



Standard Test Method Practice for Determination of Endotoxin Concentrations in Water-Miscible Metalworking Fluids¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation E2657; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

- 1.1 This test method covers quantitative methods for the sampling and determination of bacterial endotoxin concentrations in water miscible metalworking fluids (MWF).
- 1.2 Users of this test method need to be familiar with the handling of MWF.
- 1.3 This method gives an estimate of the endotoxin concentration in the sampled MWF.
- 1.4 This method replaces Test Method E2250.
- 1.5 This test method seeks to minimize inter-laboratory variation of endotoxin data but does not ensure uniformity of results.
- 1.6 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:²

~~D4840 Guide for Sample Chain-of-Custody Procedures~~

~~E1497 Practice for Selection and Safe Use of Water-Miscible and Straight Oil Metal Removal Fluids~~

~~E1542 Terminology Relating to Occupational Health and Safety~~

2.2 Government Standard:³

~~29 CFR 1910.1450 Occupational Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals in Laboratories~~

2.3 Other Documents:⁴

~~Criteria Document for a Recommended Standard: Occupational Exposure to Metalworking Fluids, 1998 NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods (NMAM), 4th ed., Eller and Cassinelli, Eds., 1994~~

3. Terminology

3.1 Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:

3.1.1 *control standard endotoxin (CSE), n*—a purified preparation of endotoxin based on the USP Reference Standard Endotoxin (RSE); used in laboratories to prepare standard solutions.

3.1.2 *endotoxin, n*—pyrogenic high molar mass lipopolysaccharide (LPS) complex associated with the cell wall of gram-negative bacteria.

¹ This test method practice is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee E34 on Occupational Health and Safety and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee E34.50 on Health and Safety Standards for Metal Working Fluids.

Current edition approved Dec. 1, 2011 Oct. 1, 2016. Published January 2012 October 2016. Originally approved in 2009. Last previous edition approved in 2009 2011 as E2657–09: E2657–11. DOI: 10.1520/E2657–11.10.1520/E2657–16.

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

³ Available from U.S. Government Printing Office Superintendent of Documents, 732 N. Capitol St., NW, Mail Stop: SDE, Washington, DC 20401, http://www.access.gpo.gov.

⁴ Available from CDC/NIOSH, 4676 Columbia Pkwy, Cincinnati, OH 45226-1998.

3.1.2.1 Discussion

Though endotoxins are pyrogens, not all pyrogens are endotoxins. Endotoxins are specifically detected through a Limulus Amebocyte Lysate (LAL) test:

3.1.3 *endotoxin unit (EU)*, *n*—a biological potency unit equivalent to the FDA Reference Standard Endotoxin (RSE):

3.1.3.1 *Discussion*—

The current RSE (EC-6) is equivalent to $1\text{ ng} = 10\text{ EU}$.

3.1.4 *geometric mean (GM)*, *n*—the central tendency of a set of numbers expressed as the *n*th root of their product.

3.1.5 *geometric standard deviation (GSD)*, *n*—the spread of data in a set of numbers expressed as a geometric mean.

3.1.6 *Gram-negative bacteria*, *n*—prokaryotic cells that have a complex cell wall structure that stains characteristically when subjected to the differential Gram staining procedure.

3.1.7 *inhibition/enhancement phenomenon*, *n*—conditions or artifacts in sample solutions that cause endotoxin concentration data from LAL assays to be less than or more than the concentration of endotoxin actually present in a given aqueous sample.

3.1.8 *Limulus amebocyte lysate (LAL) assay*, *n*—a biological assay dependent on a series of cascading enzyme reactions that occur when Limulus blood cell (amebocyte) lysate combines with endotoxin.

3.1.9 *metalworking fluid (MWF)*, *n*—any fluid used for the purpose of cooling or treating metal surfaces during metal removal, metal forming or surface protection or preservation.

3.1.10 *metal removal fluid (MRF)*, *n*—any fluid in the subclass of metalworking fluids used to cut, or otherwise take away material or piece of stock.

