This document is not an ASTM standard and is intended only to provide the user of an ASTM standard an indication of what changes have been made to the previous version. Because it may not be technically possible to adequately depict all changes accurately, ASTM recommends that users consult prior editions as appropriate. In all cases only the current version of the standard as published by ASTM is to be considered the official document.



Designation: D5017 - 96 (Reapproved 2009)^{ϵ 1} D5017 - 17

Standard Test Method for **Determination of Linear Low Density Polyethylene (LLDPE)** Composition by Carbon-13 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D5017; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ε) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

ε¹ NOTE—Reapproved with editorial changes in April 2009.

1. Scope-Scope*

- 1.1 This test method determines the molar composition of copolymers prepared from ethylene (ethene) and a second alkene-1 monomer. This second monomer can include propene, butene-1, hexene-1, octene-1, and 4-methylpentene-1.
- 1.2 Calculations of this test method are valid for products containing units EEXEE, EXEXE, EXXXE, and of course EEE where E equals ethene and X equals alkene-1. Copolymers containing a considerable number of alkene-1 blocks (such as, longer blocks than XXX) are outside the scope of this test method.
- 1.3 This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use. See Section 8 for a specific hazard statement.

Note 1—There is no equivalent ISO-known ISO equivalent to this standard.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:²

D883 Terminology Relating to Plastics

E177 Practice for Use of the Terms Precision and Bias in ASTM Test Methods

E386 Practice for Data Presentation Relating to High-Resolution Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) Spectroscopy (Withdrawn 2015)³

E691 Practice for Conducting an Interlaboratory Study to Determine the Precision of a Test Method

E2977 Practice for Measuring and Reporting Performance of Fourier-Transform Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (FT-NMR) Spectrometers for Liquid Samples

IEEE/ASTM SI-10 Standard for Use of the International System of Units (SI): The Modern System⁴

3. Terminology

- 3.1 Some units, symbols, and abbreviations used in this test method are summarized in IEEE/ASTM SI-10 and Practice E386. Other abbreviations are listed as follows:
 - 3.2 Abbreviations:
 - 3.2.1 ¹³C—carbon 13,
 - 3.2.2 LLDPE—linear low-density polyethylene,
 - 3.2.3 T1—relaxation time, and
 - 3.2.4 TR—pulse repetition time.
 - 3.3 Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:
- 3.3.1 With a few modifications, terms used to designate different carbon types were suggested by Carman.⁵ Methine carbons are identified by CH and branch carbons are labeled according to branch type as summarized in Table 1. Branch carbons are numbered starting with the methyl as number one.
- ¹ This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D20 on Plastics and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D20.70 on Analytical Methods. Current edition approved April 1, 2009 March 1, 2017. Published June 2009 March 2017. Originally approved in 1991. Last previous edition approved in 2003 2009 as $D5017 - 96(2003)(2009)^{\epsilon 1}$. DOI: 10.1520/D5017-96R09E01.10.1520/D5017-17.
- ² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For Annual Book of ASTM Standards volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.
 - ³ The last approved version of this historical standard is referenced on www.astm.org.
 - ⁴ Available from ASTM International Headquarters, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428.
 - ⁵ Carman, C. J., Harrington, R. A., and Wilkes, C. E., Macromolecules 1977, Vol 10, p. 536.

TABLE 1 Designations for Different Carbon Types

Monomer		Branch Type	Label
Propene	(P)	methyl	M1
Butene-1	(B)	ethyl	E1-E2
Hexene-1	(H)	butyl	B1-B4
4-Methylpentene-1	(MP)	isobutyl	IB1-IB3
Octene-1	(O)	hexyl	H1-H6

3.3.2 Backbone methylene carbons are designated by a pair of Greek letters that specify the location of the nearest methine carbon in each direction. For example, α,α -methylene carbon is between two methine carbons or an α,δ^+ methylene carbon has one immediate methine neighbor and the second methine carbon is located at least four carbons away.

4. Summary of Test Method

- 4.1 Polymer samples are dispersed in hot solvent and analyzed at high temperatures using Carbon-13 nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy.
- 4.2 Spectra are recorded under conditions such that the response of each chemically different carbon is identical. Integrated responses for carbons originated from the different comonomers are used for calculation of the copolymer composition.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 Performance properties are dependent on the number and type of short chain branches. This test method permits measurement of these branches for ethylene copolymers with propylene, butene-1, hexene-1, octene-1, and 4-methylpentene-1.

6. Apparatus

6.1 NMR Spectrometer, ¹³C pulse-Fourier transform spectrometer with a field strength of at least 2.35 T.

Note 2—The system should have a computer size of at least 32 K for 50-MHz carbon frequency with digital resolution of at least 0.5 Hz/point in the final spectrum.

