This document is not an ASTM standard and is intended only to provide the user of an ASTM standard an indication of what changes have been made to the previous version. Because it may not be technically possible to adequately depict all changes accurately, ASTM recommends that users consult prior editions as appropriate. In all cases only the current version of the standard as published by ASTM is to be considered the official document.



Designation: F1675 - 13 F1675 - 13 (Reapproved 2017)

# Standard Practice for Life-Cycle Cost Analysis of Plastic Pipe Used for Culverts, Storm Sewers, and Other Buried Conduits<sup>1</sup>

This standard is issued under the fixed designation F1675; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon ( $\varepsilon$ ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

## 1. Scope\*

1.1 This practice establishes a procedure for using life cycle cost (LCC) analysis techniques to evaluate alternative drainage system designs, using plastic pipe that satisfy the same functional requirements.

1.2 The LCC technique measures the present value of all relevant costs to install, operate, and maintain alternative drainage systems such as engineering, construction, maintenance, rehabilitation, or replacement over a specified period of time. The practice also accommodates any remaining residual or salvage value.

1.3 The decision maker, using the results of the LCC analysis, can then identify the alternative(s) with the lowest estimated total cost based on the present value of all costs.

1.4 This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.

<u>1.5</u> This international standard was developed in accordance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the Development of International Standards, Guides and Recommendations issued by the World Trade Organization Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Committee.

#### 2. Referenced Documents

2.1 Other Standards:

TM-5-802-1 Economic Studies for Military Construction Design Applications (12/86)<sup>2</sup>

Federal Office of Management and Budget Guidelines and Discount Rates for Benefit-Cost Analysis of Federal Programs and state documents for guidelines or requirements<sup>3</sup>

2.2 ASTM Adjuncts:

Discount Factor Tables<sup>4</sup>/catalog/standards/sist/ee74618f-ad6c-47c8-8d0a-65ab605d359f/astm-f1675-132017

### 3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions*:

3.1.1 *common costs, n*—costs that are common to all alternatives in nature and amount, such as initial planning fees or future annual inspection costs.

3.1.2 *discount rate, n*—the investor's time value of money, expressed as a percent, used to convert costs occurring at different times, to equivalent costs at a common point in time.

3.1.3 *drainage project*, *n*—a project having a definable, functional drainage requirement that can be satisfied by two or more design or construction alternatives, or both.

3.1.4 *future costs, n*—costs required to keep the system operating that are incurred after the project is placed in service, such as operation, maintenance, rehabilitation, or replacement costs.

3.1.5 *inflation*, *n*—the general trend or rising prices that, over time, result in the reduction of the purchasing power of the dollar from year to year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This practice is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee F17 on Plastic Piping Systems and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee F17.62 on Sewer.

Current edition approved Aug. 1, 2013 Aug. 1, 2017. Published August 2013 August 2017. Originally approved in 1996. Last previous edition approved in 2009 2013 as F1675-09: F1675-13. DOI: 10.1520/F1675-13:10.1520/F1675-13R17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Available from Headquarters, Department of the Army, Washington, DC.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Available from Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Available from ASTM International Headquarters. Order Adjunct No. ADJE091703.

# € F1675 – 13 (2017)

3.1.6 *initial cost, n*—the total of all costs; such as design costs, material purchase costs, and construction/installation costs, that are specific to each alternative and are incurred to bring each alternative to a point of functional readiness.

3.1.7 *maintenance cost, n*—the annual or periodic costs, such as inspection and cleaning to keep a drainage structure functioning for the project design life, but do not extend the material service life.

3.1.8 *material service life, n*—the number of years of service a particular material, system, or structure will provide before rehabilitation or replacement is necessary.

3.1.9 *project design life, n*—the planning horizon for the project, expressed as the number of years of useful life required of the drainage structure.

3.1.10 rehabilitation cost, n-the total of all costs incurred to extend the material service life of a specific alternative.

3.1.11 replacement cost, n-the total of all costs incurred to replace a material before the end of the project design life.

3.1.12 terminal value, n-the remaining value of the drainage structure in place at the end of the project design life.

### 4. Summary of Practice

4.1 This practice outlines a procedure for conducting an LCC analysis of two or more drainage pipe alternatives using plastic pipe over a specified project design life. This practice identifies the project data and general assumptions needed for the analysis and the method of computation.

### 5. Significance and Use

5.1 LCC analysis is an economic method to evaluate alternatives that are characterized by differing cash flows over the designated project design life. The method entails calculating the LCC of each alternative capable of satisfying the functional requirements of the project and comparing them to determine which have the lowest estimated LCC over the project design life.

5.2 The LCC method is particularly suitable for determining whether the higher initial cost of an alternative is economically justified by reductions in future costs (for example, operating maintenance, rehabilitation, or replacement) when compared to an alternative with lower initial costs but higher future costs. If a design alternative has both a lower initial cost and lower future costs than other alternatives, an LCC analysis is not necessary to show the former is the economically preferable choice.

#### 6. Procedure

6.1 The procedure for performing an LCC analysis for drainage pipe applications is as follows:

6.1.1 Identify project objectives, alternatives, and constraints (6.2).

6.1.2 Establish basic assumptions (6.3).

6.1.3 Compile data (6.4).

6.1.4 Compute life cycle cost for each alternative (7.1).618f-ad6c-47c8-8d0a-65ab605d359f/astm-f1675-132017

6.1.5 Evaluate results (7.2).

#### 6.2 Project Objectives, Alternatives, and Constraints:

6.2.1 Specify the design objective that is to be accomplished, identify alternative systems or designs that accomplish that objective, and identify any constraints that may limit the options to be considered.

6.2.2 An example is the design of a storm water drainage system for a residential development project. The system must satisfy mandated drainage system objectives, such as specified rainfall intensities and storm water runoff limits. Available alternatives, such as different pipe materials and varying configurations of catch basins, ponds, or underground detention chambers may have different initial costs as well as expected future costs. The system design may be constrained by structural and hydraulic limits such as minimum and maximum slopes and depth of burial, limits on surface flows on streets, etc.

#### 6.3 Basic Assumptions:

6.3.1 Establish the uniform assumptions to be made in the LCC analysis of all alternatives. These assumptions include the selection of the discount rate, the treatment of inflation, general inflation rate, the project design life, and the desired comprehensiveness of the analysis.

6.3.2 *Discount Rate*—The discount rate selected should reflect the owner's time value of money. That is, the discount rate should reflect the rate of interest that makes the owner indifferent between paying or receiving a dollar now or at some future time. The discount rate is used to convert costs occurring at different times to equivalent costs at a common point in time.

6.3.2.1 There is no single correct discount rate for all owners. Selection of the discount rate should be guided by the rate of return on alternative investment opportunities of comparable risk (that is, the opportunity cost of capital), or, in the case of some public organizations, on mandated or legislated federal or state requirements. (See Federal Office of Management and Budget.)

6.3.2.2 The discount rate may include general price inflation over the study period. This discount rate is referred to as the "nominal" discount rate in this practice. The discount rate may also be expressed as the real earning power of money over and above general price inflation, referred to as the "real" discount rate.

6.3.2.3 A nominal discount rate  $(d_n)$  and the corresponding real discount rate  $(d_r)$  are related as follows: