



Designation: D5961/D5961M – 17

Standard Test Method for Bearing Response of Polymer Matrix Composite Laminates¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D5961/D5961M; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This test method covers the bearing response of pinned or fastened joints using multi-directional polymer matrix composite laminates reinforced by high-modulus fibers by double-shear tensile loading (Procedure A), single-shear tensile or compressive loading of a two-piece specimen (Procedure B), single-shear tensile loading of a one-piece specimen (Procedure C), or double-shear compressive loading (Procedure D). Standard specimen configurations using fixed values of test parameters are described for each procedure. However, when fully documented in the test report, a number of test parameters may be optionally varied. The composite material forms are limited to continuous-fiber or discontinuous-fiber (tape or fabric, or both) reinforced composites for which the laminate is balanced and symmetric with respect to the test direction. The range of acceptable test laminates and thicknesses are described in 8.2.1.

1.2 This test method is consistent with the recommendations of MIL-HDBK-17, which describes the desirable attributes of a bearing response test method.

1.3 The multi-fastener test configurations described in this test method are similar to those used by industry to investigate the bypass portion of the bearing bypass interaction response for bolted joints, where the specimen may produce either a bearing failure mode or a bypass failure mode. Note that the scope of this test method is limited to bearing and fastener failure modes. Use Test Method D7248/D7248M for by-pass testing.

1.4 The values stated in either SI units or inch-pound units are to be regarded separately as standard. The values stated in each system may not be exact equivalents; therefore, each system shall be used independently of the other. Combining values from the two systems may result in non-conformance with the standard.

1.4.1 Within the text the inch-pound units are shown in brackets.

1.5 This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.

1.6 This international standard was developed in accordance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the Development of International Standards, Guides and Recommendations issued by the World Trade Organization Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Committee.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:²

- D792 Test Methods for Density and Specific Gravity (Relative Density) of Plastics by Displacement
- D883 Terminology Relating to Plastics
- D953 Test Method for Bearing Strength of Plastics
- D2584 Test Method for Ignition Loss of Cured Reinforced Resins
- D2734 Test Methods for Void Content of Reinforced Plastics
- D3171 Test Methods for Constituent Content of Composite Materials
- D3410/D3410M Test Method for Compressive Properties of Polymer Matrix Composite Materials with Unsupported Gage Section by Shear Loading
- D3878 Terminology for Composite Materials
- D5229/D5229M Test Method for Moisture Absorption Properties and Equilibrium Conditioning of Polymer Matrix Composite Materials
- D5687/D5687M Guide for Preparation of Flat Composite Panels with Processing Guidelines for Specimen Preparation
- D7248/D7248M Test Method for Bearing/Bypass Interaction Response of Polymer Matrix Composite Laminates Using 2-Fastener Specimens
- E4 Practices for Force Verification of Testing Machines
- E6 Terminology Relating to Methods of Mechanical Testing
- E83 Practice for Verification and Classification of Extensometer Systems

¹ This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D30 on Composite Materials and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D30.05 on Structural Test Methods.

Current edition approved Aug. 1, 2017. Published September 2017. Originally approved in 1996. Last previous edition approved in 2013 as D5961/D5961M – 13. DOI: 10.1520/D5961_D5961M-17.

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

- E122** Practice for Calculating Sample Size to Estimate, With Specified Precision, the Average for a Characteristic of a Lot or Process
- E177** Practice for Use of the Terms Precision and Bias in ASTM Test Methods
- E238** Test Method for Pin-Type Bearing Test of Metallic Materials
- E456** Terminology Relating to Quality and Statistics
- E691** Practice for Conducting an Interlaboratory Study to Determine the Precision of a Test Method
- E1309** Guide for Identification of Fiber-Reinforced Polymer-Matrix Composite Materials in Databases (Withdrawn 2015)³
- E1434** Guide for Recording Mechanical Test Data of Fiber-Reinforced Composite Materials in Databases (Withdrawn 2015)³
- E1471** Guide for Identification of Fibers, Fillers, and Core Materials in Computerized Material Property Databases (Withdrawn 2015)³
- 2.2 *Other Document:*
MIL-HDBK-17, *Polymer Matrix Composites*, Vol 1, Section 7⁴

3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions*—Terminology **D3878** defines terms relating to high-modulus fibers and their composites. Terminology **D883** defines terms relating to plastics. Terminology **E6** defines terms relating to mechanical testing. Terminology **E456** and Practice **E177** define terms relating to statistics. In the event of a conflict between terms, Terminology **D3878** shall have precedence over the other documents.

NOTE 1—If the term represents a physical quantity, its analytical dimensions are stated immediately following the term (or letter symbol) in fundamental dimension form, using the following ASTM standard symbology for fundamental dimensions, shown within square brackets: [*M*] for mass, [*L*] for length, [*T*] for time, [*T*] for thermodynamic temperature, and [*nd*] for nondimensional quantities. Use of these symbols is restricted to analytical dimensions when used with square brackets, as the symbols may have other definitions when used without the brackets.

