

Designation: D6352 - 14

StandardTest Method for Boiling Range Distribution of Petroleum Distillates in Boiling Range from 174 °C to 700 °C by Gas Chromatography¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D6352; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ε) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope*

- 1.1 This test method covers the determination of the boiling range distribution of petroleum distillate fractions. The test method is applicable to petroleum distillate fractions having an initial boiling point greater than 174 °C (345 °F) and a final boiling point of less than 700 °C (1292 °F) (C10 to C90) at atmospheric pressure as measured by this test method.
- 1.2 The test method is not applicable for the analysis of petroleum or petroleum products containing low molecular weight components (for example naphthas, reformates, gasolines, crude oils). Materials containing heterogeneous components (for example alcohols, ethers, acids, or esters) or residue are not to be analyzed by this test method. See Test Methods D3710, D2887, or D5307 for possible applicability to analysis of these types of materials.
- 1.3 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as standard. The values stated in inch-pound units are for information only and may be included as parenthetical values.
- 1.4 This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.

2. Referenced Documents

- 2.1 ASTM Standards:²
- D86 Test Method for Distillation of Petroleum Products at Atmospheric Pressure
- D1160 Test Method for Distillation of Petroleum Products at Reduced Pressure
- ¹ This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D02 on Petroleum Products, Liquid Fuels, and Lubricants and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D02.04.0H on Chromatographic Distribution Methods.
- Current edition approved Oct. 1, 2014. Published December 2014. Originally approved in 1998. Last previous edition approved in 2012 as D6352 12. DOI: 10.1520/D6352-14.
- ² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

- D2887 Test Method for Boiling Range Distribution of Petroleum Fractions by Gas Chromatography
- D2892 Test Method for Distillation of Crude Petroleum (15-Theoretical Plate Column)
- D3710 Test Method for Boiling Range Distribution of Gasoline and Gasoline Fractions by Gas Chromatography (Withdrawn 2014)³
- D4626 Practice for Calculation of Gas Chromatographic Response Factors
- D5307 Test Method for Determination of Boiling Range Distribution of Crude Petroleum by Gas Chromatography (Withdrawn 2011)³
- E355 Practice for Gas Chromatography Terms and Relationships
- E594 Practice for Testing Flame Ionization Detectors Used in Gas or Supercritical Fluid Chromatography
- E1510 Practice for Installing Fused Silica Open Tubular Capillary Columns in Gas Chromatographs

3. Terminology

- 3.1 *Definitions*—This test method makes reference to many common gas chromatographic procedures, terms, and relationships. For definitions of these terms used in this test method, refer to Practices E355, E594, and E1510.
 - 3.2 Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:
- 3.2.1 *area slice*, *n*—the area resulting from the integration of the chromatographic detector signal within a specified retention time interval. In area slice mode (see 6.4.2), peak detection parameters are bypassed and the detector signal integral is recorded as area slices of consecutive, fixed duration time intervals.
- 3.2.2 *corrected area slice*, *n*—an area slice corrected for baseline offset by subtraction of the exactly corresponding area slice in a previously recorded blank (non-sample) analysis.
- 3.2.3 *cumulative corrected area*, *n*—the accumulated sum of corrected area slices from the beginning of the analysis through a given retention time, ignoring any non-sample area (for example, solvent).

³ The last approved version of this historical standard is referenced on www.astm.org.

- 3.2.4 *final boiling point (FBP)*, *n*—the temperature (corresponding to the retention time) at which a cumulative corrected area count equal to 99.5 % of the total sample area under the chromatogram is obtained.
- 3.2.5 initial boiling point (IBP), n—the temperature (corresponding to the retention time) at which a cumulative corrected area count equal to 0.5% of the total sample area under the chromatogram is obtained.
- 3.2.6 *slice rate*, *n*—the time interval used to integrate the continuous (analog) chromatographic detector response during an analysis. The slice rate is expressed in Hz (for example integrations or slices per second).
- 3.2.7 *slice time*, *n*—the analysis time associated with each area slice throughout the chromatographic analysis. The slice time is the time at the end of each contiguous area slice.
- 3.2.8 *total sample area, n*—the cumulative corrected area, from the initial area point to the final area point, where the chromatographic signal has returned to baseline after complete sample elution.
- 3.3 *Abbreviations*—A common abbreviation of hydrocarbon compounds is to designate the number of carbon atoms in the compound. A prefix is used to indicate the carbon chain form, while a subscripted suffix denotes the number of carbon atoms (for example n-C₁₀ for normal-decane, i-C₁₄ for isotetradecane).

4. Summary of Test Method

- 4.1 The boiling range distribution determination by distillation is simulated by the use of gas chromatography. A non-polar open tubular (capillary) gas chromatographic column is used to elute the hydrocarbon components of the sample in order of increasing boiling point.
- 4.2 A sample aliquot is diluted with a viscosity reducing solvent and introduced into the chromatographic system. Sample vaporization is provided by separate heating of the point of injection or in conjunction with column oven heating.
- 4.3 The column oven temperature is raised at a specified linear rate to affect separation of the hydrocarbon components in order of increasing boiling point. The elution of sample components is quantitatively determined using a flame ionization detector. The detector signal is recorded as area slices for consecutive retention time intervals during the analysis.
- 4.4 Retention times of known normal paraffin hydrocarbons, spanning the scope of the test method, are determined and correlated to their boiling point temperatures. The normalized cumulative corrected sample areas for each consecutive recorded time interval are used to calculate the boiling range distribution. The boiling point temperature at each reported percent off increment is calculated from the retention time calibration.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 The boiling range distribution of medium and heavy petroleum distillate fractions provides an insight into the composition of feed stocks and products related to petroleum refining processes (for example, hydrocracking, hydrotreating,

- visbreaking, or deasphalting). The gas chromatographic simulation of this determination can be used to replace conventional distillation methods for control of refining operations. This test method can be used for product specification testing with the mutual agreement of interested parties.
- 5.2 This test method extends the scope of boiling range determination by gas chromatography to include medium and heavy petroleum distillate fractions beyond the scope of Test Method D2887 (538 °C).
- 5.3 Boiling range distributions obtained by this test method have not been analyzed for correlation to those obtained by low efficiency distillation, such as with Test Method D86 or D1160.