6.2 Sample Tubes, 610-mm outside diameter. / STAIN 01211 018. ITC 11.

Note 3—Sample tube size can be varied; however, the sample preparation procedure described in 10.1 may need to be altered to maintain the minimum signal-to-noise requirement of 9.4.

7. Reagents and Materials

- 7.1 Ortho-dichlorobenzene or 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene, reagent grade
- 7.2 Deuterated o-dichlorobenzene or p-dichlorobenzene. This material is used at a concentration up to 20 % with the reagent specified in 7.1 as an internal lock.

8. Hazards

8.1 **Warning—**Solvents shouldshall be handled in a well-ventilated fume hood.

9. Instrument Parameters

- 9.1 Pulse angle, 90°
- 9.2 Pulse repetition, 10 s
- 9.3 Sample temperature, 130°C

Note 4—The precise temperature should be measured using the NMR thermometer (cyclooctane/methylene iodide).

9.4 Minimum signal-to-noise, 5000:1

Note 5—The signal-to-noise ratio is defined as 2.5 times the signal intensity of the 30.0-ppm peak (isolated methylenes) divided by the peak to peak noise for the region from 50 to 70 ppm. Calculation of signal-to-noise is permitted using an equivalent software procedure.

- 9.5 Sweep width, 175 ppm
- 9.6 Transmitter frequency (F1), 50 to 55 ppm
- 9.7 Apodisation, 2 (exponential) Hz
- 9.8 Pulse width, $<[4 \times \text{sweep width Hz}]^{-1}$

⁶ Available from Wilmad Scientific Glass Co.

⁷ Vidrime, D. W., and Peterson, P. E., Analytical Chemistry, Vol 48, 1976, p. 1301.



9.9 Decoupling, complete

Note 6—The nuclear Overhauser enhancement for the carbons used for quantitative analysis have been shown to be full.^{4, 7, 8}

10. Procedure

10.1 Weigh a 1.2-g sample into a 10-mm NMR tube. Add 1.5 mL of solvent (7.1) and 1.3 mL deuterated solvent (7.2) to the tube. Cap the tube.

Note 7—Solution concentration can be varied with instruments of different field strength as long as one meets the minimum signal-to-noise requirement of 9.4.

- 10.2 Homogenize the sample in an oven at 150°C for 3 to 4 h. Keep the tube in a vertical position during the heating step.
- 10.3 Set spectrometer parameters as detailed in Section 9.
- 10.4 Transfer the tube to the NMR spectrometer and equilibrate 10 to 15 min at 130°C.
- 10.5 Scan the sample with complete broadband decoupling using the parameters of Section 9.
- 10.6 Record the spectrum and the accurate full-scale integral from 10 to 50 ppm. Adjust partial integrals so that integral of the second largest peak in the spectrum is at least 50 % of full-scale. This partial integral must be flat before and after the area to be measured.

Note 8—The combination of sample preparation time and acquisition time necessary to obtain the signal-to-noise requirement of 9.4 can lead to prohibitively long experiments if samples are run multiplicatively. It is acceptable to perform sample determinations using a single analysis. Duplicate runs in accordance with 13.1 were performed for the round-robin exercise.

11. Calculation

- 11.1 Measure the area between the appropriate integration limits outlined in Annex A1.
- 11.2 Substitute the integrals into the appropriate equations from Annex A2 to calculate the mole percent alkene-1.
- 11.3 Annex A3 gives a sample calculation for an ethylene-octene copolymer using integrals and equations in accordance with 11.1 and 11.2.

Note 9—With the prescribed repetition time (10 s) and pulse angle (90°), the maximum allowable relaxation time (T_I) for carbons used for quantitative analysis is 2 s. To shorten the analysis time, a shorter pulse repetition time can be used if one accounts for the relaxation time differences. Relaxation times of carbons for the five copolymers were determined at a carbon frequency of 50 MHz using the inversion recovery method. Appendix X1 summarizes these relaxation times and correction factors (reciprocal of the relative intensities) for a 4-s repetition time (T_R). With the shorter T_R , multiply integrals by these correction factors before using the equations in Annex A2. The T_I values would have to be remeasured for analyses performed at spectrometer frequencies other than 50 MHz.

11.4 If desired, convert results from mole percent alkene-1 to branches per 1000 carbons (br/1000C) using the equations in Annex A4.

