



Designation: C1221 – 10 (Reapproved 2018)

Standard Test Method for Nondestructive Analysis of Special Nuclear Materials in Homogeneous Solutions by Gamma-Ray Spectrometry¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation C1221; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This test method covers the determination of the concentration of gamma-ray emitting special nuclear materials dissolved in homogeneous solutions. The test method corrects for gamma-ray attenuation by the solution and its container by measurement of the transmission of a beam of gamma rays from an external source (Refs. (1), (2), and (3)).²

1.2 Two solution geometries, slab and cylinder, are considered. The solution container that determines the geometry may be either a removable or a fixed geometry container. This test method is limited to solution containers having walls or a top and bottom of equal transmission through which the gamma rays from the external transmission correction source must pass.

1.3 This test method is typically applied to radionuclide concentrations ranging from a few milligrams per litre to several hundred grams per litre. The assay range will be a function of the specific activity of the nuclide of interest, the physical characteristics of the solution container, counting equipment considerations, assay gamma-ray energies, solution matrix, gamma-ray branching ratios, and interferences.

1.4 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety, health, and environmental practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.* For specific hazards, see Section 9.

1.5 *This international standard was developed in accordance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the Development of International Standards, Guides and Recommendations issued by the World Trade Organization Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Committee.*

¹ This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee C26 on Nuclear Fuel Cycle and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee C26.10 on Non Destructive Assay.

Current edition approved April 1, 2018. Published April 2018. Originally approved in 1992. Last previous edition approved in 2010 as C1221 – 10. DOI: 10.1520/C1221-10R18.

² The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to the list of references at the end of this test method.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:³

C1133/C1133M Test Method for Nondestructive Assay of Special Nuclear Material in Low-Density Scrap and Waste by Segmented Passive Gamma-Ray Scanning

C1168 Practice for Preparation and Dissolution of Plutonium Materials for Analysis

C1490 Guide for the Selection, Training and Qualification of Nondestructive Assay (NDA) Personnel

C1592/C1592M Guide for Making Quality Nondestructive Assay Measurements (Withdrawn 2018)⁴

C1673 Terminology of C26.10 Nondestructive Assay Methods

E181 Test Methods for Detector Calibration and Analysis of Radionuclides

2.2 ANSI Standards:⁵

ANSI N15.20 Guide to Calibrating Nondestructive Assay Systems

ANSI N15.35 Guide to Preparing Calibration Material for Nondestructive Assay Systems that Count Passive Gamma Rays

ANSI N15.37 Guide to the Automation of Nondestructive Assay Systems for Nuclear Material Control

ANSI N42.14 American National Standard for Calibration and Use of Germanium Spectrometers for the Measurement of Gamma-Ray Emission Rates of Radionuclides

ANSI/IEEE 645 Test Procedures for High-Purity Germanium Detectors for Ionizing Radiation

3. Terminology

3.1 For definitions of terms used in this test method, refer to Committee C26.10's Terminology standard, C1673.

³ For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

⁴ The last approved version of this historical standard is referenced on www.astm.org.

⁵ Available from American National Standards Institute (ANSI), 25 W. 43rd St., 4th Floor, New York, NY 10036, http://www.ansi.org.

4. Summary of Test Method

4.1 Many nuclear materials spontaneously emit gamma rays with energies and intensities characteristic of the decaying nuclide. The analysis for these nuclear materials is accomplished by selecting appropriate gamma rays and measuring their intensity to identify and quantify the nuclide.

4.1.1 The gamma-ray spectrum of a portion of solution is obtained with a collimated, high resolution gamma-ray detector.

4.1.2 Count-rate-dependent losses are determined and corrections are made for these losses.

4.1.3 A correction factor for gamma-ray attenuation in the solution and its container is determined from the measurement of the transmitted intensity of an external gamma-ray source. The gamma rays from the external source have energies close to those of the assay gamma rays emitted from the solution.

Figs. 1 and 2 illustrate typical transmission source, solution, and detector configurations. Gamma rays useful for assays of ²³⁵U and ²³⁹Pu are listed in Table 1.

4.1.4 The relationship between the measured gamma-ray intensity and the nuclide concentration (the calibration constant) is determined by use of appropriate standards (ANSI N15.20, ANSI N15.35, and Guide C1592/C1592M).