6. Apparatus

- 6.1 *Chromatograph*—The gas chromatographic system used shall have the following performance characteristics:
- 6.1.1 *Carrier Gas Flow Control*—The chromatograph shall be equipped with carrier gas pressure or flow control capable of maintaining constant carrier gas flow control through the column throughout the column temperature program cycle.
- 6.1.2 *Column Oven*—Capable of sustained and linear programmed temperature operation from near ambient (for example, $30 \, ^{\circ}$ C to $35 \, ^{\circ}$ C) up to $450 \, ^{\circ}$ C.
- 6.1.3 Column Temperature Programmer—The chromatograph shall be capable of linear programmed temperature operation up to 450 °C at selectable linear rates up to 20 °C/min. The programming rate shall be sufficiently reproducible to obtain the retention time repeatability of 0.1 min (6 s) for each component in the calibration mixture described in 7.5.
- 6.1.4 *Detector*—This test method requires the use of a flame ionization detector (FID). The detector shall meet or exceed the following specifications in accordance with Practice E594. The flame jet should have an orifice of approximately 0.05 mm to 0.070 mm (0.020 in, to 0.030 in.).
 - 6.1.4.1 Operating Temperature—100 °C to 450 °C.
 - 6.1.4.2 Sensitivity—>0.005 C/g carbon.
 - 6.1.4.3 Minimum Detectability—1 × 10-11 g carbon/s.
 - 6.1.4.4 *Linear Range*—>10⁶
- 6.1.4.5 Connection of the column to the detector shall be such that no temperature below the column temperature exists between the column and the detector. Refer to Practice E1510 for proper installation and conditioning of the capillary column.
- 6.1.5 Sample Inlet System—Any sample inlet system capable of meeting the performance specification in 7.6 and 8.2.2 may be used. Programmable temperature vaporization (PTV) and cool on-column injection systems have been used successfully.
- 6.2 *Microsyringe*—A microsyringe with a 23-gage or smaller stainless steel needle is used for on-column sample introduction. Syringes of 0.1 μ L to 10 μ L capacity are available.
- 6.2.1 Automatic syringe injection is recommended to achieve best precision.
- 6.3 Column—This test method is limited to the use of non-polar wall coated open tubular (WCOT) columns of high

thermal stability (see Note 1). Glass, fused silica, and stainless steel columns with 0.53 mm to 0.75 mm internal diameter have been successfully used. Cross-linked or bonded 100 % dimethyl-polysiloxane stationary phases with film thickness of 0.10 μ m to 0.20 μ m have been used. The column length and liquid phase film thickness shall allow the elution of at least C90 n-paraffin (BP = 700°C). The column and conditions shall provide separation of typical petroleum hydrocarbons in order of increasing boiling point and meet the column performance requirements of 8.2.1. The column shall provide a resolution between three (3) and ten (10) using the test method operating conditions.

Note 1—Based on recent information that suggests that true boiling points (atmospheric equivalent temperatures) versus retention times for all components do not fall on the same line, other column systems that can meet this criteria will be considered. These criteria will be specified after a round robin evaluation of the test method is completed.

- 6.4 Data Acquisition System:
- 6.4.1 Recorder—A 0 mV to 1 mV range recording potentiometer or equivalent with a full-scale response time of 2 s or less may be used. It is, however, not a necessity if an integrator/computer data system is used.
- 6.4.2 *Integrator*—Means shall be provided for determining the accumulated area under the chromatogram. This can be done by means of an electronic integrator or computer-based chromatography data system. The integrator/computer system shall have normal chromatographic software for measuring the retention time and areas of eluting peaks (peak detection mode). In addition, the system shall be capable of converting the continuously integrated detector signal into area slices of fixed duration. These contiguous area slices, collected for the entire analysis, are stored for later processing. The electronic range of the integrator/computer (for example 1 V, 10 V) shall be operated within the linear range of the detector/electrometer system used.

Note 2—Some gas chromatographs have an algorithm built into their operating software that allows a mathematical model of the baseline profile to be stored in memory. This profile is automatically subtracted from the detector signal on subsequent sample runs to compensate for the column bleed. Some integration systems also store and automatically subtract a blank analysis from subsequent analytical determinations.

7. Reagents and Materials

- 7.1 Carrier Gas—Helium, hydrogen, or nitrogen of high purity. The use of alternative carrier gases hydrogen and nitrogen is described in Appendix X2. (Warning—Helium and nitrogen are compressed gases under high pressure) Additional purification is recommended by the use of molecular sieves or other suitable agents to remove water, oxygen, and hydrocarbons. Available pressure shall be sufficient to ensure a constant carrier gas flow rate.
- 7.2 *Hydrogen*—Hydrogen of high purity (for example, hydrocarbon free) is used as fuel for the FID. Hydrogen can also be used as the carrier gas. (**Warning**—Hydrogen is an extremely flammable gas under high pressure).
- 7.3 Air—High purity (for example, hydrocarbon free) compressed air is used as the oxidant for the FID. (**Warning**—Compressed air is a gas under high pressure and supports combustion).

