Designation: D4122 – 10a (Reapproved 2015)

Standard Practice for
Carbon Black—Evaluation of an Industry Reference Black[1]

1. Scope

1.1 This practice covers guidelines for the production and testing for uniformity of a lot of carbon black to be used as an Industry Reference Black (IRB).

1.2 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as the standard. The values given in parentheses are for information only.

1.3 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards:*[2]

D412 Test Methods for Vulcanized Rubber and Thermoplastic Elastomers—Tension

D1506 Test Methods for Carbon Black—Ash Content

D1508 Test Method for Carbon Black, Pelleted Fines and Attrition

D1509 Test Methods for Carbon Black—Heating Loss

D1510 Test Method for Carbon Black—Iodine Adsorption Number

D1513 Test Method for Carbon Black, Pelleted—Pour Density

D1514 Test Method for Carbon Black—Sieve Residue

D1618 Test Method for Carbon Black Extractables—Transmittance of Toluene Extract

D2414 Test Method for Carbon Black—Oil Absorption Number (OAN)

D3182 Practice for Rubber—Materials, Equipment, and Procedures for Mixing Standard Compounds and Preparing Standard Vulcanized Sheets

D3191 Test Methods for Carbon Black in SBR (Styrene-Butadiene Rubber)—Recipe and Evaluation Procedures

D3192 Test Methods for Carbon Black Evaluation in NR (Natural Rubber)

D3265 Test Method for Carbon Black—Tint Strength

D3493 Test Method for Carbon Black—Oil Absorption Number of Compressed Sample (COAN)

D5230 Test Method for Carbon Black—Automated Individual Pellet Hardness

D6556 Test Method for Carbon Black—Total and External Surface Area by Nitrogen Adsorption

3. Significance and Use

3.1 These guidelines are intended to ensure that IRBs are evaluated by a standard procedure.

3.2 These guidelines are to be used to establish the average physicochemical and physical rubber properties of a lot of carbon black to be used as an IRB.

4. Production, Quality Control, and Quality Assurance

4.1 It is assumed that the manufacturer of the IRB will use state-of-the-art techniques to ensure maximum uniformity throughout the entire production run. The production should be made in one continuous production lot run. The testing called for in this practice is not intended to be a substitute for in-process quality control. This interlaboratory study is only adequate to verify the quality of a homogeneous lot.

4.2 The size of the lot is determined by historical records on the rate of use. The lot should have an expected life of 8 to 10 years at the most recent rate of use.

4.3 The black should be bagged in 50-lb polyethylene bags to reduce moisture incursion. Each pallet of bagged black should be wrapped in plastic to reduce environmental exposure. The bagged black will be segregated into at least twelve equal sized sublots for uniformity testing.

5. Sampling

5.1 After a suitable time to allow the black to stabilize, a bag will be selected from the approximate middle of each of the sublots; the bags selected will be numbered from one through *n*, where *n* is the total number of sublots, in order to represent the corresponding production lot.

5.2 *n* 4-dm^3 (1-gal) samples, numbered from one through *n*, and taken from the corresponding bags, will be sent to each participant in the interlaboratory study to evaluate the new IRB.

5.3 Additionally, a 4-dm^3 (1-gal) sample of the *previous* IRB taken from a common blended source will also be sent to each participant.

6. Procedure

6.1 Test, in order, one of the *n* samples on each of the one to *n* days. These days shall be as near to consecutive as possible.

6.2 Each day a sample is tested, subject it to all of the test methods described in 6.3 and 6.4.

6.3 *Rubber Physical Tests:*

6.3.1 Perform the following physical tests in rubber on both the new and previous IRB. Test samples mixed in accordance with Test Methods D3191 and cure for 50 min at 145°C as well as samples mixed in accordance with Test Methods D3192, Test Method A, and cure for 30 min at 145°C.

6.3.1.1 In accordance with Test Methods D412, Test Method A, test five dumbbells from each cured sheet and determine the median values of tensile stress at 300 % elongation, tensile strength, and ultimate elongation.

6.3.1.2 Record data in absolute numbers (not as differences from IRB) on Table 1, reporting tensile stress and tensile strength to the nearest 0.1 MPa and ultimate elongation to the nearest 5 %.

6.4 *Informational Physicochemical Tests:*

6.4.1 Perform the following physicochemical tests on the new IRB:

6.4.1.1 *Iodine Adsorption Number (Test Method D1510)*—Report the result obtained from an individual determination in grams of iodine per kilogram to the nearest 0.1 unit.

