

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION

**Radiation protection instrumentation - Determination of uncertainty in
measurement**

Sample Document

get full document from standards.iteh.ai



THIS PUBLICATION IS COPYRIGHT PROTECTED

Copyright © 2026 IEC, Geneva, Switzerland

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either IEC or IEC's member National Committee in the country of the requester. If you have any questions about IEC copyright or have an enquiry about obtaining additional rights to this publication, please contact the address below or your local IEC member National Committee for further information.

IEC Secretariat
3, rue de Varembe
CH-1211 Geneva 20
Switzerland

Tel.: +41 22 919 02 11
info@iec.ch
www.iec.ch

About the IEC

The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is the leading global organization that prepares and publishes International Standards for all electrical, electronic and related technologies.

About IEC publications

The technical content of IEC publications is kept under constant review by the IEC. Please make sure that you have the latest edition, a corrigendum or an amendment might have been published.

IEC publications search -

webstore.iec.ch/advsearchform

The advanced search enables to find IEC publications by a variety of criteria (reference number, text, technical committee, ...). It also gives information on projects, replaced and withdrawn publications.

IEC Just Published - webstore.iec.ch/justpublished

Stay up to date on all new IEC publications. Just Published details all new publications released. Available online and once a month by email.

IEC Customer Service Centre - webstore.iec.ch/csc

If you wish to give us your feedback on this publication or need further assistance, please contact the Customer Service Centre: sales@iec.ch.

IEC Products & Services Portal - products.iec.ch

Discover our powerful search engine and read freely all the publications previews, graphical symbols and the glossary. With a subscription you will always have access to up to date content tailored to your needs.

Electropedia - www.electropedia.org

The world's leading online dictionary on electrotechnology, containing more than 22 500 terminological entries in English and French, with equivalent terms in 25 additional languages. Also known as the International Electrotechnical Vocabulary (IEV) online.

Warning! Make sure that you obtained this publication from an authorized distributor.

CONTENTS

FOREWORD	5
INTRODUCTION	7
1 Scope	8
2 Normative references	8
3 Terms and definitions	9
4 List of symbols	14
5 The ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008 and ISO/IEC Guide 98-3-SP1:2008 concept	16
5.1 General concept of uncertainty determination	16
5.1.1 Overview	16
5.1.2 Summary of the analytical method for steps 3 and 4	17
5.1.3 Summary of the Monte Carlo method for steps 3 and 4	17
5.1.4 Which method to use: Analytical or Monte Carlo?	18
5.2 Example of a model function	18
5.3 Collection of data and existing knowledge for the example	21
5.3.1 General	21
5.3.2 Calibration factor for the example	22
5.3.3 Zero reading for the example	23
5.3.4 Reading for the example	24
5.3.5 Relative response or correction factor for the example	25
5.3.6 Comparison of probability density distributions for input quantities	27
5.4 Calculation of the result of a measurement and its standard uncertainty (uncertainty budget)	28
5.4.1 General	28
5.4.2 Analytical method	28
5.4.3 Monte Carlo method	29
5.4.4 Uncertainty budgets	30
5.5 Statement of the measurement result and its expanded uncertainty	31
5.5.1 General	31
5.5.2 Analytical method	32
5.5.3 Monte Carlo method	32
5.5.4 Representation of the output distribution function in a simple form (Monte Carlo method)	35
6 Decision threshold and detection limit	35
7 Results below the decision threshold of the measuring device	37
8 Overview of the annexes	38
Annex A (informative) Example of an uncertainty analysis for a measurement with an electronic ambient dose equivalent rate meter according to IEC 60846-1:2009	40
A.1 General	40
A.2 Model function	40
A.3 Calculation of the complete result of the measurement	41
A.3.1 General	41
A.3.2 Low level of consideration of measuring conditions	42
A.3.3 High level of consideration of measuring conditions	44
Annex B (informative) Example of an uncertainty analysis for a measurement with a passive integrating dosimetry system according to IEC 62387:2020	47
B.1 General	47
B.2 Model function	47