- 7.4 Solvents—Unless otherwise indicated, it is intended that all solvents conform to the specifications of the Committee on Analytical Reagents of the American Chemical Society where such specifications are available.⁴ Other grades may be used, provided it is first ascertained that the solvent is of sufficiently high purity to permit its use without lessening the accuracy of the determination.
- 7.4.1 Carbon Disulfide (CS_2)—(99+ % pure) is used as a viscosity-reducing solvent and as a means of reducing mass of sample introduced onto the column to ensure linear detector response and reduced peak skewness. It is miscible with asphaltic hydrocarbons and provides a relatively small response with the FID. The quality (hydrocarbon content) should be determined by this test method prior to use as a sample diluent. (Warning— CS_2 is extremely flammable and toxic.)
- 7.4.2 Cyclohexane (C_6H_{12})—(99+ % pure) may be used in place of CS_2 for the preparation of the calibration mixture.
- 7.5 Calibration Mixture—A qualitative mixture of n-paraffins (nominally C10 to C100) dissolved in a suitable solvent. The final concentration should be approximately one part of n-paraffin mixture to 200 parts of solvent. At least one compound in the mixture shall have a boiling point lower than the initial boiling point and one shall have a boiling point higher than the final boiling point of the sample being analyzed, as defined in 1.1. The calibration mixture shall contain at least eleven known n-paraffins (for example C10, C12, C16, C20, C30, C40, C50, C60, C70, C80, and C90). Atmospheric equivalent boiling points of n-paraffins are listed in Table 1.
- Note 3—A suitable calibration mixture can be obtained by dissolving a hydrogenated polyethylene wax (for example, Polywax 655 or Polywax 1000) in a volatile solvent (for example, CS₂ or C₆H₁₂). Solutions of 1 part Polywax to 200 parts solvent can be prepared. Lower boiling point paraffins will have to be added to ensure conformance with 7.5. Fig. 1 illustrates a typical calibration mixture chromatogram, and Fig. 2 illustrates an expanded scale of carbon numbers above 75.
- 7.6 Response Linearity Mixture—Prepare a quantitatively weighed mixture of at least ten individual paraffins (>99 % purity), covering the boiling range of the test method. The highest boiling point component should be at least n-C60. The mixture shall contain n-C40. Use a suitable solvent to provide a solution of each component at approximately 0.5 % by mass to 2.0 % by mass.
- 7.7 Reference Material 5010—A reference sample that has been analyzed by laboratories participating in the test method cooperative study. Consensus values for the boiling range distribution of this sample are given in Table 2.

8. Preparation of Apparatus

8.1 Gas Chromatograph Setup:

⁴ Reagent Chemicals, American Chemical Society Specifications, American Chemical Society, Washington, DC. For Suggestions on the testing of reagents not listed by the American Chemical Society, see Annual Standards for Laboratory Chemicals, BDH Ltd., Poole, Dorset, U.K., and the United States Pharmacopeia and National Formulary, U.S. Pharmacopeial Convention, Inc. (USPC), Rockville, MD.