4.2 In the event that the total element concentration is desired and only one isotope of an element is determined (for example, ²³⁹Pu), the isotopic ratios must be measured or estimated.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 This test method is a nondestructive means of determining the nuclide concentration of a solution for special nuclear material accountancy, nuclear safety, and process control.


5.2 It is assumed that the nuclide to be analyzed is in a homogeneous solution (Practice C1168).

5.3 The transmission correction makes the test method independent of matrix (solution elemental composition and density) and useful over several orders of magnitude of nuclide concentrations. However, a typical configuration will normally span only two to three orders of magnitude because of detector dynamic range.



NOTE 1—The sample geometry may be either cylindrical or a slab. (Not to scale.)

FIG. 1 Schematic of a Sidelooking Configuration



NOTE 1—The sample geometry in this case is a slab. (Not to scale.)

FIG. 2 Schematic of an Uplooking Configuration

TABLE 1 Suggested Nuclide/Source Combinations

| Nuclide | Peak Energy (keV) | Transmission Source | Peak Energy (keV) | Count Rate Correction Source | Peak Energy (keV) |
|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| ²³⁵ U | 185.7 | ¹⁶⁹ Yb | 177.2 | ²⁴¹ Am | 59.5 |
| | | | 198.0 | | |
| ²³⁹ Pu | 413.7 | ⁷⁵ Se | 400.1 | ¹³³ Ba | 356.3 |
| ²³⁹ Pu | 129.3 | ⁵⁷ Co | 122.1 | ¹⁰⁹ Cd | 88.0 |
| | | | 136.5 | | |

5.4 The test method assumes that the solution-detector geometry is the same for all measured items. This can be accomplished by requiring that the liquid height in the side-looking geometry exceeds the detector field of view defined by the collimator. For the upward-looking geometry, a fixed solution fill height must be maintained and vials of identical radii must be used unless the vial radius exceeds the field of view defined by the collimator.

5.5 Since gamma-ray systems can be automated, the test method can be rapid, reliable, and not labor intensive.

5.6 This test method may be applicable to in-line or off-line situations.

6. Interferences

6.1 Radionuclides may be present in the solution, which produce gamma rays with energies that are the same or very nearly the same as the gamma rays suggested for nuclide measurement, count rate correction, or transmission correction. Thus, the corresponding peaks in the gamma-ray spectrum may be unresolved and their areas may not be easily determined unless multiplet fitting techniques are used. In some cases, the nuclide of interest may emit other gamma rays that can be used for analysis or alternative transmission or count rate correction sources may be used.

6.1.1 Occasionally, a significant amount of ²³⁷Np is found in a plutonium solution. The ²³⁷Np daughter, ²³³Pa, emits a gamma ray at 415.8 keV as well as other gamma rays in the 300 to 400 keV region. These ²³³Pa gamma rays may interfere with the analysis of ²³⁹Pu at 413.7 keV and at several other normally useful ²³⁹Pu gamma-ray energies. In this case,

the ^{239}Pu gamma ray at 129.3 keV may be a reasonable alternative. In addition, the 398.7 keV gamma ray from ^{233}Pa may interfere with the transmission corrections based on the 400.7 keV ^{75}Se gamma-ray measurements. Multiple fitting techniques can resolve these problems.

6.1.2 ^{169}Yb , used as the transmission source for ^{235}U assays, emits a 63.1 keV gamma ray that may interfere with the measurement of the area of the peak produced by the 59.5 keV gamma ray of ^{241}Am , which is commonly used as the count rate correction source. The 63.1 keV ^{169}Yb gamma ray should be attenuated by placing a cadmium absorber over the transmission source. ^{109}Cd may be a suitable alternative count rate correction source.

6.1.3 In the special case of ^{239}Pu assays using ^{75}Se as a transmission source, random coincident summing of the 136.0 and 279.5 keV gamma-ray emissions from ^{75}Se produces a low intensity sum peak at 415.5 keV that interferes with the peak area calculation for the peak produced by the 413.7 keV gamma ray from ^{239}Pu . The effects of this sum peak interference can be reduced by using absorbers to attenuate the radiation from the ^{75}Se to the lowest intensity required for transmission measurements of acceptable precision. The problem can be avoided entirely by making two separate measurements on each item/solution; first, measure the peak area of the transmission source with the solution in place and second, measure the peak area of the assay gamma ray while the detector is shielded from the transmission source. An additional benefit of the “dual scan” is a better signal to noise ratio in the individual spectra.