3.1.10.1 *Discussion*—

Metal removal fluids include straight or neat oils (D2881), not intended for further dilution with water, and water-miscible-soluble oils, semisynthetics and synthetics, which are intended to be diluted with water before use. Metal removal fluids become contaminated during use in the workplace with a variety of workplace substances including, but not limited to, abrasive particles, tramp oils, cleaners, dirt, metal fines and shavings, dissolved metal and hard water salts, bacteria, fungi, microbiological decay products, and waste. These contaminants can cause changes in the lubricity and cooling ability of the metal removal fluid as well as have the potential to adversely affect the health and welfare of employees in contact with the contaminated metal removal fluid.

3.1.11 *Operator-dependent assay*, *n*—an assay performed by a technician in such a manner to cause significant influence(s) on the resultant data.

3.1.12 *pyrogen-free (PF)*, *adj*—material(s) devoid of measurable endotoxin activity.

3.1.13 *pyrogen-free water (PFW)*, *n*—processed water that is devoid of measurable endotoxin activity.

3.1.14 *sensitivity range*, *n*—a span of endotoxin measurements expressed as EU/mL or λ .

4. Summary of Test Method

4.1 Serial dilutions of CSE in PFW in borosilicate glass test tubes are prepared to construct a calibration curve.

4.2 The metalworking fluid sample is sonicated, centrifuged, and the supernatant retained.

4.3 Triplicates of the sample supernatant, standard serial dilutions, blanks, and positive control solutions are subjected to the kinetic chromogenic LAL assay.

4.4 The reaction of Limulus amebocyte lysate with sample endotoxin imparts a proportional yellow color to the analyte solution that is measured photometrically at 405 nm.

4.5 The measured endotoxin concentration is reported as EU/mL.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 The determination of endotoxin concentrations in metalworking fluids is a parameter that can be used in decision-making for prudent fluid management practices (fluid draining, cleaning, recharging or biocide dosages).

5.2 This standard provides a test method for analysts who perform quantitative endotoxin analyses of water-miscible metalworking fluids.

6. Interferences

6.1 Data from samples analyzed by LAL methodologies are prone to variations due to batch differences in lysate composition/processing, non-optimal pH and temperatures of assay solutions.

6.2 In the event that the phenomenon of inhibition/enhancement influences this test method, endotoxin concentration data will be less than or more than actual concentrations present in a given metalworking fluid sample.

6.3 LAL assays are highly influenced by the skill/experience level of the analyst.

7. Apparatus

7.1 Sampling:

7.1.1 *Sample Collection Container*, pyrogen-free, wide-mouth, stainless steel sealable container, at least 100 mL capacity.

7.1.2 *Glass Pipet*, pyrogen-free, 50 mL.

7.1.3 *Battery-Powered Aspirator Unit (or suction bulb)*, compatible with 100 mL glass pipet.

7.2 Extraction:

7.2.1 *Centrifuge*, minimum rotational speed of 5000 rpm.

7.2.2 *Ultrasonic Water Bath*, ultrasonic/water bath apparatus with a minimum peak frequency of 40 kHz with cavitation adjustment and thermostat control; use pyrogen-free glass containers only.

7.3 Reagents and Materials:

7.3.1 *Control Standard Endotoxin (CSE)*, referenced to most current Federal Drug Administration (FDA) Reference Standard Endotoxin (RSE).

7.3.2 *Limulus Amebocyte Lysate (LAL)*, unexpired with stated potency.

7.3.3 *Dilution Water*, pyrogen-free.

7.4 Analysis:

7.4.1 *Incubating/Shaking Microplate Reader*, spectrophotometric at 405 nm.

7.4.2 *Statistical Analysis Software Package for Microplate Reader*.

7.4.3 *Vortexer*, variable speed.

7.4.4 *Microtiter Plates*, flat-bottomed, pyrogen-free, 96-well.

7.4.5 *Dilution Tubes*, pyrogen-free, 13 by 100 mm.

7.4.6 *Borosilicate Glass Test Tubes*, pyrogen-free, screw caps, 10 by 75 mm.

7.4.7 *Single-Channel Micropipettor(s)*, 0.5-10 μ L.

7.4.8 *Eight-Channel Micropipettor*, 100 μ L.

