



Designation: C394/C394M – 16

Standard Test Method for Shear Fatigue of Sandwich Core Materials¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation C394/C394M; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This test method determines the effect of repeated shear forces on core material used in sandwich panels. Permissible core material forms include those with continuous bonding surfaces (such as balsa wood and foams) as well as those with discontinuous bonding surfaces (such as honeycomb).

1.2 This test method is limited to test specimens subjected to constant amplitude uniaxial loading, where the machine is controlled so that the test specimen is subjected to repetitive constant amplitude force (stress) cycles. Either shear stress or applied force may be used as a constant amplitude fatigue variable.

1.3 The values stated in either SI units or inch-pound units are to be regarded separately as standard. The values stated in each system may not be exact equivalents; therefore, each system shall be used independently of the other. Combining values from the two systems may result in non-conformance with the standard. Within the text, the inch-pound units are shown in brackets.

1.4 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards*:²

[D271/C271M Test Method for Density of Sandwich Core Materials](#)

[D273/C273M Test Method for Shear Properties of Sandwich Core Materials](#)

[D883 Terminology Relating to Plastics](#)

[D3878 Terminology for Composite Materials](#)

¹ This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D30 on Composite Materials and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D30.09 on Sandwich Construction.

Current edition approved April 1, 2016. Published April 2016. Originally approved in 1957. Last previous edition approved in 2013 as C394 – 13. DOI: 10.1520/C0394_C0394M-16.

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

[D5229/D5229M Test Method for Moisture Absorption Properties and Equilibrium Conditioning of Polymer Matrix Composite Materials](#)

[E6 Terminology Relating to Methods of Mechanical Testing](#)

[E122 Practice for Calculating Sample Size to Estimate, With Specified Precision, the Average for a Characteristic of a Lot or Process](#)

[E177 Practice for Use of the Terms Precision and Bias in ASTM Test Methods](#)

[E456 Terminology Relating to Quality and Statistics](#)

[E467 Practice for Verification of Constant Amplitude Dynamic Forces in an Axial Fatigue Testing System](#)

[E739 Practice for Statistical Analysis of Linear or Linearized Stress-Life \(\$S-N\$ \) and Strain-Life \(\$\epsilon-N\$ \) Fatigue Data](#)

[E1012 Practice for Verification of Testing Frame and Specimen Alignment Under Tensile and Compressive Axial Force Application](#)

2.2 *ISO Standards*³

[ISO 13003:2003\(E\) Fibre-reinforced plastics: Determination of fatigue properties under cyclic loading conditions](#)

3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions*:

3.1.1 Terminology [D3878](#) defines terms relating to high-modulus fibers and their composites, as well as terms relating to sandwich constructions. Terminology [D883](#) defines terms relating to plastics. Terminology [E6](#) defines terms relating to mechanical testing. Terminology [E456](#) and Practice [E177](#) define terms relating to statistics. In the event of a conflict between terms, Terminology [D3878](#) shall have precedence over the other terminologies.

NOTE 1—If the term represents a physical quantity, its analytical dimensions are stated immediately following the term (or letter symbol) in fundamental dimension form, using the following ASTM standard symbology for fundamental dimensions, shown within square brackets: $[M]$ for mass, $[L]$ for length, $[T]$ for time, $[\theta]$ for thermodynamic temperature, and $[nd]$ for non-dimensional quantities. Use of these symbols is restricted to analytical dimensions when used with square brackets, as the symbols may have other definitions when used without the brackets.

3.2 *Definitions*:

³ Available from International Organization for Standardization (ISO), 1, ch. de la Voie-Creuse, CP 56, CH-1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, <http://www.iso.org>.



3.2.1 *constant amplitude loading, n—in fatigue*, a loading in which all of the peak values of force (stress) are equal and all of the valley values of force (stress) are equal.

3.2.2 *fatigue loading transition, n—in the beginning of fatigue loading*, the number of cycles before the force (stress) reaches the desired peak and valley values.

3.2.3 *force (stress) ratio, R [nd], n—in fatigue loading*, the ratio of the minimum applied force (stress) to the maximum applied force (stress), where positive force (stress) corresponds to the tension mode of loading.

3.2.4 *frequency, f [T⁻¹], n—in fatigue loading*, the number of force (stress) cycles completed in 1 s (Hz).

3.2.5 *peak, n—in fatigue loading*, the occurrence where the first derivative of the force (stress) versus time changes from positive to negative sign; the point of maximum force (stress) in constant amplitude loading.