3.2 *Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:*

3.2.1 *bearing area*, [*L*²], *n*—the area of that portion of a bearing specimen used to normalize applied loading into an effective bearing stress; equal to the diameter of the loaded hole multiplied by the thickness of the specimen.

3.2.2 *bearing chord stiffness*, E^{br} [*ML*⁻¹*T*⁻²], *n*—the chord stiffness between two specific bearing stress or bearing strain points in the linear portion of the bearing stress/bearing strain curve.

3.2.3 *bearing force*, *P* [*MLT*²], *n*—the total force carried by a bearing specimen.

3.2.4 *bearing strain*, ϵ , ^{*br*} [*nd*], *n*—the normalized hole deformation in a bearing specimen, equal to the deformation of

the bearing hole in the direction of the bearing force, divided by the diameter of the hole.

3.2.5 *bearing strength*, F_x^{br} [*ML*⁻¹*T*⁻²], *n*—the value of bearing stress occurring at a significant event on the bearing stress/bearing strain curve.

3.2.5.1 *Discussion*—Two types of bearing strengths are commonly identified, and noted by an additional superscript: offset strength and ultimate strength.

3.2.6 *bearing stress*, F^{br} [*ML*⁻¹*T*⁻²], *n*—the bearing force divided by the bearing area.

3.2.7 *countersink depth to thickness ratio*, d_{csk}/h [*nd*],—the ratio of the countersunk depth of a hole to the specimen thickness.

3.2.7.1 *Discussion*—The countersink depth to thickness ratio is typically a nominal value determined from nominal hole-drilling dimensions and tolerances.

3.2.8 *diameter to thickness ratio*, *D/h* [*nd*], *n*—in a bearing specimen, the ratio of the hole diameter to the specimen thickness.

3.2.8.1 *Discussion*—The diameter to thickness ratio may be either a nominal value determined from nominal dimensions or an actual value determined from measured dimensions.

3.2.9 *edge distance ratio*, *e/D* [*nd*], *n*—in a bearing specimen, the ratio of the distance between the center of the hole and the specimen end to the hole diameter.

3.2.9.1 *Discussion*—The edge distance ratio may be either a nominal value determined from nominal dimensions or an actual value determined from measured dimensions.

3.2.10 *nominal value*, *n*—a value, existing in name only, assigned to a measurable quantity for the purpose of convenient designation. Tolerances may be applied to a nominal value to define an acceptable range for the quantity.

3.2.11 *offset bearing strength*, F_x^{bro} [*ML*⁻¹*T*⁻²], *n*—the value of bearing stress, in the direction specified by the subscript, at the point where a bearing chord stiffness line, offset along the bearing strain axis by a specified bearing strain value, intersects the bearing stress/bearing strain curve.

3.2.11.1 *Discussion*—Unless otherwise specified, an offset bearing strain of 2 % is to be used in this test method.

3.2.12 *width to diameter ratio*, *w/D* [*nd*], *n*—in a bearing specimen, the ratio of specimen width to hole diameter.

3.2.12.1 *Discussion*—The width to diameter ratio may be either a nominal value determined from nominal dimensions or an actual value, determined as the ratio of the actual specimen width to the actual hole diameter.

3.2.13 *ultimate bearing strength*, F_x^{bru} [*ML*⁻¹*T*⁻²], *n*—the value of bearing stress, in the direction specified by the subscript, at the maximum force capability of a bearing specimen.

3.3 *Symbols:*

A = minimum cross-sectional area of a specimen

CV = coefficient of variation statistic of a sample population for a given property (in percent)

d = fastener or pin diameter

D = specimen hole diameter

d_{csk} = countersink depth

³ The last approved version of this historical standard is referenced on www.astm.org.

⁴ Available from Standardization Documents Order Desk, DODSSP, Bldg. 4, Section D, 700 Robbins Ave., Philadelphia, PA 19111-5098, <http://dodssp.daps.dla.mil>.

d_f = countersink flushness (depth or protrusion of the fastener in a countersunk hole)

e = distance, parallel to force, from hole center to end of specimen; the edge distance

E_x^{br} = bearing chord stiffness in the test direction specified by the subscript (for determination of offset bearing strength)

f = distance, parallel to force, from hole edge to end of specimen

$F_x^{br_u}$ = ultimate bearing strength in the test direction specified by the subscript

$F_x^{br_o}$ (e %) = offset bearing strength (at e % bearing strain offset) in the test direction specified by the subscript

g = distance, perpendicular to force, from hole edge to shortest edge of specimen

h = specimen thickness

k = calculation factor used in bearing equations to distinguish single-fastener tests from double-fastener tests

K = calculation factor used in bearing equations to distinguish hole deformation in one member of the assembly from hole deformation shared between two members of the assembly in a strain equation