B.3	Calculation of the complete result of the measurement	48
B.3.1	General	48
B.3.2	Low level of consideration of exposure conditions	48
B.3.3	High level of consideration of exposure conditions.....	50
B.3.4	Consideration of significant background subtraction	52
Annex C (informative) Example of an uncertainty analysis for a measurement with an electronic direct reading neutron ambient dose equivalent rate meter according to IEC 61005:2014		54
C.1	General.....	54
C.2	Model function	54
C.3	Calculation of the complete result of the measurement	55
C.3.1	General	55
C.3.2	Analytical method	55
C.3.3	Monte Carlo method	57
C.3.4	Comparison of the result of the analytical and the Monte Carlo method	58
Annex D (informative) Example of an uncertainty analysis for a calibration of a radon activity monitor according to the IEC 61577 series using a radon gas standard.....		60
D.1	General.....	60
D.2	Model function	60
D.3	Calculation of the complete result of the measurement	61
Annex E (informative) Example of an uncertainty analysis for a measurement of surface emission rate with a contamination meter according to IEC 60325:2002		63
E.1	General.....	63
E.2	Model function	63
E.3	Calculation of the complete result of the measurement	64
E.3.1	General	64
E.3.2	Effects of distance	64
E.3.3	Contamination non-uniformity	65
E.3.4	Surface absorption	65
E.3.5	Other input quantities	65
E.3.6	Uncertainty budget	66
Annex F (informative) Example of an uncertainty analysis and the determination of the characteristic limits for a field instrument to measure beta radiation.....		69
F.1	General.....	69
F.2	Model function	69
F.3	Calculation of the complete result of the measurement and the decision threshold and the detection limit	70
F.3.1	Calibration factor and non-linearity	70
F.3.2	Correction factor for the energy dependence due to beta radiation energy	71
F.3.3	Correction factor for radiation divergence	73
F.3.4	Further correction factors not considered above	73
F.3.5	Uncertainty budgets and results.....	73
F.3.6	Determination of the characteristic limits (decision threshold, detection limit and limits of the coverage interval) for a field measurement of beta radiation	78
Annex G (informative) Data set to be used for uncertainty estimations for measurements with radiation detection instruments used for the detection of illicit trafficking of radioactive materials.....		81
G.1	General.....	81
G.2	Model functions	82

G.3	Calculation of the complete result of the measurement	84
Annex H (informative)	Guidance for how to derive an uncertainty budget from the results of the Monte Carlo	87
H.1	General description of the method	87
H.2	R code for the method described in H.1	88
Bibliography		89
Figure 1	– Triangular probability density distribution of values n for the calibration factor N	23
Figure 2	– Rectangular probability density distribution of values g_0 for the zero reading G_0	24
Figure 3	– Gaussian probability density distribution of values g for the reading G	24
Figure 4	– Comparison of different probability density distributions of values: rectangular (broken line), triangular (dotted line) and Gaussian (solid line) distribution	27
Figure 5	– Cumulative distribution function Q of the measured value (output quantity) for the example of low level of consideration of exposure conditions, see 5.3.5.2	33
Figure 6	– Probability density distribution (PDF) of the measured value (output quantity) for the example of low level of consideration of exposure conditions, see 5.3.5.2	34
Figure 7	– Decision threshold m^* and detection limit $m^\#$	37
Figure C.1	– Results of the analytical (red dashed lines) and the Monte Carlo method (grey histogram and blue dotted and solid lines) for $\dot{H}^*(10)$	58
Figure D.1	– Result of the analytical (red dashed lines) and the Monte Carlo method (grey histogram and blue dotted lines) for K_T	62
Figure F.1	– Energy and angular dependence of the response to $H'(0,07)$ of the survey meter for beta radiation	72
Figure F.2	– Results of the analytical (red dashed lines) and the Monte Carlo method (grey histogram and blue dotted and solid lines) for the measurement of $H'(0,07)$ in an unknown beta radiation field	74
Figure F.3	– Results of the analytical (red dashed lines) and the Monte Carlo method (grey histogram and blue dotted and solid lines) for the measurement of $H'(0,07)$ of a beta point source and turning the instrument for maximum indication in an unknown beta radiation field	77
Figure F.4	– Results of the Monte Carlo method for the determination of uncertainty (top), the decision threshold (middle) and the detection limit (bottom) for the measurement of $H'(0,07)$ in an unknown beta radiation field	79
Figure F.5	– Results of the Monte Carlo method for the determination of uncertainty (top), the decision threshold (middle) and the detection limit (bottom) for the measurement of $H'(0,07)$ of a beta point source and turning the instrument for maximum indication in an unknown beta radiation field	80
Table 1	– Symbols (and abbreviated terms) used in the main text (excluding annexes)	14
Table 2	– Standard uncertainty and method to compute the probability density distributions shown in Figure 4	28
Table 3	– Example of an uncertainty budget for a measurement with an electronic dosimeter using the model function $M = N K (G - G_0)$ and low level of consideration of the exposure conditions, see 5.3.5.2	31
Table 4	– Example of an uncertainty budget for a measurement with an electronic dosimeter using the model function $M = N K (G - G_0)$ and high level of consideration of the exposure conditions, see 5.3.5.3	31