3.2.6 *residual strength, [ML⁻¹T⁻²], n—the value of force (stress) required to cause failure of a specimen under quasi-static loading conditions after the specimen is subjected to fatigue loading.*

3.2.7 *run-out, n—in fatigue*, an upper limit on the number of force cycles to be applied.

3.2.8 *spectrum loading, n—in fatigue*, a loading in which the peak values of force (stress) are not equal or the valley values of force (stress) are not equal (also known as variable amplitude loading or irregular loading).

3.2.9 *valley, n—in fatigue loading*, the occurrence where the first derivative of the force (stress) versus time changes from negative to positive sign; the point of minimum force (stress) in constant amplitude loading.

3.2.10 *wave form, n—the shape of the peak-to-peak variation of the force (stress) as a function of time.*

3.3 *Symbols—b* = width of specimen, mm [in]

CV = coefficient of variation statistic of a sample population for a given property (in percent)

L = length of specimen, mm [in]

N = number of constant amplitude cycles

P = force on specimen, positive for tension mode of loading, N [lb]

R = fatigue force (stress) ratio, minimum-to-maximum cyclic force (stress)

S_{n-1} = standard deviation statistic of a sample population for a given property

x_i = test result for an individual specimen from the sample population for a given property

\bar{x} = mean or average (estimate of mean) of a sample population for a given property

τ = core shear stress, MPa [psi]

4. Summary of Test Method

4.1 This test method consists of subjecting a sandwich core to cyclic shear force parallel to the plane of its faces. The force is transmitted to the core through loading plates which are bonded directly to the core (unlike the static core shear test, Test Method C273/C273M, bonding of loading plates to facesheets bonded to the core is not permitted). The number of

force (stress) cycles at which failure occurs for a specimen subjected to a specific force (stress) ratio and force (stress) magnitude is determined.

NOTE 2—This test method may be used as a guide to conduct shear fatigue testing of sandwich panels consisting of facesheets and core with the Test Method C273/C273M loading plates bonded to the facesheets.

4.2 The only acceptable failure modes for shear fatigue of sandwich core materials are those which are internal to the sandwich core. Failure of the loading plate-to-core bond is not an acceptable failure mode.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 Often the most critical stress to which a sandwich panel core is subjected is shear. The effect of repeated shear stresses on the core material can be very important, particularly in terms of durability under various environmental conditions.

5.2 This test method provides a standard method of obtaining the sandwich core shear fatigue response. Uses include screening candidate core materials for a specific application, developing a design-specific core shear cyclic stress limit, and core material research and development.

NOTE 3—This test method may be used as a guide to conduct spectrum loading. This information can be useful in the understanding of fatigue behavior of core under spectrum loading conditions, but is not covered in this standard.

5.3 Factors that influence core fatigue response and shall therefore be reported include the following: core material, core geometry (density, cell size, orientation, etc.), specimen geometry and associated measurement accuracy, specimen preparation, specimen conditioning, environment of testing, specimen alignment, loading procedure, loading frequency, force (stress) ratio and speed of testing (for residual strength tests).

NOTE 4—If a sandwich panel is tested using the guidance of this standard, the following may also influence the fatigue response and should be reported: facing material, adhesive material, methods of material fabrication, adhesive thickness and adhesive void content. Further, core-to-facing strength may be different between precured/bonded and co-cured facings in sandwich panels with the same core and facing materials.

6. Interferences

6.1 *Material and Specimen Preparation*—Poor material fabrication practices and damage induced by improper specimen machining are known causes of high data scatter in composites in general. Specific material factors that affect sandwich core include variability in core density and degree of cure of core bonding adhesive. For this particular core shear test, thickness of the adhesive bond to honeycomb core (adhesive-filled depth into the honeycomb core cells), core misalignment/distortion/damage, or bonding surface roughness may affect the core shear strength and fatigue life.

6.2 *System Alignment*—Unintended loading eccentricities will cause premature failure. Every effort should be made to eliminate undesirable eccentricities from the test system. Such eccentricities may occur as a result of misaligned grips, poor specimen preparation, or poor alignment of the bonded loading plates and loading fixture. If there is any doubt as to the alignment inherent in a given test machine, then the alignment

should be checked following the general philosophical approach described in Test Method E1012.

6.3 *Geometry*—Specific geometric factors that affect core shear fatigue response include core cell geometry (shape, density, orientation), core thickness, specimen shape (L/b ratio), and adhesive thickness.