L_g = extensometer gage length

n = number of specimens per sample population

P = force carried by test specimen

P^f = force carried by test specimen at failure

P^{max} = maximum force carried by test specimen prior to failure

s_{n-1} = standard deviation statistic of a sample population for a given property

w = specimen width

x_i = test result for an individual specimen from the sample population for a given property

\bar{x} = mean or average (estimate of mean) of a sample population for a given property

δ = extensional displacement

ϵ = general symbol for strain, whether normal strain or shear strain

ϵ^{br} = bearing strain

σ^{br} = bearing stress

4. Summary of Test Method

4.1 Procedure A, Double Shear, Tension:

4.1.1 A flat, constant rectangular cross-section test specimen with a centerline hole located near the end of the specimen, as shown in the test specimen drawings of **Figs. 1 and 2**, is loaded at the hole in bearing. The bearing force is normally applied through a close-tolerance, lightly torqued fastener (or pin) that is reacted in double shear by a fixture similar to that shown in **Figs. 3 and 4**. The bearing force is created by loading the assembly in tension in a testing machine.

4.1.2 Both the applied force and the associated deformation of the hole are monitored. The hole deformation is normalized by the hole diameter to create an effective bearing strain. Likewise, the applied force is normalized by the projected hole area to create an effective bearing stress. The specimen is loaded until a maximum force has clearly been reached, whereupon the test is terminated so as to prevent masking of the true failure mode by large-scale hole distortion, in order to

provide a more representative failure mode assessment. Bearing stress versus bearing strain for the entire loading regime is plotted, and failure mode noted. The ultimate bearing strength of the material is determined from the maximum force carried prior to test termination.

4.1.3 The standard test configuration for this procedure does not allow any variation of the major test parameters. However, the following variations in specimen and test fixture configuration are allowed, but can be considered as being in accordance with this test method only as long as the values of all variant test parameters are prominently documented with the results:

Parameter	Standard	Variation
Loading condition:	double-shear	none
Mating material:	steel fixture	none
Number of holes:	1	none
Countersink:	none	none
Fit:	tight	any, if documented
Fastener torque:	2.2-3.4 N·m [20-30 lbf-in.]	any, if documented
Laminate:	quasi-isotropic	any, if documented
Fastener diameter:	6 mm [0.250 in.]	any, if documented
Edge distance ratio:	3	any, if documented
w/D ratio:	6	any, if documented
D/h ratio:	1.5-3	any, if documented

4.2 Procedure B, Single Shear, Two-Piece Specimen:

4.2.1 The flat, constant rectangular cross-section test specimen is composed of two like halves fastened together through one or two centerline holes located near one end of each half, as shown in the test specimen drawings of **Figs. 5-8**. The eccentricity in applied force that would otherwise result is minimized by a doubler bonded to, or frictionally retained against each grip end of the specimen, resulting in a force line-of-action along the interface between the specimen halves, through the centerline of the hole(s).

4.2.1.1 Unstabilized Configuration (No Support Fixture)—

The ends of the test specimen are gripped in the jaws of a test machine and loaded in tension.

4.2.1.2 Stabilized Configuration (Using Support Fixture)—

The test specimen is face-supported in a multi-piece bolted support fixture, similar to that shown in **Fig. 9**. The test specimen/fixture assembly is clamped in hydraulic wedge grips and the force is sheared into the support fixture and then sheared into the specimen. The stabilized configuration is primarily intended for compressive loading, although the specimen/fixture assembly may be loaded in either tension or compression.

4.2.2 Both the applied force and the associated deformation of the hole(s) are monitored. The deformation of the hole(s) is normalized by the hole diameter (a factor of two used to adjust for hole deformation occurring in the two halves) to result in an effective bearing strain. Likewise, the applied force is normalized by the projected hole area to yield an effective bearing stress. The specimen is loaded until a maximum force has clearly been reached, whereupon the test is terminated so as to prevent masking of the true failure mode by large-scale hole distortion, in order to provide a more representative failure mode assessment. Bearing stress versus bearing strain for the entire loading regime is plotted, and failure mode noted. The ultimate bearing strength of the material is determined from the maximum force carried prior to test termination.