7.4.9 *Pipet Tips*, pyrogen-free, 300 μ L.

7.4.10 *Glass Rod*, pyrogen-free.

7.4.11 *Reagent Reservoir*, pyrogen-free, 8-channel multipipettor compatible.

7.4.12 *Parafilm M*. <https://www.astm.org/standards/sist/6a0ab507-5f9c-4185-af6a-c9e09af08af4/astm-e2657-16>

8. Hazards

8.1 Aerosols of endotoxin preparations pose a potential respiratory hazard to susceptible laboratory personnel who are directly involved with an endotoxin assay.

8.2 Inhalation or dermal exposure to metalworking fluids pose potential health problems for personnel involved in MWF sampling. Provision of personal protective equipment (PPE) in the form of respirators or protective clothing, or both, is potentially indicated (see Practice E1497 and Criteria Document for a Recommended Standard: Occupational Exposure to Metalworking Fluids).

8.3 Follow good laboratory procedures for worker protection and waste disposal, including 29 CFR 1910.1450.

8.4 Review material safety data sheets (MSDS) for materials in use at a facility to identify potential hazards to determine appropriate PPE (see 29 CFR 1910.1000).

9. Sampling Procedure

9.1 Sampling Site:

9.1.1 Select sampling site that will yield a representative metalworking fluid sample.

9.1.2 Select individual sump(s) or central system(s) that has actively circulating fluids. If possible, draw sample from the mid-point of the fluid reservoir. Otherwise, draw sample below the surface of the metalworking fluid volume of interest and avoid the aspiration of extraneous floating biomass.

9.1.3 Use aseptic techniques with pyrogen-free apparatus to aspirate a 100-mL grab sample with a glass pipet into a suitable pyrogen-free 250-mL container and then seal securely with a pyrogen-free lid or Parafilm M. Avoid touching inner lid and interior container areas with hands/gloves or nonpyrogenic labware.

10. Sample Storage/Shipment

10.1 For best results, LAL analysis of the sample within 24 hours is advisable. However, if this is not feasible, store the sealed sample container in a plastic bag and then refrigerate or pack in crushed ice at $4 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$. Avoid freezing sample, since this will adversely affect resultant data.

10.2 If the sample is shipped to an analytical laboratory, pack its container securely in cold packs (or portable refrigeration) and expedite shipment time so that the sample arrives at the laboratory no later than 24 hours after its acquisition.

10.3 Maintain procedures for sample custody in accordance with accepted chain-of-custody procedures (see Guide D4840).

11. Preparation of Labware

11.1 A critical consideration of quantitative LAL analyses is that the sample must be protected against the indiscriminate introduction of exogenous sources of endotoxin:

11.1.1 Commercially packaged labware used in LAL analyses shall be clearly marked as “pyrogen-free,” “endotoxin-free,” “depyrogenated,” or clearly identified as suitable for use in LAL analyses. A certificate of authentication shall accompany labware that attests to its pyrogen-free condition. Manufacturer ID, lot numbers, expiration dates, and authentication/certification information shall be recorded in laboratory notebooks.

11.1.2 Commercially packaged labware that is nominally described or labeled as “sterile,” “sterilized,” “disinfected,” or otherwise identified as suitable for routine microbiological usage only shall not be used in this standard practice, due to the possibility of the presence of residual endotoxin on critical labware surfaces.

11.1.3 Prior to use in this standard practice, non-pyrogen-free glass or metal labware that will be used in LAL analyses shall be subjected to the depyrogenation procedure described in Section 12 of this standard. The analyst shall not use plastic labware, due to the possibility of introducing non-specific assay interferences, or causing container-related adsorption of endotoxin onto surfaces, or both.

12. Depyrogenation Procedure

12.1 Thoroughly clean labware and then rinse twice in pyrogen-free water.

12.2 Bake glassware at 250°C for 1 h in a laboratory convection-type oven. As part of quality assurance procedures, check oven heating performance with a NIST-calibrated thermometer before each depyrogenation batch run.