Table A.1 – Example of an uncertainty budget for a dose rate measurement according to IEC 60846-1:2009 with an instrument having a logarithmic scale and low level of consideration of the measuring conditions, see text for details	43
Table A.2 – Example of an uncertainty budget for a dose rate measurement according to IEC 60846-1:2009 with an instrument having a logarithmic scale and high level of consideration of the measuring conditions, see text for details	45
Table B.1 – Example of an uncertainty budget for a photon dose measurement with a passive dosimetry system according to IEC 62387:2020 and low level of consideration of the exposure conditions, see text for details	49
Table B.2 – Example of an uncertainty budget for a photon dose measurement with a passive dosimetry system according to IEC 62387:2020 and high level of consideration of the measuring conditions, see text for details	51
Table B.3 – Example of an uncertainty budget for a photon dose measurement with a passive dosimetry system according to IEC 62387:2020 and low level of consideration of the measuring conditions and significant background subtraction	53
Table C.1 – Example of an uncertainty budget for a neutron dose measurement according to IEC 61005:2014 using the analytical method	56
Table C.2 – Example of an uncertainty budget for a neutron dose rate measurement according to IEC 61005:2014 using the Monte Carlo method	57
Table C.3 – Results of the analytical and the Monte Carlo method	59
Table D.1 – List of quantities used in Formula (D.1)	60
Table D.2 – List of data available for the input quantities of Formula (D.1)	61
Table D.3 – Example of an uncertainty budget for the calibration of a radon monitor according to IEC 61577, see text for details	61
Table E.1 – Example of an uncertainty budget for a surface emission rate measurement according to IEC 60325:2002, see text for details	67
Table E.2 – Example of an uncertainty budget for a surface emission rate measurement according to IEC 60325:2002 for the determination of the uncertainty at an assumed true value of zero	68
Table F.1 – Results of the non-linearity test	70
Table F.2 – Energy and dose rate dependence for the quantity $H'(0,07)$	72
Table F.3 – Uncertainty budget for the measurement of $H'(0,07)$ in an unknown beta radiation field	73
Table F.4 – Results of the analytical and Monte Carlo method of the uncertainty analysis for the measurement of $H'(0,07)$ in an unknown beta radiation field	74
Table F.5 – Uncertainty budget for the measurement of $H'(0,07)$ of a beta point source and turning the instrument for maximum indication in an unknown beta radiation field	76
Table F.6 – Results of the analytical and Monte Carlo method of the uncertainty analysis for the measurement of $H'(0,07)$ of a beta point source and turning the instrument for maximum indication in an unknown beta radiation field	76
Table F.7 – Characteristic limits for the measurement of $H'(0,07)$ in an unknown beta radiation field	78
Table F.8 – Characteristic limits for the measurement of $H'(0,07)$ of a beta point source and turning the instrument for maximum indication in an unknown beta radiation field	79
Table G.1 – List of standards for the detection of illicit trafficking of radioactive materials	81
Table G.2 – Conversion coefficients from exposure rate to ambient dose equivalent rate for use in Formula (G.4)	84
Table H.1 – Resulting uncertainty budget from the method described above	88