DRAWING NOTES:

1. INTERPRET DRAWING IN ACCORDANCE WITH ANSI Y14.5M-1982, SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING:
2. ALL DIMENSIONS IN MILLIMETRES WITH DECIMAL TOLERANCES AS FOLLOWS:

NO DECIMAL	.X	.XX
±1	±0.3	±0.1
3. ALL ANGLES HAVE TOLERANCE OF ± .5°.
4. PLY ORIENTATION DIRECTION TOLERANCE RELATIVE TO \square -A- IS RECOMMENDED TO BE WITHIN ± .5°. (See Section 6.1.)
5. FINISH ON MACHINED EDGES NOT TO EXCEED 1.6√ (SYMBOLGY IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASA B46.1, WITH ROUGHNESS HEIGHT IN MICROMETRES.)
6. VALUES TO BE PROVIDED FOR THE FOLLOWING, SUBJECT TO ANY RANGES SHOWN ON THE FIELD OF DRAWING: MATERIAL, LAY-UP, PLY ORIENTATION REFERENCE RELATIVE TO \square -A-, OVERALL LENGTH, HOLE DIAMETER, AND COUPON THICKNESS
7. FOR PROCEDURE D, REDUCE LENGTH, L, AS REQUIRED IN ORDER TO PREVENT BUCKLING




FIG. 1 Double-Shear and Single-Shear One-Piece Test Specimen Drawing (SI)

4.2.3 The standard test configuration for this procedure does not allow any variation of the major test parameters. However, the following variations in specimen and test fixture configuration are allowed, but can be considered as being in accordance with this test method only as long as the values of all variant test parameters are prominently documented with the results:

Parameter	Standard	Variation
Loading condition:	single-shear	none
Support fixture:	no	yes, if documented
Number of holes:	1	1 or 2
Countersunk holes:	no	yes, if documented
Grommets:	no	yes, if documented
Mating material:	same laminate	any, if documented
Fit:	tight	any, if documented
Fastener torque:	2.2-3.4 N·m [20-30 lbf·in.]	any, if documented
Laminate:	quasi-isotropic	any, if documented
Fastener diameter:	6 mm [0.250 in.]	any, if documented
Edge distance ratio:	3	any, if documented
w/D ratio:	6	any, if documented
D/h ratio:	1.5-3	any, if documented

4.3 Procedure C, Single Shear, One-Piece Specimen:

4.3.1 A flat, constant rectangular cross-section test specimen with a centerline hole located near the end of the specimen, as shown in the test specimen drawings of Figs. 1 and 2, is loaded at the hole in bearing. The bearing force is normally applied, by a fixture similar to that shown in Fig. 10, through a close-tolerance, lightly torqued fastener that is reacted in single shear, as shown in Fig. 11. The bearing force is created by loading the assembly in tension in a testing machine.

4.3.2 Both the applied force and the associated deformation of the hole are monitored. The hole deformation is normalized by the hole diameter to create an effective bearing strain. Likewise, the applied force is normalized by the projected hole area to create an effective bearing stress. The specimen is loaded until a maximum force has clearly been reached, whereupon the test is terminated so as to prevent masking of the true failure mode by large-scale hole distortion, in order to provide a more representative failure mode assessment. Bearing stress versus bearing strain for the entire loading regime is plotted, and failure mode noted. The ultimate bearing strength

DRAWING NOTES:

1. INTERPRET DRAWING IN ACCORDANCE WITH ANSI Y14.5M-1982, SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING:
2. ALL DIMENSIONS IN INCHES WITH DECIMAL TOLERANCES AS FOLLOWS:

.X	.XX	.XXX
± .1	± .03	± .01
3. ALL ANGLES HAVE TOLERANCE OF ± .5°.
4. PLY ORIENTATION DIRECTION TOLERANCE RELATIVE TO \perp A IS RECOMMENDED TO BE WITHIN ± .5°. (See Section 6.1.)
5. FINISH ON MACHINED EDGES NOT TO EXCEED 64√ (SYMBOLGY IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASA B46.1, WITH ROUGHNESS HEIGHT IN MICROINCHES.)
6. VALUES TO BE PROVIDED FOR THE FOLLOWING, SUBJECT TO ANY RANGES SHOWN ON THE FIELD OF DRAWING: MATERIAL, LAY-UP, PLY ORIENTATION REFERENCE RELATIVE TO \perp A, OVERALL LENGTH, HOLE DIAMETER, AND COUPON THICKNESS.
7. FOR PROCEDURE D, REDUCE LENGTH, L, AS REQUIRED IN ORDER TO PREVENT BUCKLING




FIG. 2 Double-Shear and One-Piece Single-Shear Test Specimen Drawing (Inch-Pound)

of the material is determined from the maximum force carried prior to test termination.

4.3.3 The standard test configuration for this procedure does not allow any variation of the major test parameters. However, the following variations in specimen and test fixture configuration are allowed, but can be considered as being in accordance with this test method only as long as the values of all variant test parameters are prominently documented with the results:

Parameter	Standard	Variation
Loading condition:	single-shear	none
Mating material:	steel fixture	none
Number of holes:	1	none
Countersink:	yes	no, if documented
Fit:	tight	any, if documented
Fastener torque:	2.2-3.4 N·m [20-30 lbf·in.]	any, if documented
Laminate:	quasi-isotropic	any, if documented
Fastener diameter:	6 mm [0.250 in.]	any, if documented
Edge distance ratio:	3	any, if documented
w/D ratio:	6	any, if documented
D/h ratio:	1.5-3	any, if documented


4.4 Procedure D, Double Shear, Compression:

4.4.1 A flat, constant rectangular cross-section test specimen with a centerline hole located near the end of the specimen, as shown in the test specimen drawings of Figs. 1 and 2, is loaded at the hole in bearing. The bearing force is normally applied, by a fixture similar to that shown in Fig. 12, through a close-

tolerance, lightly torqued fastener (or pin) that is reacted in double shear, as shown in Fig. 13. The bearing force is created by loading the assembly in compression in a testing machine.