12.3 The analyst shall avoid indiscriminate contamination of depyrogenated labware.

13. Extraction Procedure

13.1 This critical procedure shall be performed by a single, experienced analyst only.

13.2 Open the container with collected sample in a negative-pressure biosafety cabinet (or under a chemical fume evacuation hood), and stir the sample vigorously with a pyrogen-free glass rod for 1 min.

13.3 Aspirate 20 mL of metalworking fluid (center, midway depth) and transfer to a pyrogen-free test tube.

13.4 Bath sonicate sample in test tube at a minimum peak frequency of 40 kHz for 1 h at $25 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ (or place on a mechanical shaker/vortexer for 1 h).

13.5 Centrifuge solution in a pyrogen-free tube at 1000 g for at least 15 min.

13.6 Remove centrifuge tube and note zoning layers: tramp oil (upper layer); metalworking fluid (middle layer); suspended solids (bottom layer).

13.7 Pipet and discard tramp oil layer with a pyrogen-free pipet tip.

13.8 Pipet metalworking fluid layer with a pyrogen-free pipet tip.

14. Microtiter Plate Template Set-up

14.1 Record microtiter well assignments for the 96-well microtiter plate for each set of analytical solutions (in triplicate) in laboratory notebook.

14.1.1 Samples (in triplicate).

14.1.2 Standard serial solutions (in triplicate for each concentration in the dilution series).

14.1.3 PFW blanks (in triplicate).

14.1.4 Positive control (in triplicate — record endotoxin spike concentration).

NOTE 1—If Parafilm M is utilized to cover vessels containing sample material, the extraction procedure needs to be conducted on a 1-cm^2 piece, and triplicates of the extractate shall be subjected to LAL analysis.

14.2 Program microtiter plate well locations into plate reader software in accordance with predetermined template assignments.

15. Preparation of Assay Solutions

15.1 Use 1.0 N HCl or 1.0 N NaOH for pH adjustment of the MWF sample to pH 7.5.

15.2 Use fresh (non-expired) PFW for use as a diluent for lyophilized Control Standard Endotoxin (CSE), Limulus amoebocyte lysate (LAL), blanks, and positive controls. PFW from the same lot shall be used for all analyte solutions per assay.

15.3 Reconstitute CSE as per manufacturer's directions and adjust to pH 7.5.

15.4 *Standard Solutions:*

15.4.1 Use CSE solution to prepare serial dilutions with concentrations of 5.0, 0.5, 0.05, and 0.005 EU/mL.

15.4.2 Dispense 100 μ L of each CSE dilution (in triplicate) in designated LAL reagent grade microtiter plate wells.

15.5 *Blanks:*

15.5.1 Dispense 100 μ L of 7.5 pH-adjusted PFW (in triplicate) in designated microtiter plate wells.

15.6 *Positive Controls:*

15.6.1 Dispense 100 μ L of extract solution (in triplicate) into assigned microtiter plate wells, then add 10 μ L of a 5-EU/mL CSE spike into each well.

15.7 *Sample Extract:*

15.7.1 To identify potential interferences in the MWF sample that may adversely affect resultant data, a validation procedure shall be performed:

15.7.1.1 Mix 20 mL of PFW with 1 mL of fresh MWF concentration sample to prepare a 5 % solution.

15.7.1.2 Subject the 5 % solution to the extraction procedure.

15.7.1.3 Dispense 100 μ L directly of the extracted 5 % solution (in triplicate) in assigned wells, then add 10 μ L of a 5-EU/mL CSE spike into each well.

15.7.1.4 Test in addition different dilutions (1:10; 1:100; 1:1000) of 5 % solution (in triplicate) in assigned wells, then add 10 μ L of a 5-EU/mL CSE spike into each well.

15.7.2 Dispense 100 μ L of metalworking fluid extract (in triplicate) in designated microtiter plate wells.

16. Analysis of Solutions

16.1 *Program Microplate Reader:*

16.1.1 Follow manufacturer's directions for set-up for microplate reader shaking, heating, and analysis modes.

16.1.1.1 Pre-set plate reader shaking platform mode for 15 min duration.

16.1.1.2 Pre-heat plate reader analysis chamber to 37°C.

16.2 Use an 8-channel multipipettor with pyrogen-free tips to dispense 100 μ L of reconstituted LAL (warmed to 37°C) into each analyte microtiter plate well.