6.1.4 In ^{239}Pu solutions with high activities of ^{241}Am or ^{237}U , or both, the Compton continuum from intense 208.0 keV gamma rays may make the 129.3 keV gamma ray from ^{239}Pu unusable for assays. Also, the 416.0 keV sum peak that results from pileup of the 208.0 keV gamma rays may interfere with the 413.7 keV gamma ray from ^{239}Pu . Use an absorber (for example, 0.5 to 0.8 mm of tungsten) between the detector and solution to attenuate the 208.0 keV gamma rays. This will attenuate the intensity of the lower energy gamma rays and also reduce the sum peak interference. The resulting ^{239}Pu assay will be based on the 413.7 keV gamma ray.

6.1.5 X-rays of approximately 88 keV from lead in the shielding may interfere with the measurement of the 88.0 keV gamma-ray peak when ^{109}Cd is used as the count rate correction source. Graded shielding (4) is required to remove the interference.

6.2 Peaks may appear in the spectrum at gamma-ray energies used for analysis when there is no solution present. This may be caused by excessive amounts of radioactive material stored in the vicinity of the detector or by contamination of the instrument. This can cause variable and unacceptably high backgrounds leading to poor measurement quality.

6.2.1 Remove unnecessary radioactive material from the vicinity and also restrain movement of radioactive material around the assay area during measurements. Shielding should be provided that completely surrounds the detector with the exception of the collimator opening. Shielding opposite the detector on the far side of the solution will also reduce the amount of ambient radiation incident on the detector.

6.2.2 Use solution containers that are free of outer surface contamination. Remove any contamination from the instrument that may interfere with analyses. It may not be possible to completely decontaminate in-line instrumentation. In this case, the contamination should be minimized to the extent practical.

6.2.3 The measurement of background should be made at various times during the day. Varying backgrounds can be caused by process activities that often occur on regular schedules. These time-dependent backgrounds might not be detected if the background is checked at the same time each day.

6.3 High-energy gamma rays from fission products in the solution will increase the Compton background and decrease the precision of gamma-ray intensity measurements in the lower energy (<500 keV) region of the spectrum.

6.4 Low energy X- and gamma rays from either the transmission or count rate correction source may contribute significantly to the total system electronic pulse rate causing increased count rate losses and sum peak interferences. An absorber should be fixed between the source and detector to reduce the number of low energy X-rays detected.

7. Apparatus

7.1 *Gamma-Ray Detector System*—General guidelines for selection of detectors and signal-processing electronics are discussed in Guide C1592/C1592M, and ANSI N42.14. Refer to the References section for a list of other recommended references. This system typically consists of a gamma-ray detector, spectroscopy grade amplifier, high-voltage bias supply, multi-channel analyzer, and detector collimator. The system may also include an oscilloscope, a spectrum stabilizer, a computer, and a printer. General guidelines for selection of detectors and signal-processing electronics are discussed in Guide C1592/C1592M. Data acquisition systems are considered in ANSI N15.37. It is recommended that the system be implemented by an NDA Professional (C1490). The system should have the following components:

7.2 *High Resolution, Germanium, Gamma-Ray Detector*—A coaxial-type detector with full width at half maximum (FWHM) resolution typically 1000 eV or better at 122 keV may be used for the analysis. A planar-type detector with similar resolution may also be used. The stated resolutions are for guidance only. The selection of detector type, coaxial or planar, should be based on the usual considerations of efficiency and resolution required for the specific application. Test procedures for detectors are given in Test Methods E181 and ANSI/IEEE 645.

7.3 *Detector Collimator*—The collimator defines the field of view of the detector to a reproducible solution geometry and shields the detector from ambient radiation. This test method addresses two potential solution/collimator geometries that will dictate the analytical expression used. Other designs require case-by-case assessment.