4.4.2 Both the applied force and the associated deformation of the hole are monitored. The hole deformation is normalized by the hole diameter to create an effective bearing strain. Likewise, the applied force is normalized by the projected hole area to create an effective bearing stress. The specimen is loaded until a maximum force has clearly been reached, whereupon the test is terminated so as to prevent masking of the true failure mode by large-scale hole distortion, in order to provide a more representative failure mode assessment. Bearing stress versus bearing strain for the entire loading regime is plotted, and failure mode noted. The ultimate bearing strength of the material is determined from the maximum force carried prior to test termination.

4.4.3 The standard test configuration for this procedure does not allow any variation of the major test parameters, other than overall specimen length (in order to preclude specimen buckling). However, the following variations in specimen and test fixture configuration are allowed, but can be considered as being in accordance with this test method only as long as the values of all variant test parameters are prominently documented with the results:



iTeh Standards
 (https://standards.itih.ai)
 Document Preview

ASTM D5961/D5961M-17
 https://standards.itih.ai/canonical/standards/s/4/0e38bc1-9714-4730-9194-9b7586329e67/astm-d5961-d5961m-17

Recommended Material: 17-4PH Stainless Steel, 1 GPa [145 ksi] yield													L	M	N	P
mm	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	0.3	0.1	0.013	0.8 micro-in	
inch	7.75	2.00	0.06	0.20	0.50	0.06	0.12	0.04	1.50	0.25	0.75	0.03	0.01	0.003	32 micro-in	

- NOTES:
1. Tolerances unless otherwise stated are (SI: X.X±L, X.XX±M) (US: X.XX±L, X.XXX±M)
 2. Surface finish is P.

FIG. 3 Fixture Loading Plate for Procedure A (2 Required)

Parameter	Standard	Variation
Loading condition:	double-shear steel fixture	none
Mating material:	steel fixture	none
Number of holes:	1	none
Countersink:	none	none
Fit:	tight	any, if documented
Fastener torque:	2.2-3.4 N-m [20-30 lbf-in.]	any, if documented
Laminate:	quasi-isotropic	any, if documented
Fastener diameter:	6 mm [0.250 in.]	any, if documented
Edge distance ratio:	3	any, if documented
w/D ratio:	6	any, if documented
D/h ratio:	1.5-3	any, if documented

5. Significance and Use

5.1 This test method is designed to produce bearing response data for material specifications, research and development, quality assurance, and structural design and analysis. The standard configuration for each procedure is very specific and is intended primarily for development of quantitative double- and single-shear bearing response data for material comparison and structural design. Procedures A and D, the double-shear configurations, with a single fastener




FIG. 4 Fixture Assembly for Procedure A

Procedure C will yield significantly higher strengths than Procedure B (due to the larger fastener rotation and higher peak bearing stress in Procedure B). For protruding head fasteners, Procedure D will typically yield somewhat higher results than Procedure C (due to both stress peaking and finite edge distance in Procedure C), and Procedures A and C yield roughly equivalent results.

5.3 It is also important to note that the parameter variations of the four procedures (tabulated in Section 4) provide flexibility in the conduct of the test, allowing adaptation of the test setup to a specific application. However, the flexibility of test parameters allowed by these variations makes meaningful comparison between datasets difficult if the datasets were not tested using the same procedure and identical test parameters.

5.4 General factors that influence the mechanical response of composite laminates and should therefore be reported include the following: material, methods of material preparation and lay-up, specimen stacking sequence, specimen preparation, specimen conditioning, environment of testing, specimen alignment and gripping, speed of testing, time at temperature, void content, and volume percent reinforcement.

5.5 Specific factors that influence the bearing response of composite laminates and should therefore be reported include not only the loading method (either Procedure A, B, or C) but the following: (for all procedures) edge distance ratio, width to diameter ratio, diameter to thickness ratio, fastener type, fastener shear strength, fastener torque, fastener or pin material, fastener or pin clearance, tensile or compressive loading, countersink angle and depth of countersink, type of grommet (if used), type of mating material, number of fasteners, and type of support fixture (if used). Properties, in the test direction, which may be obtained from this test method include the following:

- 5.5.1 Ultimate bearing strength, F^{br_u} , of the composite laminate or laminate-fastener joint, or both;
- 5.5.2 Offset bearing strength, F^{br_o} , of the composite laminate or laminate-fastener joint, or both; and
- 5.5.3 Bearing stress/bearing strain curve.