6.4.1.2 *Oil Absorption Number (Test Method D2414)*—Report the result obtained from an individual determination in 10^{-5} m^{3}kg (cm^3/100 g) to the nearest 0.1 unit.

6.4.2 Record data on Table 2 (or in a form that captures the same information as in Table 2).

7. Statistical Analysis

7.1 For each test in Table 1, enter the results from each laboratory for each sample into the form shown in Table 3. Then calculate the statistics defined in Table 3.

NOTE 1—Rubber physical test data are to be entered as differences from the previous IRB. For example:

$$Difference = X_1 - X_2 \qquad (1)$$

where:
X_1 = measured value for new IRB, and
X_2 = measured value for previous IRB.

7.2 If any row average test result falls outside the interval defined by the upper and lower control limits shown in Table 3, this will indicate that the sublot of IRB represented by that row average may be rejected by Committee D24 as being a nonhomogeneous portion of the production lot.

7.3 If any laboratory average test result (column average) falls outside the upper and lower control limits shown in Table 3, then that laboratory's data for that test should be deleted and Table 3 should be recalculated excluding that laboratory. Such data indicates that the laboratory has a significant reproducibility problem, which needs corrective action.

TABLE 1 Industry Reference Black Test Data

Laboratory Number __

Day of Mixing and Date	Sample No.	Tensile Strength, MPa D3191		Tensile Stress at 300 %, MPa D3191	Elongation, % D3191	Tensile Strength, MPa D3192		300 % Modulus, MPa D3192	Elongation, % D3192
Day No.__		50'				30'			
Date ____	Prev. IRB	50'				30'			
Day No.__		50'				30'			
Date ____	Prev. IRB	50'				30'			
Day No.__		50'				30'			
Date ____	Prev. IRB	50'				30'			
Day No.__		50'				30'			
Date ____	Prev. IRB	50'				30'			
Day No.__		50'				30'			
Date ____	Prev. IRB	50'				30'			
Day No.__		50'				30'			
Date ____	Prev. IRB	50'				30'			
Day No.__		50'				30'			
Date ____	Prev. IRB	50'				30'			
Day No.__		50'				30'			
Date ____	Prev. IRB	50'				30'			
Day No.__		50'				30'			
Date ____	Prev. IRB	50'				30'			
Day No.__		50'				30'			
Date ____	Prev. IRB	50'				30'			
Day No.__		50'				30'			
Date ____	Prev. IRB	50'				30'			

TABLE 2 Industry Reference Black Informational Test Data

Laboratory Number__

Day of Mixing and Date	Sample No.	Iodine No., g/kg D1510	Oil Absorption No., 10^{-5} mg^3/kg (cm^3/ 100 g) D2414
Day No.____ Date ____	___		
Day No.____ Date ____	___		
Day No.____ Date ____	___		
Day No.____ Date ____	___		
Day No.____ Date ____	___		
Day No.____ Date ____	___		
Day No.____ Date ____	___		
Day No.____ Date ____	___		
Day No.____ Date ____	___		
Day No.____ Date ____	___		
Day No.____ Date ____	___		
Day No.____ Date ____	___		

TABLE 3 Statistical Analysis Form

NOTE 1—Experience so far has shown that neither a laboratory's test values nor a sample's test values are random values about the grand average, but tend to reflect a persistent bias typified by the average for the laboratory or the sample. Consequently, it is not appropriate to divide the reproducibility by the square root of L or N as might otherwise be the case when comparing averages of L or N values to the grand average of $L \times N$ values.

Test Method: ASTM D ___

Sample No. ↓	Laboratory No. →	1	2	...	i	...	L	$\bar{X}_R$
1								
2								
...								
j								
...								
N								
$\bar{X}_C$								$\bar{\bar{X}}=$

Row average $\bar{X}_R = \sum_i X/L$

Grand average test value $\bar{\bar{X}} = \sum_j \bar{X}_R/N$

Upper and lower control limits for row averages $= \bar{\bar{X}} \pm$ reproducibility of the test method.

Column average $\bar{X}_C = \sum_j X/N$

Upper and lower control limits for column averages

$$= \bar{\bar{X}} \pm \text{reproducibility of the test method.}$$

7.4 After deleting data, the remaining data for each test can be used to provide average differences between the new IRB and the previous one.

7.5 For each test in Table 2, enter the results from each laboratory for each sample in the form shown in Table 3. Then calculate the statistics defined in Table 3. The results are for information only and not to determine the uniformity of the lot.