12. Report

12.1 Report the mole percent alkene-1 from 11.2 or branches/1000C from 11.4, or both.

13. Precision and Bias¹¹

- 13.1 Table 2 is based on a round robin conducted in 1988 in accordance with Practice E691, involving nine materials tested by six laboratories. For each material, all the samples were prepared at one source, but the individual specimens were prepared at the laboratories that tested them. Each "test result" was the average of two individual determinations. Each laboratory obtained one test result for each material. (Warning—The following explanations of *r* and *R* (13.2 13.2.3) are only intended to present a meaningful way of considering the approximate precision of this test method. The data in Table 2 shouldare not to be rigorously applied to acceptance or rejection of material, as those data are specific to the round robin and mayare not be representative of other lots, conditions, materials, or laboratories. Users of this test method should need to apply the principles outlined in Practice E691 to generate data specific to their laboratory and materials, or between specific laboratories. The principles of 13.2 13.2.3 would then be valid for such data.)
- 13.2 Concept of r and R—If S_r and S_R have been calculated from a large enough body of data, and for test results that were averages from testing two specimens:
- 13.2.1 Repeatability—Two test results obtained within one laboratory shall be judged not equivalent if they differ by more than the "r" value for that material; "r" is the interval representing the critical difference between two test results for the same material, obtained by the same operator using the same equipment on the same day in the same laboratory.

 $^{^8}$ Randall, J. C., "NMR and Macromolecules," Chapter 9, American Chemical Society Symposium Series 247, 1984 .

⁹ Farrar, T. C., and Becker, E. D., *Pulse and Fourier Transform NMR*, Chapter 2, Academic Press, New York, 1971.

¹⁰ Cheng, H. N., and Bennet, M. A., Macromolecule Chemistry, Vol 188, 1987, pp. 2665–2677.

¹¹ Supporting data are available from ASTM Headquarters. Request RR:D20-1192.

TABLE 2 Precision Statistics for Determination of Mole Percent Branching in LLDPE Copolymers by Carbon-13 NMR Spectroscopy

Sample	Comonomer	Average _ Mole,%	Expressed as % of the Average			
			V_r^A	V_R^B	r ^c	R^D
Α	butene	4.72	11.1	11.5	31.1	32.2
В	butene	4.22	11.9	11.9	33.3	33.3
С	hexene	3.64	17.1	18.2	47.9	51.0
D	hexene	4.03	14.3	14.3	40.0	40.0
E	octene	5.18	10.3	10.3	28.8	28.8
F	octene	0.76	27.5	40.6	77.0	113.7
G	4-methyl-pentene	5.00	14.0	14.8	39.2	41.4
Н	4-methyl-pentene	1.26	37.4	38.2	104.7	107.0
1	propene	15.96	7.3	7.6	20.4	21.3

 $[^]A$ V_r = within laboratory coefficient of variation for the indicated material. It is obtained by pooling the within laboratory standard deviations of the following test results:

$$Sr = \left[\left[\sum (s_1)^2 + (s_2)^2 \dots + (s_n)^2 \right] / n \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$Vr = 100 \times (\text{Sr divided by the overall average for the material}).$$

- 13.2.2 Reproducibility Limit, R (Comparing Two Test Results for the Same Material, Obtained by Different Operators Using Different Equipment in Different Laboratories)—Laboratories) The two test results should be judged not equivalent if they differ by more than the "R" value for that material.
- 13.2.3 Any judgment in accordance with 13.2.1 or 13.2.2 wouldwill have an approximate 95 % (0.95) probability of being correct.
 - 13.3 There are no recognized standards by which to estimate bias of this test method.

14. Keywords

14.1 carbon-13 NMR; composition; LLDPE; polyethylene

CT (D = 0.1 = .1 =

ANNEXES 17
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/7da05e9f-9725-43e0-bfcd-410f9d9bedab/astm-d5017-17

(Mandatory Information)

A1. AREA BETWEEN THE APPROPRIATE INTEGRATION LIMITS OUTLINES

 $^{^{\}it B}$ $V_{\it R}$ = between laboratories reproducibility, expressed as coefficient of variation, for the indicated material.

^C r = within laboratory repeatability limit = $2.8 \times V_r$

^D R = between laboratories reproducibility limit = 2.8 × V_R .