6. Interferences

6.1 *Type of Loading*—Results from Procedures A–D should not generally be expected to yield comparable bearing strength or failure mode results. Also, Procedure B results will likely vary depending on whether a one- or two-fastener specimen is used, and whether the loading direction is tension or compression; due to differences in load path, localized damage modes, and support fixture friction.

6.2 *Material and Specimen Preparation*—Bearing response is sensitive to poor material fabrication practices (including lack of control of fiber alignment), damage induced by improper specimen machining (hole preparation is especially critical), and torqued fastener installation. Fiber alignment relative to the specimen coordinate axis should be maintained as carefully as possible, although there is currently no standard procedure to ensure or determine this alignment. A practice that has been found satisfactory for many materials is the addition of small amounts of tracer yarn to the prepreg parallel to the 0° direction, added either as part of the prepreg production or as

loaded in shear and reacted by laminate tension or compression, are particularly recommended for basic material evaluation and comparison. Procedures B and C, the single-shear, single- or double-fastener configurations are more useful in evaluation of specific joint configurations, including fastener failure modes. The Procedure B specimen may be tested in either an unstabilized (no support fixture) or stabilized configuration. The unstabilized configuration is intended for tensile loading and the stabilized configuration is intended for compressive loading (although tensile loading is permitted). The Procedure C specimen is particularly well-suited for development of countersunk-fastener bearing strength data where a near-double-shear fastener rotational stiffness is desired. These Procedure B and C configurations have been extensively used in the development of design allowables data.


5.2 It is important to note that these four procedures, using the standard test configurations, will generally result in bearing strength mean values that are not of the same statistical population, and thus not in any way a “basic material property.”

NOTE 2—Typically, Procedure D will yield slightly higher strengths than Procedure A (due to the finite edge distance, e , in Procedure A); while

DRAWING NOTES:


1. INTERPRET DRAWING IN ACCORDANCE WITH ANSI Y14.5M-1982, SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING:
2. ALL DIMENSIONS IN MILLIMETRES WITH DECIMAL TOLERANCES AS FOLLOWS:

NO DECIMAL	.X	.XX
±1	±0.3	±0.1
3. ALL ANGLES HAVE TOLERANCE OF +/- .5°.
4. PLY ORIENTATION DIRECTION TOLERANCE RELATIVE TO \square -A- WITHIN +/- .5°.
5. FINISH ON MACHINED EDGES NOT TO EXCEED $\sqrt{1.6}$ SYMBOLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASA B46.1, WITH ROUGHNESS HEIGHT IN MICROMETRES.)
6. VALUES TO BE PROVIDED FOR THE FOLLOWING, SUBJECT TO ANY RANGES SHOWN ON THE FIELD OF DRAWING; MATERIAL, LAY-UP, PLY ORIENTATION REFERENCE RELATIVE TO \square -A-, OVERALL LENGTH, HOLE DIAMETER, COUNTERSINK DETAILS, COUPON THICKNESS, DOUBLER MATERIAL, DOUBLER ADHESIVE.



\square .08 A

\square -A-



Parameters	Standard Dimensions of Specimen (mm)	
	without support fixture	with support fixture
fastener diameter, d	6+0.00/-0.03	6+0.00/-0.03
hole diameter, \varnothing	6+0.03/-0.00	6+0.03/-0.00
thickness range, h	2-4	2-4
length, L	135	189
width, w	36 +/- 1	36 +/- 1
edge distance, e	18 +/- 1	18 +/- 1
countersink	none(optional)	none (optional)
doubler length, s	75	129

FIG. 5 Single-Shear, Two-Piece Single-Fastener Test Specimen Drawing (SI)

part of panel fabrication. See Guide D5687/D5687M for further information on recommended specimen preparation practices.

6.3 Restraining Surfaces—The degree to which out-of-plane hole deformation is possible, due to lack of restraint by the fixture or the fastener, has been shown to affect test results.


6.4 Cleanliness—The degree of cleanliness of the mating surfaces has been found to produce significant variations in test results.

6.5 Eccentricity (Procedure B only)—A loading eccentricity is created in single-shear tests by the offset, in one plane, of the line of action of force between each half of the test specimen.