6.4 *Environment*—Results are affected by the environmental conditions under which the tests are conducted. Specimens tested in various environments can exhibit significant differences in both fatigue life and failure mode. Critical environments must be assessed independently for each adhesive and core material tested. If possible, test the specimen under the same fluid exposure level used for conditioning. However, cases such as elevated temperature testing of a moist specimen place unrealistic requirements on the capabilities of common testing machine environmental chambers. In such cases, the mechanical test environment may need to be modified, for example, by testing at elevated temperature with no fluid exposure control, but with a specified limit on time to failure from withdrawal from the conditioning chamber.

6.5 *Loading Frequency*—Results may be affected by specimen heating if the test is run at too high a cyclic loading rate. High cyclic rates may induce heating due to material damping, and may cause variations in specimen temperature and properties of the core. Varying the cyclic frequency during the test is generally not recommended, as the response may be sensitive to the frequency utilized and the resultant thermal history.

6.6 *Force (Stress) Ratio*—Results may be affected by the force (stress) ratio under which the tests are conducted.

6.7 *Loading Mode*—Results may be affected by the mode of loading (tension versus compression).

6.8 *Failure Mode*—In some sandwich applications the effective shear strength of the core may be limited by the strength of the core-to-facing interface. In these cases it may be appropriate to test a sandwich panel representative of the intended application.

7. Apparatus

7.1 *Micrometers*—The micrometer(s) shall use a flat anvil interface on machined edges or very smooth-tooled surfaces. The accuracy of the instrument(s) shall be suitable for reading to within 1 % of the sample length, width and thickness. For typical specimen geometries, an instrument with an accuracy of $\pm 25 \mu\text{m}$ [± 0.001 in.] is desirable for thickness, length and width measurement.

7.2 *Test Fixtures*—Use either the tension or compression tension loading fixture described in Test Method C273/C273M depending on the specified mode of loading.

7.3 *Testing Machine*—The testing machine shall be in accordance with Practice E467 and shall satisfy the following requirements:

7.3.1 *Drive Mechanism*—The testing machine drive mechanism shall be capable of imparting to the movable head a controlled velocity with respect to the stationary head. The velocity of the movable head shall be capable of being regulated in accordance with 11.7.

7.3.2 *Force Indicator*—The testing machine force-sensing device shall be capable of indicating the total force being carried by the test specimen. This device shall be essentially free from inertia lag at the specified rate of testing and shall indicate the force with an accuracy over the force range(s) of interest to within ± 1 % of the indicated value.

7.3.3 *Counter*—The testing machine shall be capable of counting cycles of applied load.

7.4 *Conditioning Chamber*—When conditioning materials in non-laboratory environments, a temperature/vapor-level controlled environmental conditioning chamber is required that shall be capable of maintaining the required temperature to within $\pm 3^\circ\text{C}$ [$\pm 5^\circ\text{F}$] and the required relative humidity level to within ± 3 %. Chamber conditions shall be monitored either on an automated continuous basis or on a manual basis at regular intervals.

7.5 *Environmental Test Chamber*—An environmental test chamber is required for test environments other than ambient testing laboratory conditions. This chamber shall be capable of maintaining the gage section of the test specimen at the required test environment during the mechanical test.

7.6 *Thermocouple and Temperature Recording Devices*, capable of reading specimen temperature to $\pm 0.5^\circ\text{C}$ [$\pm 1.0^\circ\text{F}$].

8. Sampling and Test Specimens

8.1 *Sampling*—For statistically significant data, the procedures outlined in Practice E122 should be consulted. A statistically significant distribution of data should be obtained for a given core material, environment and loading condition from the number of tests selected.

8.1.1 *Sample Size for S-N Curve*—The recommended minimum number of specimens in the development of S-N data is three specimens per load level and a minimum of three load levels. For additional procedures consult Practice E739. Report the method of sampling.

8.2 *Geometry*—The test specimens shall be as described in Test Method C273/C273M, and the core material shall be bonded directly to the fixture plates (the optional Test Method C273/C273M configuration that includes facesheets is not covered by this standard). The dimensions of the specimen shall be such that the line of load action passes through the diagonally opposite corners of the core material.

8.3 *Specimen Preparation and Machining*—Specimen preparation is extremely important for this test method. Select an appropriate adhesive, given the desired environmental and cyclic loading conditions, and follow all adhesive supplier-recommended bonding processes. Take precautions when cutting specimens from large blocks to avoid notches, undercuts, rough or uneven surfaces, or delaminations due to inappropriate machining methods. Obtain final dimensions by water-lubricated precision sawing. The use of diamond tooling has been found to be extremely effective for many material systems. Edges should be flat and parallel within the specified tolerances. Record and report the specimen cutting preparation method.