7.3.1 The collimator in the slab geometry (both upward looking and sidelooking) is a cylindrical hole with its axis normal to the slab. The diameter of the collimator should limit the field of view of the detector to within the solution volume (see Figs. 1 and 2).

7.3.2 The collimator in the cylindrical geometry should be a slit perpendicular to the axis of the solution. The field of view in this case is within the solution volume in the axial direction (see Fig. 1) and includes the entire solution volume in the radial direction (Fig. 3).

7.4 *Absorber Foils*—Absorbers are used to reduce the overall count rate due to low energy X-rays and gamma rays from the solution, transmission source, and count rate correction source. The absorbers are usually cadmium, tin or copper, or combination. Any change of these absorbers requires recalibration of the assay system.

7.5 *Count Rate Correction Source*—To minimize interferences, the source should be chosen to have gamma-ray energies lower than the gamma rays of interest. The source must be fixed relative to the detector, and its beam relative to the detector must not be attenuated by the solution. See Table 1 for suggested gamma-ray sources. Alternatively, commercially available high precision electronic pulsers may be used for count rate correction.

7.6 *Transmission Source*—The transmission source should preferably emit gamma rays that bracket the energy of the assay gamma ray from the nuclide of interest. A single gamma ray with an energy near the assay gamma ray may be used. Table 1 provides a list of suggested nuclides for use as transmission sources with nuclides of interest. The source activity should typically be a few tens of millicuries. Where a two-measurement assay (as described in 6.1.3) will be used, construct the instrument such that the detector can be shielded from the transmission source during measurement of the assay gamma rays. A computer controlled shutter between the transmission source and solution is ideal for this purpose in an automated system. The transmission source should be placed opposite the detector and shine through the aperture of the detector collimator as illustrated in Fig. 1. The transmission source should shine through the diameter of the solution container in the cylindrical geometry.

7.7 *Solution Holder*—The solution holder must provide repeatable placement of the solution container between the detector and transmission source.

7.8 *Solution Container*—Either a removable solution vial with reproducible gamma-ray path length and wall thickness or a fixed-path-length flow-through cell. Low atomic number material should be used in container production to reduce absorption of assay gamma-rays. The equations presented in this test method apply only to solution containers with walls or a top and bottom of equal transmission for the cylindrical and slab geometry configurations, respectively.

8. Reference Materials

8.1 Calibration of the assay system involves using a set of standards to determine the relationship between the observed, corrected count rate of the characteristic gamma ray of a nuclide and the concentration of the nuclide known to be present. After correcting for background, rate-related losses, and attenuation effects, a direct proportionality constant is determined between count rate and nuclide concentration.

8.2 Prepare at least three calibration standards for each nuclide to be assayed. Standards should span the expected concentration range of solution. The use of three calibration standards will verify the validity of the transmission correction and the linearity of the instrument. More than three standards may be required if the instrument covers a wide concentration range.

8.2.1 The standards should be certified to contain a known nuclide concentration by an alternative technique traceable to certified reference materials.

8.2.2 Standards should be in a matrix providing transmissions similar to those expected for solutions and be in the same container geometry to be used for solution assays.

8.3 Mathematical calibrations benchmarked to traceable sources may be used in place of physical reference materials. Any calibration must be verified with a working standard or source.

9. Hazards

9.1 Safety:

9.1.1 Transuranic materials are both radioactive and toxic. Adequate laboratory facilities and safe operating procedures must be considered to protect operators from both unnecessary exposure to ionizing radiation and from contamination while handling measurement items.


9.1.2 The recommended analytical procedures call for the use of radioactive sources, some with high levels of ionizing radiation. Consult a qualified health physicist or radiation safety professional concerning exposure problems and leak test requirements before handling radioactive sources.

9.2 Technical:

9.2.1 Prevent counting conditions that may produce spectral distortions.

9.2.1.1 High count rates can cause electronic pulse pileup resulting in peak shape distortion and sum peaks in the spectrum. Pulse pileup rejection circuitry should be used to prevent this. When appropriate, use absorbers to reduce the intensity of low energy X-rays and gamma rays.

9.2.1.2 Changing temperature and humidity in the measurement environment may cause gain and zero-level shifts of the



NOTE 1—Not to scale.

FIG. 3 Axial View of a Cylindrical Sample Geometry