TABLE A1.1 Integration Limits for Ethylene Copolymers^A

Copolymer	Area	Region, ppm
Ethene-propene	Α	47.5 to 44.5
	В	39.8 to 36.8
	С	35.5 to 32.5
	C + D + E	35.5 to 25.8
	F	25.8 to 23.8
	G	22.5 to 18.5
	Н	Peak at 21.6
Ethene-butene-1	Α	41.5 to 38.5
	A'	Peak at 39.4
	В	37.8 to 36.8
	С	36.0 to 33.2
	D + E	33.2 to 25.5
	F	25.2 to 24.0
Ethene-hexene-1	Α	41.5 to 40.5
	В	40.5 to 39.5
	С	39.5 to 37.0
	D	Peak at 35.8
	D + E	36.8 to 33.2
	F + G	33.2 to 25.5
	G	28.5 to 26.5
	Н	24.9 to 24.1
Ethene-octene-1	Α	41.5 to 40.5
	В	40.5 to 39.5
	С	39.5 to 37.0
	D	Peak at 35.8
	D + E	36.8 to 33.2
	F + G + H	33.2 to 25.5
	Н	28.5 to 26.5
	I	25.0 to 24.0
	Р	24.0 to 22.0
Ethene-4-methylpentene-1		46.5 to 43.5
	ST2B1012	43.0 to 41.8
	С	41.8 to 40.5
	D	37.5 to 34.2
	andeard	Peak at 33.7
	F + G	33.2 to 25.2
	G	28.0 to 25.2
	ant Pr	Peak at 24.1

^A Isolated methylene carbons at 30.0 ppm.

ASTM D5017-17

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/7da05e9f-9725-43e0-bfcd-410f9d9bedab/astm-d5017-17

A2. EQUATIONS FOR CALCULATING MOLE % COMPOSITION

A2.1 Ethene-Propene Copolymers

A2.1.1 Moles Propene (see Note A2.1):

$$P_1 = \alpha - \text{carbons:}(2A + B)/2) \tag{A2.1}$$

 $P_2 = CH \text{ carbons:} 2A + C - H$

 $P' = \text{average moles propylene:} (P_1 + P_2)/2$

A2.1.2 Moles Ethene (see Note A2.2):

$$E' = (C + D + E + F - A)/2 \tag{A2.2}$$

Mole % propene = $100 \% \times P'/(P'+E')$

A2.2 Ethene-Butene-1 Copolymers

A2.2.1 Moles Butene-1 (see Note A2.1):



$$B_1 = \alpha - \text{carbons:}(2A + B)/2 \tag{A2.3}$$

 $B_2 = CH \text{ carbons:} (A'+2C+2B)/4$

 $B' = \text{average moles butene} - 1:(B_1 + B_2)/2$

A2.2.2 Moles ethene (see Note A2.2):

$$E' = (2D + 2E + 2F - A' - B)/4 \tag{A2.4}$$

Mole % butene $-1 = 100 \% \times B'/(B'+E')$

A2.3 Ethene-Hexene-1 Copolymers

A2.3.1 Moles Hexene-1 (see Note A2.1):

$$H_1 = \alpha - \text{carbons:}[1.5A + 2B + (D + E) - D]/3$$
 (A2.5)

 $H_2 = \text{CH carbons:}(A + 2C + 2D)/2$

 $H' = \text{average moles Hexene} - 1:(H_1 + H_2)/2$

A2.3.2 Moles ethene (see Note A2.2):

$$E' = [(F+G) - 3A - 3B - G - H]/2 + H'$$
(A2.6)

Mole % hexene $-1 = 100 \% \times H'/(E'+H')$

A2.4 Ethene-Octene-1 Copolymers

A2.4.1 Moles Octene-1 (see Note A2.1):

$$C_{O_1} = \alpha - \text{carbons:}(A + 2C + 2D)/2$$
(A2.7)

 $O_2 = CH \text{ carbons:} [1.5A + 2B + (D + E) - D]/3$

 $O' = \text{average moles Octene} - 1 = (O_1 + O_2)/2$

A2.4.2 *Moles Ethene (see Note A2.3):*

$$E' = [(F + G + H) - (3A + 3B + H + P + I)]/2 + O'$$
(A2.8)

Mole % octene $-1 = 100 \% \times O'/(O' + E')$

A2.5 Ethene-4-Methylpentene-1 Copolymers

A2.5.1 *Moles 4-Methylpentene-1:*

$$MP_1 = \alpha - \text{carbons} + \text{CH} - \text{branch carbons}$$
: (A2.9)

$$=(2B+C+D+1.5E)/3$$

 $MP_2 = CH_2$ branch carbon:A

MP' = average moles 4 – Methylpentene – 1 = $(MP_1 + MP_2)/2$

A2.5.2 Moles Ethene:

$$E' = [(F+G) - (2B+1.5E+G+H)]/2 + 1.5MP'$$
(A2.10)

Mole $5.4 - \text{methylpentene} - 1 = 100 \% \times MP'/(MP' + E')$

Note A2.1—"α-carbons" and "CH carbons" mean predominantly peaks originating from α-carbons and CH-carbons, respectively.