Carbon No. Boiling Point, "C Boiling Point, "F 1	TABLE '	1 Boiling Points of n-Pa	araffins ^{A,B}		TABLE 1 Continued	
2	Carbon No.	Boiling Point, °C	Boiling Point, °F	Carbon No.	Boiling Point, °C	Boiling Point, °F
3	1	-162	-259		664	1227
4 0 31 79 673 1243 6 6 69 156 81 678 1222 7 98 209 82 681 1228 8 128 289 88 681 1229 8 128 289 88 681 1227 11 174 345 88 68 68 127 11 196 385 86 88 68 127 11 196 385 86 88 69 127 11 196 385 86 88 69 127 11 196 385 86 88 69 127 11 196 385 86 88 69 127 12 216 421 87 683 1279 13 225 456 88 89 697 1287 14 254 488 89 697 1287 15 277 519 90 70 70 1222 16 277 548 91 90 70 70 1222 17 15 19 90 70 70 1222 17 15 19 90 70 70 1222 18 19 330 625 94 70 123 19 330 625 94 70 123 20 344 651 95 71 123 21 356 675 99 77 14 1310 22 369 666 97 77 14 1317 22 369 666 97 77 14 1317 22 369 666 97 77 14 1317 24 391 736 99 776 1332 25 402 776 99 774 13137 26 199 776 1330 27 422 771 28 49 80 776 99 774 13137 28 39 776 99 774 13137 29 440 824 981 98 776 1322 39 440 824 98 89 677 1287 39 440 824 98 89 677 1287 39 440 824 98 89 677 1287 39 516 500 99 77 74 13137 39 500 49 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80		-89	-127		667	1233
5 36 97 80 675 1247 7 98 209 82 081 1258 8 128 288 83 683 1261 10 174 304 385 86 88 1201 11 168 385 86 689 1207 12 216 421 87 693 1279 13 235 456 88 696 1227 13 235 456 88 696 1228 14 224 488 88 696 1228 15 271 519 90 7700 1227 16 227 548 88 696 7 1228 16 8 9 9 7 10 1237 17 519 90 7700 1222 18 90 770 1232 18 90 770 1232 19 90 770 1232 19 90 770 1232 19 90 770 1232 19 90 770 1232 20 344 651 95 95 710 1310 21 336 675 96 712 1314 22 399 966 675 96 712 1314 23 390 716 55 98 710 1310 21 30 30 625 94 708 1306 22 399 966 97 123 1314 23 390 716 561 995 710 1310 24 39 39 716 1327 25 40 40 70 70 70 1310 26 412 774 4 70 70 70 1310 27 422 761 1310 28 411 808 771 14 1317 28 411 808 771 14 1317 28 411 808 771 14 1317 28 411 808 771 14 1317 28 411 808 771 14 1317 29 412 774 4 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70				78	670	1238
6 6 69 156 81 678 1228 681 1228 88 1226 611 1268 88 1226 611 1268 88 1226 611 1268 88 1226 611 1268 88 1226 71 127 128 128 128 128 128 128 128 128 128 128				79	673	1243
8 126 258 83 3683 1281 9 151 303 84 686 1267 11 196 385 86 688 1270 11 196 385 86 68 691 1276 11 21 216 445 88 88 1270 11 22 16 445 88 88 1270 11 254 488 89 987 1273 11 254 488 99 90 700 1222 11 255 448 991 702 1226 11 26 287 548 991 702 1226 11 306 601 93 706 1303 12 20 344 661 92 704 1229 18 306 625 994 708 1306 20 344 661 95 96 712 1314 21 359 978 978 719 1314 22 389 978 98 719 1314 22 389 978 98 719 1314 22 389 978 98 719 1314 22 389 978 99 718 1324 24 391 738 99 718 1324 25 402 755 402 755 402 755 403 984 407 824 40 824 Hydrocarbon Project) and Test Method D6352 have changed and they are no of the data contained in both API Project Lab Project Data Contained to the nearest Whole number in both API Project Lab Project Data Contained to the nearest Whole number in both degrees Celsius and degrees Fahrenhald 14 88 88 98 98 778 1328 34 481 888 431 888 431 888 746 748 1324 35 489 496 495 775 781 885 776 1000 781 781 781 781 781 781 781 781 781 781						1247
8						1252
9 151 303 84 686 1267 110 174 345 85 688 1270 111 196 385 86 691 1279 113 233 458 88 989 1279 114 2216 421 87 693 1279 115 233 458 88 989 1279 116 227 518 99 99 1227 117 302 576 99 1702 1286 117 302 576 99 2 704 1299 118 316 601 93 706 1303 129 330 625 94 708 1306 120 344 651 95 710 1310 121 356 675 99 770 11314 122 368 696 675 128 97 714 1317 123 369 690 712 1314 124 389 178 99 778 114 1317 125 389 690 778 1314 126 427 791 978 1314 127 422 791 978 1314 128 431 808 940 97 718 1324 129 440 824 Hydrocarbon Project) and Test Method De352 have changed and they are no long or quiwell. The project of the transmitted by Subcommittee De20 4 and found in latest methods under the years some states of the second of th						
10						
11						
12 216 421 87 693 1279 13 225 466 88 695 1283 14 224 488 89 697 1287 15 271 519 90 700 1292 16 287 548 91 702 1296 17 302 576 92 704 1299 18 316 601 93 706 1303 19 330 625 94 708 1306 20 344 651 95 710 1310 21 386 675 96 712 1314 22 389 696 675 96 712 1314 23 389 696 97 712 1314 24 801 776 193 194 194 194 194 194 194 194 194 194 194						
13						
14						
15						
16 287 548 91 702 1296 17 302 576 92 704 1299 18 316 601 93 706 1303 20 344 661 95 770 1310 21 356 675 96 771 1314 22 369 696 97 712 1314 23 380 716 1321 24 391 736 99 7714 1317 24 391 736 99 7714 1317 24 391 736 99 7718 1324 25 402 755 100 720 1328 26 412 774 42 771 27 422 791 28 431 806 412 774 29 440 824 Hydrocarbon Project) and Test Method D6352 have changed and they are no of the data contained in both API Project 44 (Detaber 31, 1972 is believed to have provided the original normal standard and they are no of the data contained in both API Project 44 (Detaber 31, 1972 is believed to have provided the original normal standard by the control of the data contained in both API Project 44 (Detaber 31, 1972 is believed to have provided the original normal standard by the control of the data contained in both API Project 44 (Detaber 31, 1972 is believed to have provided the original normal standard by the control of the data contained in both API Project 44 (Detaber 31, 1972 is believed to have provided the original normal standard by the control of the data contained in both API Project 44 (Detaber 31, 1972 is believed to have provided the original normal standard by the control of the data contained in both API Project 44 (Detaber 31, 1972 is believed to have provided the original normal standard by the control of the data contained in both API Project 44 (Detaber 31, 1972 is believed to have provided the original normal standard by Subcommittee 100 200 4 and found in all test methods under the infrastructural standard by Subcommittee 100 200 4 and found in all test methods under the infrastructural standard by Subcommittee 100 200 4 and found in all test methods under the infrastructural standard by Subcommittee 100 200 4 and found in all test methods under the infrastructural standard by Subcommittee 100 200 4 and found in all test methods under the nearest whole deprese a formation of Section 100 20 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4						
17 302 576 92 704 1299 18 316 601 93 706 1303 19 330 625 94 708 1306 20 344 651 95 710 1310 21 356 675 96 77 710 1310 21 356 675 98 77 714 1317 22 369 696 97 7 714 1317 23 380 716 98 716 1321 24 391 736 99 718 1324 25 402 755 100 720 718 1324 26 412 774 API Project 44, Potober 31, 1972 is elieved to have provided the original normal paraffin bolling point data that are listed in Table 1. However, over the years some of the data contained in both API Project 44 (Premodynamics Research Center and API Project 44, Projec						
18						
19 330 625 94 708 13006 20 3444 661 95 710 1310 21 356 675 96 712 1314 22 369 666 97 7714 1317 23 380 716 98 77 114 1317 24 391 736 99 718 1324 25 402 755 100 720 720 1328 26 412 774 422 791 27 422 791 774 74 74 74 751 16 16 75 75 100 720 720 720 720 720 720 720 720 720 7						
20 344 651 95 710 1310 21 356 675 96 7712 1314 22 369 666 977 714 1317 23 389 716 1321 24 391 736 99 718 1321 25 402 755 100 720 1328 26 412 774 27 422 791 28 431 808 parafin bolling point data that are listed in Table 1. However, over the years some of the data contained in both API Project 44 (Therwordyamics Research Center Hydrocarbon Project) and Test Method D6352 have changed and they are no longer equivalent. Table 1 repress the current normal paraffin bolling point data that are listed in Table 1. However, over the years some one of the data contained in both API Project 44 (Therwordyamics Research Center Hydrocarbon Project) and Test Method D6352 have changed and they are no longer equivalent. Table 1 repress the current normal paraffin bolling point data that are listed in Table 1 repress the current normal paraffin bolling point data that are listed in Table 1 repress the current normal paraffin bolling point data that are listed in Table 1 repress the current normal paraffin bolling point data that are listed in Table 1 repress the current normal paraffin bolling point data that are listed in Table 1 repress the current normal paraffin bolling point data that are listed in Table 1 repress the current normal paraffin bolling point data that are listed in Table 1 repress the current normal paraffin bolling point data that are listed in Table 1 repress the current normal paraffin bolling point data that are listed in Table 1 repress the current normal paraffin bolling point data that are listed in Table 1 repress the current normal paraffin bolling point data that are listed in Table 1 repress the current normal paraffin bolling point data that are listed in Table 1 repress the current normal paraffin bolling point data that are listed in Table 1 repress the current normal paraffin bolling point data that are listed in Table 1 repress the current normal paraffin bolling point data that are listed in Table 1 repress the current normal paraffin bolling point data that are listed in Table 1 repress the par						
21		330		94	708	1306
22						1310
23	21	356	675	96		1314
24	22	369	696	97		1317
25	23	380	716	98	716	1321
26	24	391	736	99	718	1324
27 422 791 28 431 808 30 440 824 410 824 410 824 410 824 411 889 30 449 840 30 449 840 30 449 840 30 498 866 31 488 866 31 481 898 32 466 33 474 885 866 349 912 36 496 495 912 37 503 38 509 39 516 30 525 30 586 40 1004 41 528 382 209.165 °F, which rounds to 209 °F, which is converting 98.425 °C, gives 42 209.165 °F, which rounds to 209 °F, which is converting 98.425 °C, gives 44 45 55 1013 45 F, which rounds to 209 °F, which is converting 98.425 °C, gives 44 46 566 47 561 48 566 49 570 1058 570 1058 570 1067 571 579 1074 58 608 1126 609 615 1139 600 615 1139 601 619 1146 602 6025 1157	25	402	755	100	720	1328
27 422 791 28 431 808 806 431 808 806 944 29 440 824 400 824 940 825 942 942 942 942 942 942 942 942 942 942	26	412	774	A A DI Drainet 44 October	21 1070 is ballayed to baye	provided the original parmal
28	27	422	791			
49	28	431	808	1 01		,
San	29	440	824			
values accepted by Subcommittee D02.04 and found in all test methods under the jurisdiction D02.04.0H. 33	30	449	840			
32 466 874 885 33 474 885 876 Test Method D6352 has traditionally used n-paraffin boiling points rounded to the nearest whole degree for calibration. The boiling points listed in Table 1 are correct to the nearest whole degree for calibration. The boiling points listed in Table 1 are correct to the nearest whole degree for calibration. The boiling points listed in Table 1 are correct to the nearest whole degree for calibration. The boiling points listed in Table 1 are correct to the nearest whole number in both degrees Celsius and degrees Fahrenheit. However, if a conversion is made from one unit to the other and then rounded to a whole number, the results will not agree with the table values for a few carbon numbers. For example, the boiling point of n-heptane is 98.425 °C, which is correctly rounded to 98 °C in the table. However, converting 98.425 °C gives 209.165 °F, which rounds to 209 °F, while converting 98.425 °C, which is correctly rounded to 98 °C in the table. However, converting 98.425 °C gives 209.165 °F, which rounds to 209 °F, while converting 98.425 °C, which is correctly rounded to 98 °C in the table. However, converting 98.425 °C, which is correctly rounded to 98 °C in the table. However, converting 98.425 °C, which no correctly rounded to 98 °C in the table. However, converting 98.425 °C, which rounds to 209 °F, while converting 98.425 °C, which rounds to 209 °F, while converting 98.425 °C, which rounds to 209 °F, while converting 98.425 °C, which rounds to 209 °F, while converting 98.425 °C, which rounds to 209 °F, while converting 98.425 °C, which rounds to 209 °F, while converting 98.425 °C, which rounds to 209 °F, while converting 98.425 °C, which rounds to 209 °F, while converting 98.425 °C, which rounds to 209 °F, while converting 98.425 °C, which rounds to 209 °F, while converting 98.425 °C, which is correctly rounded to 98 °C in the table. However, converting 98.425 °C, which rounds to 209 °F, while converting 98.425 °C, which rounds to 209 °F, while converting 98.425 °C, which rounds	31	458	856			
33	32	466	870			n all test methods under the
912 912 913 914 915 915 915 915 915 915 915 915 915 915		474	885			noiling points recorded to the
1912	34	481	898			
However, if a conversion is made from one unit to the other and then rounded to a whole number, the results will not agree with the table values for a few carbon numbers. For example, the boiling point of n-heptane is 98.425 °C, which is correctly rounded to 98 °C in the table. However, converting 98.425 °C gives 299.12 534 993 43 540 1004 44 545 1013 545 1013 545 1013 545 1013 545 1013 545 1013 545 1013 545 1013 545 1013 545 1013 545 1013 555 1067 551 556 1067 551 579 1074 52 584 1083 555 556 1067 551 579 1074 52 556 600 1112 556 600 1112 556 600 615 1139 60 61 619 1146 62 622 1152 63 625 1157 64 629 1164 550 50 100 15.0 10.0 1	35	489	912			