DRAWING NOTES:

- INTERPRET DRAWING IN ACCORDANCE WITH ANSI Y14.5M-1982, SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING:
- ALL DIMENSIONS IN INCHES WITH DECIMAL TOLERANCES AS FOLLOWS:

.X	.XX	.XXX
+/- .1	+/- .03	+/- .003
- ALL ANGLES HAVE TOLERANCE OF +/- .5°.
- PLY ORIENTATION DIRECTION TOLERANCE RELATIVE TO \square -A WITHIN +/- .5°.
- FINISH ON MACHINED EDGES NOT TO EXCEED $\sqrt{64}$ SYMBOLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASA B46.1, WITH ROUGHNESS HEIGHT IN MICROINCHES.)
- VALUES TO BE PROVIDED FOR THE FOLLOWING, SUBJECT TO ANY RANGES SHOWN ON THE FIELD OF DRAWING; MATERIAL, LAY-UP, PLY ORIENTATION REFERENCE RELATIVE TO \square -A, OVERALL LENGTH, HOLE DIAMETER, COUNTERSINK DETAILS, COUPON THICKNESS, DOUBLER MATERIAL, DOUBLER ADHESIVE.



Parameters	Standard Dimensions of Specimen (inches)	
	without support fixture	with support fixture
fastener diameter, d	0.250 + 0.000/-0.001	0.250 + 0.000/-0.001
hole diameter, ϕ	0.250 + 0.001/-0.000	0.250 + 0.001/-0.000
thickness range, h	0.08-0.17	0.08-0.17
length, L	5.5	7.5
width, w	1.5 +/- 0.03	1.5 +/- 0.03
edge distance, e	0.75 +/- 0.03	0.75 +/- 0.03
countersink	none(optional)	none (optional)
doubler length, s	3.0	5.0


FIG. 6 Single-Shear Two-Piece Test Specimen Drawing (Inch-Pound)

This eccentricity creates a moment that, particularly in clearance-hole tests, rotates the fastener, resulting in an uneven contact stress distribution through the thickness of the specimen. The effect of this eccentricity upon test results is strongly dependent upon the degree of clearance in the hole, fastener diameter-to-specimen-thickness ratio, fastener torque, the size of the fastener head, the mating area, the coefficient of friction between the specimen and the mating material, the thickness and stiffness of the specimen, the thickness and stiffness of the mating material, and the configuration of the support fixture. Consequently, results obtained from this procedure where the support fixture is used may not accurately replicate behavior in other structural configurations.

6.6 Eccentricity (Procedure C only)—Loading eccentricity is less of a factor in Procedure C, due to the test fixture rigidity. However, this combination of loading eccentricity and fixture rigidity creates a combined bending moment and shear on the fastener that can lead to fastener yielding prior to composite material bearing failure.

6.7 Hole Preparation—Due to the dominating presence of the filled hole(s), results from this test method are relatively insensitive to parameters that would be of concern in an unnotched tensile or compressive property test. However, since the filled hole(s) dominates the strength, consistent preparation of the hole(s) without damage to the laminate is important to

- DRAWING NOTES:
1. INTERPRET DRAWING IN ACCORDANCE WITH ANSI Y14.5M-1982, SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING:
 2. ALL DIMENSIONS IN MM WITH DECIMAL TOLERANCES AS FOLLOWS:
 NO DECIMAL .X .XX X
 ± 1 ± 0.3 ± 0.1
 3. ALL ANGLES HAVE TOLERANCE OF $\pm 0.5^\circ$.
 4. PLY ORIENTATION DIRECTION TOLERANCE RELATIVE TO -A- WITHIN $\pm 0.5^\circ$.
 5. FINISH ON MACHINED EDGES NOT TO EXCEED $1.6 \sqrt{\text{R}}$ SYMBOLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASA B46.1, WITH ROUGHNESS HEIGHT IN MICROMETRES.)
 6. VALUES TO BE PROVIDED FOR THE FOLLOWING, SUBJECT TO ANY RANGES SHOWN ON THE FIELD OF DRAWING; MATERIAL, LAY-UP, PLY ORIENTATION REFERENCE RELATIVE TO -A-, OVERALL LENGTH, HOLE DIAMETER, COUNTERSINK DETAILS, COUPON THICKNESS, DOUBLER MATERIAL, DOUBLER ADHESIVE.



Parameters	Standard Dimensions of Specimen (mm)	
	without support fixture	with support fixture
fastener diameter, d	6 + 0.00/-0.03	6 + 0.00/-0.03
hole diameter, \emptyset	6 + 0.03/-0.00	6 + 0.03/-0.00
thickness range, h	2-4	2-4
length, L	210	210
width, w	36 +/- 1	36 +/- 1
edge distance, e	18 +/- 1	18 +/- 1
countersink	none(optional)	none (optional)
doubler length, s	108	108

FIG. 7 Single-Shear, Two-Piece Double-Fastener Test Specimen Drawing (SI)

meaningful results. Damage due to hole preparation will affect strength results and can reduce the calculated strength.

6.8 *Fastener-Hole Clearance*—Results are affected by the clearance arising from the difference between hole and fastener diameters. Clearance can change the observed specimen behavior by delaying the onset of bearing damage. Damage due to insufficient clearance during fastener installation will affect strength results. Countersink flushness (depth or protrusion of the fastener head in a countersunk hole) will affect strength results and may affect the observed failure mode. For these reasons, both the hole and fastener diameters must be accurately measured and recorded. A typical aerospace tolerance on fastener-hole clearance is $+75/-0 \mu\text{m}$ [$+0.003/-0.000 \text{ in.}$] for structural fastener holes.