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

**Radiation protection instrumentation -
Determination of uncertainty in measurement**

FOREWORD

- 1) The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is a worldwide organization for standardization comprising all national electrotechnical committees (IEC National Committees). The object of IEC is to promote international co-operation on all questions concerning standardization in the electrical and electronic fields. To this end and in addition to other activities, IEC publishes International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports, Publicly Available Specifications (PAS) and Guides (hereafter referred to as "IEC Publication(s)"). Their preparation is entrusted to technical committees; any IEC National Committee interested in the subject dealt with may participate in this preparatory work. International, governmental and non-governmental organizations liaising with the IEC also participate in this preparation. IEC collaborates closely with the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) in accordance with conditions determined by agreement between the two organizations.
- 2) The formal decisions or agreements of IEC on technical matters express, as nearly as possible, an international consensus of opinion on the relevant subjects since each technical committee has representation from all interested IEC National Committees.
- 3) IEC Publications have the form of recommendations for international use and are accepted by IEC National Committees in that sense. While all reasonable efforts are made to ensure that the technical content of IEC Publications is accurate, IEC cannot be held responsible for the way in which they are used or for any misinterpretation by any end user.
- 4) In order to promote international uniformity, IEC National Committees undertake to apply IEC Publications transparently to the maximum extent possible in their national and regional publications. Any divergence between any IEC Publication and the corresponding national or regional publication shall be clearly indicated in the latter.
- 5) IEC itself does not provide any attestation of conformity. Independent certification bodies provide conformity assessment services and, in some areas, access to IEC marks of conformity. IEC is not responsible for any services carried out by independent certification bodies.
- 6) All users should ensure that they have the latest edition of this publication.
- 7) No liability shall attach to IEC or its directors, employees, servants or agents including individual experts and members of its technical committees and IEC National Committees for any personal injury, property damage or other damage of any nature whatsoever, whether direct or indirect, or for costs (including legal fees) and expenses arising out of the publication, use of, or reliance upon, this IEC Publication or any other IEC Publications.
- 8) Attention is drawn to the Normative references cited in this publication. Use of the referenced publications is indispensable for the correct application of this publication.
- 9) IEC draws attention to the possibility that the implementation of this document may involve the use of (a) patent(s). IEC takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, IEC had not received notice of (a) patent(s), which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at <https://patents.iec.ch>. IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

IEC TS 62461, which previously was a Technical Report, has been prepared by subcommittee 45B: Radiation protection instrumentation, of IEC technical committee 45: Nuclear instrumentation. It is a Technical Specification.

This first edition of IEC TS 62461 cancels and replaces the second edition of IEC TR 62461 published in 2015. This edition constitutes a technical revision.

This edition includes the following significant technical changes with respect to the previous edition:

- a) several minor corrections;
- b) the addition of an example of the determination of the decision threshold and detection limit in accordance with ISO 11929.

The text of this Technical Specification is based on the following documents:

Draft	Report on voting
45B/1115/DTS	45B/1126/RVDTS

Full information on the voting for its approval can be found in the report on voting indicated in the above table.

The language used for the development of this Technical Specification is English.

This document was drafted in accordance with ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2, and developed in accordance with ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1 and ISO/IEC Directives, IEC Supplement, available at www.iec.ch/members_experts/refdocs. The main document types developed by IEC are described in greater detail at www.iec.ch/publications.

The committee has decided that the contents of this document will remain unchanged until the stability date indicated on the IEC website under webstore.iec.ch in the data related to the specific document. At this date, the document will be

- reconfirmed,
- withdrawn, or
- revised.

Sample Document

get full document from standards.iteh.ai

INTRODUCTION

ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008, *Uncertainty of measurement - Part 3: Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement (GUM:1995)* as well as its Supplement 1:2008, *Propagation of distributions using a Monte Carlo method (GUM S1)*, are general guides to assess uncertainty in measurement. Furthermore, ISO 11929 is a general guide to assess the decision threshold and detection limit, as well as coverage interval for measurements of ionizing radiation. This Technical Specification lays emphasis on the application of these documents in the area of radiation protection and serves as a practical introduction to ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008 and its Supplement 1:2008 as well as ISO 11929.

The process of determining uncertainty delivers not only a numerical value of uncertainty; in addition, it produces the best estimate of the quantity to be measured, which can differ from the indication of the instrument. Thus, it can also improve the result of the measurement by using information beyond the indicated value of the instrument, e.g., the energy dependence of the instrument. Furthermore, measurement uncertainties and characteristic values, such as the decision threshold, the detection limit and limits of the coverage interval for measurements, as well as the best estimate and its associated standard measurement uncertainty, are of importance in metrology in general and for radiation detection and radiological protection in particular. The quantification of the uncertainty associated with a measurement result provides a basis for the trust an individual can have in a measurement result. Conformity with regulatory limits, constraints or reference values can only be demonstrated by taking into account and quantifying all sources of uncertainty. Characteristic limits provide the basis for deciding if a measurement method is suitable and reliable for the proposed measuring task.

