpoint, and others are returned from the Token Endpoint.

ID Token

[JSON Web Token \(JWT\)](#) [JWT] that contains Claims about the Authentication event. It MAY contain other Claims.

Identifier

Value that uniquely characterizes an Entity in a specific context.

Identity

Set of attributes related to an Entity.