37 503 937 a whole number, the results will not agree with the table values for a few carbon numbers. For example, the boiling point of n-heptane is 98.425 °C, which is correctly rounded to 98 °C in the table. However, converting 98.425 °C, which is correctly rounded to 98 °C in the table. However, converting 98.425 °C gives 208.4 °F, which rounds to 209 °F, while converting 98.425 °C gives 208.4 °F, which rounds to 209 °F, while converting 98.425 °C gives 208.4 °F, which rounds to 209 °F, while converting 98.425 °C gives 208.4 °F, which rounds to 209 °F, while converting 98.425 °C gives 208.4 °F, which rounds to 209 °F, while converting 98 °C gives 208.4 °F, which rounds to 209 °F, while converting 98.425 °C gives 208.4 °F, which rounds to 209 °F, while converting 98.425 °C gives 208.4 °F, which rounds to 209 °F, while converting 98.425 °C gives 208.4 °F, which rounds to 209 °F, while converting 98.425 °C gives 208.4 °F, which rounds to 209 °F, while converting 98.425 °C gives 208.4 °F, which rounds to 209 °F, while converting 98.425 °C gives 208.4 °F, which rounds to 209 °F, while converting 98.425 °C gives 208.4 °F, which rounds to 209 °F, while converting 98.425 °C gives 208.4 °F, which rounds to 209 °F, while converting 98.425 °C gives 208.4 °F, which rounds to 209 °F, while converting 98.425 °C gives 208.4 °F, which rounds to 209 °F, while converting 98.425 °C gives 208.4 °F, which rounds to 209 °F, while converting 98.425 °C gives 208.4 °F, which rounds to 209 °F, while rounds to 209 °F, while converting 98.425 °C gives 208.4 °F, which rounds to 209 °F, while rounds to 209 °F, whi	36	496	925			
38 509 948 948 961	37	503	937	,		
39	38	509	948			
40 522 972 209.165 °F, which rounds to 209 °F, while converting 98 °C gives 208.4 °F, which rounds to 209 °F. while converting 98 °C gives 208.4 °F, which rounds to 209 °F. Carbon numbers 2, 4, 7, 8, 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 25, 27, and 32 are 43 540 1004 1004 1005 545 1013 444 545 1013 445 1022 588 566 1033 477 561 1042 488 566 1051 499 570 1058 500 575 1067 51 579 1074 52 588 1090 588 1090 595 55 596 1105 566 600 1112 105 59 604 1119 58 608 1126 600 615 1134 662 622 1152 603 625 1152 603 625 1152 603 625 1152 603 625 1152 604 629 1164 105 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500	39	516	961			
41 528 982 rounds to 208 °F. Carbon numbers 2, 4, 7, 8, 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 25, 27, and 32 are affected by rounding. 43 540 1004	40	522	972			
42 534 993 43 540 1004 M D635 1 1	41	528	982			
43 540 1004 M 106352-14 44 545 1013 https:// 45 ndards.itch.ai/c550og/standards/sis1022 5885c6-dc88-465b-b928-980ebc511872/astm-d6352-14 46 556 1033 47 561 1042 48 566 1051 49 570 1058 50 575 1067 51 579 1074 52 584 1083 53 588 1090 54 592 1098 55 596 1105 56 600 1112 57 604 1119 58 608 1126 59 612 1134 60 615 1139 61 619 1146 62 622 1152 63 625 1157 64 629 1164	42	534	993		1101110615 2, 4, 7, 6, 9, 15, 14	i, 15, 10, 25, 27, and 52 are
https:// 45.mdards.iteh.ai/c 550og/standards/si 1022 5a85c6-dc88-465b-b928-980ebc5 11872/astm-d6352-14 46	43	540	1004	anected by founding.		
46 556 1033 47 561 1042 48 566 1051 49 570 1058 50 575 1067 51 579 1074 52 584 1083 53 588 1090 54 592 1098 55 596 1105 56 600 1112 57 604 1119 58 608 1126 59 612 1134 60 615 1139 61 619 1146 62 622 1152 63 625 1157 64 629 1164	44	545	1013			
47 561 1042 48 566 1051 49 570 1058 50 575 1067 51 579 1074 52 584 1083 53 588 1090 54 592 1098 98 55 596 1105 56 600 1112 57 604 1119 58 608 1126 59 612 1134 60 615 1139 61 619 1146 62 622 1152 63 625 1157 64 629 1164 0.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 35.0 40.0 45.0 50.0	https://45.ndards	iteh.ai/ca550 og/stand	lards/sis1022 5a85c6			
48 566 1051 49 570 1058 50 575 1067 51 579 1074 52 584 1083 53 588 1090 55 54 592 1098 55 56 600 1112 57 60 615 1139 60 615 1139 61 61 619 1146 62 622 1157 64 629 1164 0.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 35.0 40.0 45.0 50.0	46	556	1033	7		
49 570 1058 50 575 1067 51 579 1074 52 584 1083 53 588 1090 54 592 1098 55 596 1105 56 600 1112 57 604 1119 58 608 1126 59 612 1134 60 615 1139 61 619 1146 62 622 1152 63 625 1157 64 629 1164	47	561	1042	1		
50 575 1067 51 579 1074 52 584 1083 53 588 1090 54 592 1098 55 596 1105 56 600 1112 57 604 1119 58 608 1126 59 612 1134 60 615 1139 61 619 1146 62 622 1152 63 625 1157 64 629 1164 0.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 35.0 40.0 45.0 50.0	48	566	1051	1		
51 579 1074 52 584 1083 53 588 1090 54 592 1098 55 596 1105 56 600 1112 57 604 1119 58 608 1126 59 612 1134 60 615 1139 61 619 1146 62 622 1152 63 625 1157 64 629 1164 0.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 35.0 40.0 45.0 50.0	49	570	1058	ΪE		
52 584 1083 53 588 1090 54 592 1098 55 596 1105 56 600 1112 57 604 1119 58 608 1126 59 612 1134 60 615 1139 61 619 1146 62 622 1152 63 625 1167 64 629 1164 0.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 35.0 40.0 45.0 50.0	50	575	1067	3)		
53 588 1090	51	579	1074	41		
54 592 1098 55 596 1105 56 600 1112 57 604 1119 58 608 1126 59 612 1134 60 615 1139 61 619 1146 62 622 1152 63 625 1157 64 629 1164 0.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 35.0 40.0 45.0 50.0	52	584	1083	1		
56 600 1112 2 4 5 5 604 1119 5 608 1126 5 612 1134 60 615 1139 615 619 619 62 622 1152 63 625 1157 64 629 1164 0.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 35.0 40.0 45.0 50.0	53	588	1090	ᇳᆌ		
56 600 1112 2 4 5 5 604 1119 5 608 1126 5 612 1134 60 615 1139 615 619 619 62 622 1152 63 625 1157 64 629 1164 0.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 35.0 40.0 45.0 50.0	54	592	1098	S		
56 600 1112 2 4 5 5 604 1119 5 608 1126 5 612 1134 60 615 1139 615 619 619 62 622 1152 63 625 1157 64 629 1164 0.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 35.0 40.0 45.0 50.0	55	596	1105	IE &		
58 608 1126 59 612 1134 60 615 1139 61 619 1146 62 622 1152 63 625 1157 64 629 1164 0.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 35.0 40.0 45.0 50.0	56	600	1112	쀭킈		
58 608 1126 59 612 1134 60 615 1139 61 619 1146 62 622 1152 63 625 1157 64 629 1164 0.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 35.0 40.0 45.0 50.0				14 16		
59 612 1134 60 615 1139 61 619 1146 62 622 1152 63 625 1157 64 629 1164 0.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 35.0 40.0 45.0 50.0				4H I		
60 615 1139 61 619 1146 62 622 1152 63 625 1157 64 629 1164 0.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 35.0 40.0 45.0 50.0				3111	44	
61 619 1146 62 622 1152 63 625 1157 64 629 1164 0.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 35.0 40.0 45.0 50.0				3	40 42 46 48 50 52 54 56 c.	
62 622 1152 1152 1152 1157 1157 1164 0.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 35.0 40.0 45.0 50.0				3 18 20 24 28 34	32 34 38 366,264 66 70	
63 625 1157				ti TuuuV'E		
64 629 1164 0.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 <u>25.0</u> 30.0 35.0 40.0 45.0 50.0				1,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
				0.0 5.0 10.0	15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0	35.0 40.0 45.0 50.0
					MINUTES	