Sample Document

get full document from standards.iteh.ai

1 Scope

This Technical Specification gives guidelines for the application of the uncertainty analysis according to ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008 (GUM describing an analytical method for the uncertainty determination) and its Supplement 1:2008 (GUM S1 describing a Monte Carlo method for the uncertainty determination) for measurements of ionizing radiation. It does not include the uncertainty associated with the concept of the measuring quantity, e.g., the difference between $H_p(10)$ on the ISO water slab phantom and on the person.

This document explains the principles of ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008, its Supplement 1:2008 and the special considerations necessary for radiation protection at an example taken from individual dosimetry of external radiation. In the informative annexes, several examples are given for the application on instruments measuring ionizing radiation, for several of which the IEC has developed standards.

This document is intended to assist the understanding of ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008, ISO/IEC Guide 98-3-SP1:2008 and other papers on uncertainty analysis. It cannot replace these papers, nor can it provide the background and justification of the arguments leading to the concept of ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008 and ISO/IEC Guide 98-3-SP1:2008.

Finally, this document gives a very simple method to judge whether a measured result is significantly different from zero or not based on ISO 11929.

NOTE For a better readability the correct terms are not always used throughout this document. For example, instead of "random variables of a quantity" only the "quantity" itself is stated.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

IEC 60050-311:—¹, *International Electrotechnical Vocabulary (IEV) - Part 311: Electrical and electronic measurements - General terms relating to measurements*

IEC 60050-151, *International Electrotechnical Vocabulary (IEV) - Part 151: Electrical and magnetic devices*

ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008, *Uncertainty of measurement - Part 3: Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement (GUM:1995)*

ISO/IEC Guide 98-3-SP1:2008, *Uncertainty of measurement - Part 3: Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement (GUM:1995) - Propagation of distributions using a Monte Carlo method*

ISO 11929-1:2019, *Determination of the characteristic limits (decision threshold, detection limit and limits of the coverage interval) for measurements of ionizing radiation - Fundamentals and application - Part 1: Elementary applications*

ISO 11929-3:2019, *Determination of the characteristic limits (decision threshold, detection limit and limits of the coverage interval) for measurements of ionizing radiation - Fundamentals and application - Part 3: Applications to unfolding methods*

¹ Under consideration. Stage at the time of publication: IEC/CDV 60050-311:2026.

ISO 11929-4:2022, *Determination of the characteristic limits (decision threshold, detection limit and limits of the coverage interval) for measurements of ionizing radiation - Fundamentals and application - Part 4: Guidelines to applications*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the technical terms of IEC 60050-151, and IEC 60050-311 as well as the following definitions taken from ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008, and ISO/IEC Guide 98-3-SP1:2008 apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- IEC Electropedia: available at <https://www.electropedia.org/>
- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>

3.1 calibration factor

N

quotient of the conventional true value of a quantity, i.e., the conventional quantity value, and the indicated value for a specified reference radiation under specified reference conditions

3.2 complete result of the measurement

set of values attributed to a measurand, including a value, its uncertainty, coverage interval, coverage probability, and the unit

Note 1 to entry: The central value of the whole (set of values) can be selected as *measured value* and a parameter characterising the dispersion as *uncertainty*.

Note 2 to entry: The result of a measurement is related to the *indication given by the instrument* and to the values of correction obtained by calibration and by the use of a *model*.

Note 3 to entry: In this Technical Specification, the "measured value", see Note 1, is abbreviated by M .

Note 4 to entry: In this Technical Specification, the "indication given by the instrument", see Note 2, is abbreviated by G , and called "indicated value".

Note 5 to entry: In this Technical Specification, the "model", see Note 2, is called "model function", see 3.15 and 5.2.

3.3 conformity test

test for conformity evaluation

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-151:2001, 151-16-15]

3.4 correction factor

K

factor to the indicated value to correct for deviation of measurement conditions from calibration conditions

3.5**coverage interval**

interval containing the set of true quantity values of a measurand with a stated probability, based on the information available

Note 1 to entry: A coverage interval does not need to be centred on the chosen measured quantity value.

Note 2 to entry: A coverage interval should not be termed "confidence interval" to avoid confusion with the statistical concept.