6.9 *Fastener Torque/Pre-load*—Results are affected by the installed fastener pre-load (clamping pressure). Laminates can exhibit significant differences in both maximum force at failure and failure mode due to changes in fastener pre-load under bearing loading. The critical pre-load condition (that is, either


high or low clamping pressure) can vary depending upon the type of loading, the laminate stacking sequence and the desired failure mode. The nominal test configuration uses a relatively low level of fastener installation torque to give conservative bearing stress results. For specimens that produce bearing failure modes, bearing strengths for specimens with high clamping pressure fasteners are almost always higher than the corresponding low clamping pressure bearing strengths. Valid bearing strength results should only be reported when appropriate failure modes are observed, in accordance with 11.5.

6.10 *Fastener Strength/Modulus*—Results are affected by any permanent deformation of fasteners. Fastener yield failure is not an acceptable failure mode. Fastener manufacturers typically report static shear ultimate specification-minimum strengths for their products. Thus, knowledge of mean-to-minimum ultimate strength ratio, fastener alloy, and shear ultimate-to-yield ratio are generally required to accurately predict fastener shear yield strength. Furthermore, single-shear bearing test configurations (Procedures B and C) impart

DRAWING NOTES:

1. INTERPRET DRAWING IN ACCORDANCE WITH ANSI Y14.5M-1982, SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING:
2. ALL DIMENSIONS IN INCHES WITH DECIMAL TOLERANCES AS FOLLOWS:

.X	.XX	.XXX
+/- .1	+/- .03	+/- .003
3. ALL ANGLES HAVE TOLERANCE OF +/- .5°.
4. PLY ORIENTATION DIRECTION TOLERANCE RELATIVE TO -A- WITHIN +/- .5°.
5. FINISH ON MACHINED EDGES NOT TO EXCEED $\sqrt{64}$ SYMBOLOLOGY IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASA B46.1, WITH ROUGHNESS HEIGHT IN MICROINCHES.)
6. VALUES TO BE PROVIDED FOR THE FOLLOWING, SUBJECT TO ANY RANGES SHOWN ON THE FIELD OF DRAWING; MATERIAL, LAY-UP, PLY ORIENTATION REFERENCE RELATIVE TO -A-, OVERALL LENGTH, HOLE DIAMETER, COUNTERSINK DETAILS, COUPON THICKNESS, DOUBLER MATERIAL, DOUBLER ADHESIVE.



Parameters	Standard Dimensions of Specimen (inches)	
	without support fixture	with support fixture
fastener diameter, d	0.250 + 0.000/-0.001	0.250 + 0.000/-0.001
hole diameter, ø	0.250 + 0.001/-0.000	0.250 + 0.001/-0.000
thickness range, h	0.08-0.17	0.08-0.17
length, L	8.25	8.25
width, w	1.5 +/- 0.03	1.5 +/- 0.03
edge distance, e	0.75 +/- 0.03	0.75 +/- 0.03
countersink	none(optional)	none (optional)
doubler length, s	4.25	4.25

FIG. 8 Single-Shear, Two-Piece Double Fastener Test Specimen Drawing (Inch-Pound)

significant bending stress to the fasteners, which is influenced by fastener modulus and h/d ratio and also must be taken into account in predicting the maximum applied force below which no bending- or shear-induced fastener yielding will occur. Valid bearing strength results should only be reported when appropriate failure modes are observed, in accordance with 11.5.

6.11 *Specimen Geometry*—Results are affected by the ratio of specimen width to hole diameter; this ratio should be maintained at 6, unless the experiment is investigating the influence of this ratio, or invalid (bypass) failure modes may occur. Results may also be affected by the ratio of hole diameter to thickness; the preferred ratio is the range from 1.5-3.0 unless the experiment is investigating the influence of this ratio. Results may also be affected by the ratio of countersunk (flush) head depth to thickness (d_{csk}/h); the pre-

ferred ratio is the range from 0.0-0.7 unless the experiment is investigating the influence of this ratio. Results may also be affected by the ratio of ungripped specimen length to specimen width; this ratio should be maintained as shown, unless the experiment is investigating the influence of this ratio.

6.12 *Material Orthotropy*—The degree of laminate orthotropy strongly affects the failure mode and measured bearing strengths. Bearing strength results should only be reported when appropriate and valid failure modes are observed, in accordance with 11.5.

6.13 *Thickness Scaling*—Thick composite structures do not necessarily fail at the same strengths as thin structures with the same laminate orientation and geometric ratios (w/D, e/D, D/h, etc.). Thus, data gathered using these procedures may not translate directly into equivalent thick-structure properties.