FIG. 1 Chromatogram of C_5 to C_{44} Plus Polywax 655 Used to Obtain Retention Time/Boiling Point Curve Using a 100 % Dimethylpolysiloxane Stationary Phase

8.1.1 Place the gas chromatograph and ancillary equipment into operation in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Typical operating conditions are shown in Table 3.




FIG. 2 Scale-Expanded Chromatogram of Latest Eluting Peaks Showing C₇₆ to C₉₈ Normal Paraffins on a 100 % Dimethylpolysiloxane Stationary Phase

TABLE 2 Test Method D6352 Reference Material 5010^A

% OFF	Average, °F	95.5% CI, °F Allowable Difference	Average, °C	95.5% CI, °C Allowable Difference
IBP	801	16	428	9
5	891	5	477	3
10	918	5	493	3
15	936	5	502	3
20	950	6	510	eh ³ / ₄ Sta
25	963	6	518	4
30	975	7	524	4
35	987	7	531	/gt4mn
40	998	8	537	
45	1008	8	543	4
50	1019	8	548	ıım ⁵ eni
55	1030	8	554	4 5 11
60	1040	8	560	4
65	1051	8	566	4
70	1062	8	572	4
75	1073	9	578	ASSIM DO
80 85 tps://s	stand 1086 1099 S. ib	eh.ai/c <mark>8</mark> ttalog/	585 593 rds/	sist/0245a85c
90	1116	8	602	4
95	1140	7	616	4
FBP	1213	32	655	18

^A Consensus results obtained from 14 laboratories in 2000.

- 8.1.2 Attach one of the column specified in Table 4 to the detector inlet by ensuring that the end of the column terminates as close as possible to the FID jet tip. Follow the instructions in Practice E1510.
- 8.1.3 The FID should be periodically inspected and, if necessary, remove any foreign deposits formed in the detector from combustion of silicone liquid phase or other materials. Such deposits will change the response characteristics of the detector.
- 8.1.4 If the sample inlet system is heated, a blank analysis shall be made after a new septum is installed to ensure that no extraneous peaks are produced by septum bleed. At the sensitivity levels commonly employed in this test method, conditioning of the septum at the upper operating temperature of the sample inlet system for several hours will minimize this problem. The inlet liner and initial portion of the column shall

TABLE 3 Typical Gas Chromatographic Conditions for the Simulated Distillation of Petroleum Fractions in the Boiling Range from 174 °C to 700 °C

Trange from 174 & 18 700 &				
Instrument	a gas chromatography equipped with an on-column or temperature programmable vaporizing injector (PTV)			
Column	capillary, aluminum clad fused silica 5 m \times 0.53 mm id film thickness 0.1 μ m of a 100 % dimethylpolysiloxane stationary phase			
Flow conditions	UHP helium at 18 mL/min (constant flow)			
Injection temperature	oven-track mode			
Detector	flame ionization; air 400 mL/min, hydrogen 32 mL/min make-up gas, helium at 24 mL/min temperature: 450 °C range: 2E5			
Oven program	initial oven temperature 50 °C, initial hold 0 min, program rate 10 °C/min, final oven temperature 400 °C, final hold 6 min, equilibration time 5 min.			
Sample size	0.5 µL			
Sample dilution	1 weight percent in carbon disulfide			
Calibration dilution	0.5 weight percent in carbon disulfide			

TABLE 4 Column Selection for Performing Boiling Range
Distribution of Petroleum Distillates in the Range from 174 °C to

700 C by Gas Chromatography
Capillary Column
5 m × 0.53 mm I.D., Polymide or aluminum clad fused silica capillary column
with a bonded phase of 100 % dimethylpolysiloxane of 0.1 µm film thickness.
5 m \times 0.53 m I.D., stainless steel columns with a bonded phase of 100 % dimethylpolysiloxane of 0.1 μ m film thickness

be periodically inspected and replaced, if necessary, to remove extraneous deposits or sample residue.

- 8.1.5 *Column Conditioning*—A new column will require conditioning at the upper test method operating temperature to reduce or eliminate significant liquid phase bleed to produce or generate a stable and repeatable chromatographic baseline. Follow the guidelines outlined in Practice E1510.
 - 8.2 System Performance Specification:
- 8.2.1 Column Resolution—The column resolution, influenced by both the column physical parameters and operating conditions, affects the overall determination of boiling range distribution. Resolution is, therefore, specified to maintain equivalence between different systems (laboratories) employing this test method. Resolution is determined using Eq 1 and the $\rm C_{50}$ and $\rm C_{52}$ paraffins from a calibration mixture analysis (or a polywax retention time boiling point mixture). Resolution (R) should be at least two (2) and not more than four (4), using the identical conditions employed for sample analyses.

$$R = 2 (t_2 - t_1) / (1.699 (w_2 + w_1))$$
 (1)

where:

TABLE 5 Measured Response of the Flame Ionization Detector as a Function of Carbon Number for One Laboratory Using a Fused Silica Column with 100 % Dimethylpolysiloxane Stationary Phase

Carbon No.	Measured Response Factor $(nC_{40} = 1.00)$
12	0.98
14	0.96
17	0.95
20	0.97
28	0.96
32	0.98
36	0.96
40	1.00
44	0.98
60	0.97

 t_1 = time (s) for the n-C₅₀ peak max,

 t_2 = time (s) for the n-C₅₂ peak max,

 w_1 = peak width (s), at half height, of the n-C₅₀ peak, and w_2 = peak width (s), at half height, of the n-C₅₂ peak.

8.2.2 Detector Response Calibration —This test method assumes that the FID response to petroleum hydrocarbons is proportional to the mass of individual components. This shall be verified when the system is put in service, and whenever any changes are made to the system or operational parameters. Analyze the response linearity mixture (see 7.6) using the identical procedure to be used for the analysis of samples (see Section 9). Calculate the relative response factor for each n-paraffin (relative to n-tetracontane) in accordance with Prac-

$$Fn = (Cn/An)/(Cn - C40/An - C40)$$
 (2)

where:

tice D4626 and Eq 2:

Cn = concentration of the n-paraffin in the mixture,

An = peak area of the n-paraffin in the mixture,

Cn-C40 = concentration of the n-tetracontane in the mixture,

An-C40 = peak area of the n-tetracontane in the mixture.

The relative response factor (Fn) of each n-paraffin shall not deviate from unity by more than ± 5 %. Results of response factor determinations by one lab are presented in Table 5.

8.2.3 Column Temperature—The column temperature program profile is selected such that there is baseline separation between the solvent and the first n-paraffin peak (C10) in the calibration mixture and the maximum boiling point (700 °C). n-Paraffin (C90) is eluted from the column before reaching the end of the temperature program. The actual program rate used will be influenced by other operating conditions, such as column dimensions, carrier gas and flow rate, and sample size. Thin liquid phase film thickness and narrower bore columns may require lower carrier gas flow rates and faster column temperature program rates to compensate for sample component overloading (see 9.3.1).

8.2.4 Column Elution Characteristics —The column phase is non-polar and having McReynolds numbers of x = 15-17, y = 53-57, z = 43-46, u = 65-67, and s = 42-45.

9. Procedure

9.1 Analysis Sequence Protocol—Define and use a predetermined schedule of analysis events designed to achieve maxi-

mum reproducibility for these determinations. The schedule shall include cooling the column oven and injector to the initial starting temperature, equilibration time, sample injection and system start, analysis, and final high temperature hold time.

- 9.1.1 After chromatographic conditions have been set to meet performance requirements, program the column temperature upward to the maximum temperature to be used and hold that temperature for the selected time. Following the analysis sequence protocol, cool the column to the initial starting temperature.
- 9.1.2 During the cool down and equilibration time, ready the integrator/computer system. If a retention time calibration is being performed, use the peak detection mode. For samples and baseline compensation (with or without solvent injection), use the area slice mode operation. For the selection of slice width, see 10.
- 9.1.3 At the exact time set by the schedule, inject either the calibration mixture, solvent, or sample into the chromatograph; or make no injection (perform a baseline blank). At the time of injection, start the chromatograph time cycle and the integrator/computer data acquisition. Follow the analysis protocol for all subsequent repetitive analyses or calibrations. Since complete resolution of sample peaks is not expected, do not change the sensitivity setting during the analysis.
- 9.2 Baseline Blank—A blank analysis (baseline blank) shall be performed at least once per day. The blank analysis may be without injection or by injection of an equivalent solvent volume as used with sample injections, depending upon the subsequent data handling capabilities for baseline/solvent compensation. The blank analysis is typically performed prior to sample analyses, but may be useful if determined between samples or at the end of a sample sequence to provide additional data regarding instrument operation or residual sample carry over from previous sample analyses.

Note 4—If automatic baseline correction (see Note 2) is provided by the gas chromatograph, further correction of area slices may not be required. However, if an electronic offset is added to the signal after baseline compensation, additional area slice correction may be required in the form of offset subtraction. Consult the specific instrumentation instructions to determine if an offset is applied to the signal. If the algorithm used is unclear, the slice area data can be examined to determine if further correction is necessary. Determine if any offset has been added to the compensated signal by examining the corrected area slices of those time slices that precede the elution of any chromatographic unretained substance. If these corrected area slices (representing the true baseline) deviate from zero, subtract the average of these corrected area slices from each corrected area slice in the analysis.

- 9.3 Retention Time versus Boiling Point Calibration—A retention time versus boiling point calibration shall be performed on the same day that analyses are performed. Inject an appropriate aliquot (0.2 μ L to 2.0 μ L) of the calibration mixture (see 7.5) into the chromatograph, using the analysis schedule protocol. Obtain a normal (peak detection) data record to determine the peak retention times and the peak areas for each component. Collect a time slice area record if a boiling range distribution report is desired.
- 9.3.1 Inspect the chromatogram of the calibration mixture for evidence of skewed (non-Gaussian shaped) peaks. Skewness is often an indication of overloading the sample capacity