16.3 Insert microtiter plate (with cover) into microplate reader analysis chamber and commence shaking mode.

16.4 Activate automated kinetic chromogenic analysis according to plate reader instructions.

17. Quality Assurance

17.1 Ensure that validation and maintenance procedures have been conducted in accordance with microtiter plate reader manufacturer's directions.

17.2 The correctness of software calculations shall be validated at least once each calendar quarter by checking selected generated data with other software or calculators.

17.3 Individuals who perform endotoxin assays shall be appropriately trained, and it is advisable to assign a dedicated analyst to perform the assay in order to reduce variation in data sets due to operator dependency factors.

17.4 Measures shall be taken to avoid exposing samples and extracts to extreme temperatures (freezing or above ambient).

17.5 Ensure that linearity of standard curve, spike recovery and other quality control measures meet LAL kit manufacturer's specifications.

17.6 Due to indeterminate interference factors present in the sample matrix that have the potential to adversely affect data from LAL assays, it is possible that successive increased dilutions of the sample will be necessary, in order to ascertain the optimal dilution that will yield acceptable data.

18. Calculation or Interpretation of Results

18.1 Endotoxin concentration of standards and samples shall be determined in accordance with kit manufacturer's directions.

18.2 The acceptable correlation coefficient of the calibration curve (log-log) linearity shall be ≥ 0.980 (r).

18.3 The acceptable coefficient of variation for all triplicate samples and positive controls shall be ≤ 10 %.

18.4 Resultant endotoxin concentration data shall be expressed in endotoxin units per millilitre (EU/mL):

18.5 Currently, there is no consensus occupational exposure limit for exposure to endotoxin in metalworking fluids in machine sumps or other sectors of fluid distribution systems:

19. Precision and Bias

19.1 Reproducibility and bias have not yet been determined for this method, but will be determined as part of an interlaboratory study (ILS) that will be completed within five years after approval of the method.

20. Keywords

20.1 bioaerosols; endotoxin; endotoxin assay; endotoxin units (EU); gram-negative bacteria; Limulus amoebocyte lysate (LAL); metalworking fluids; pyrogen

ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org). Permission rights to photocopy the standard may also be secured from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, Tel: (978) 646-2600; http://www.copyright.com/

1. Scope

1.1 This practice covers quantitative methods for the sampling and determination of bacterial endotoxin concentrations in water miscible metalworking fluids (MWF).

1.2 Users of this practice need to be familiar with the handling of MWF.

1.3 This practice gives an estimate of the endotoxin concentration in the sampled MWF.

1.4 This practice replaces Method [E2250](#).

1.5 This practice seeks to minimize inter-laboratory variation of endotoxin data but does not ensure uniformity of results.

1.6 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards:*²

[D4840 Guide for Sample Chain-of-Custody Procedures](#)

[E1488 Guide for Statistical Procedures to Use in Developing and Applying Test Methods](#)

[E1497 Practice for Selection and Safe Use of Water-Miscible and Straight Oil Metal Removal Fluids](#)

[E1542 Terminology Relating to Occupational Health and Safety](#)

[E2250 Method for Determination of Endotoxin Concentration in Water Miscible Metal Working Fluids \(Withdrawn 2008\)](#)³

2.2 *Government Standard:*⁴

[29 CFR 1910.1450 Occupational Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals in Laboratories](#)

2.3 *Other Documents:*⁵

[Criteria Document for a Recommended Standard: Occupational Exposure to Metalworking Fluids, 1998 NIOSH](#)

[Manual of Analytical Methods \(NMAM\), 4th ed., Eller and Cassinelli, Eds., 1994](#)

3. Terminology

3.1 For definitions of terms relating to this practice, refer to Terminology [E1542](#).

3.2 *Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:*

3.2.1 *control standard endotoxin (CSE), n*—a purified preparation of endotoxin based on the USP Reference Standard Endotoxin (RSE); used in laboratories to prepare standard solutions.

³ The last approved version of this historical standard is referenced on www.astm.org.