[SOURCE: ISO 11929-1:2019]

3.6**coverage factor**

k_{cov}

numerical factor used as a multiplier of the (combined) standard uncertainty in order to obtain an expanded uncertainty

Note 1 to entry: A coverage factor k_{cov} is typically in the range of 2 to 3.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008, 2.3.6]

3.7**decision threshold**

m^*

value of the estimator of the measurand, which, when exceeded by the result of an actual measurement using a given measurement procedure of a measurand quantifying a physical effect, is used to decide that the physical effect is present

Note 1 to entry: The decision threshold is defined such that in cases where the measurement result, m , exceeds the decision threshold, m^* , the probability of a wrong decision, namely that the true value of the measurand is not zero if in fact it is zero, is less or equal to a chosen probability, α .

Note 2 to entry: If the result, m , is below the decision threshold, m^* , it is decided to conclude that the result cannot be attributed to the physical effect; nevertheless, it cannot be concluded that it is absent.

Note 3 to entry: In other words: the probability α is the probability that a measured value exceeds the decision threshold and is accepted as indicator for a non-zero true value although it is, in fact, zero. In this case, the conclusion $\hat{m} > 0$ would be a wrong decision.

[SOURCE: ISO 11929-1:2019, 3.12, modified – addition of Note 3 to entry]

3.8**detection limit**

$m^\#$

smallest true value of the measurand which ensures a specified probability of being detectable by the measurement procedure

Note 1 to entry: With the decision threshold according to 3.7, the detection limit is the smallest true value of the measurand for which the probability of wrongly deciding that the true value of the measurand is zero is equal to a specified value, β , when, in fact, the true value of the measurand is not zero. The probability of being detectable is consequently $(1-\beta)$.

Note 2 to entry: The terms detection limit and decision threshold are used in an ambiguous way in different standards (e.g., standards related to chemical analysis or quality assurance). If these terms are referred to one has to state according to which standard they are used.

Note 3 to entry: In other words: the probability β is the probability that a measured value lies below the decision threshold and the result is therefore not attributed to the physical effect although the true value equals $m^\#$. In this case, the conclusion $\hat{m} = 0$ would be a wrong decision.

[SOURCE: ISO 11929-1:2019, 3.13, modified – addition of Note 3 to entry]

3.9**deviation*****D***

difference between the indicated values for the same value of the measurand of an indicating measuring instrument, or the values of a material measure, when an influence quantity assumes, successively, two different values

Note 1 to entry: This definition is applicable to all measuring instruments and influence quantities, but it should mainly be used in those cases, where this deviation is independent of the indicated value.

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-311:2001, 311-07-03, modified – renaming of the term from "variation (due to an influence quantity)" to "deviation"]

3.10**distribution function*****F(x)***

function giving, for every value x , the probability that the random variable X be less than or equal to x : $F(x) = \Pr(X \leq x)$

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008, C.2.4; ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008-SP1:2008, 3.2]

3.11**evaluator**

person performing the measurement and uncertainty analysis

3.12**expanded uncertainty*****U***

quantity defining an interval about the result of a measurement that may be expected to encompass a large fraction of the distribution of values that could reasonably be attributed to the measurand

Note 1 to entry: The expanded uncertainty is obtained by multiplying the (combined) standard uncertainty by a coverage factor.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008, 2.3.5]

3.13**indicated value*****G***

quantity value provided by a measuring instrument or a measuring system

Note 1 to entry: An indication is often given by the position of a pointer on the display for analogue outputs, a displayed or printed number for digital outputs, a code pattern for code outputs, or an assigned quantity value for material measures.

3.14**influence quantity**

quantity that is not the measurand but that effects the result of the measurement

Note 1 to entry: For example, temperature of a micrometer used to measure length.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008, B.2.10]

3.15**measured value** **M**

value determined from the indicated value, G , by applying the model function for the measurement

Note 1 to entry: An example of a model function is given below. The calibration factor N , a deviation D , and a correction factor K are applied:

$$M = N \times K \times (G - D)$$

The calculations according to this model function are not always performed. One main purpose of this model function of the measurement is, that it is necessary for any determination of the uncertainty according to ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008 (see ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008, 3.1.6, 3.4.1 and 4.1; see also 5.2 of this document).

Note 2 to entry: In ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008 the "measured value" is called "value of the measurand".

3.16**probability density function** **$f(x)$**

<for a continuous random variable> derivative (when it exists) of the distribution function:

$$f(x) = dF(x)/dx$$

Note 1 to entry: $f(x) \times dx$ is the "probability element": $f(x) \times dx = \Pr(x < X < x + dx)$; in general: $\Pr(a < X < b) = \int_a^b f(x) dx$.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008, C.2.5; ISO/IEC Guide 98-3-SP1:2008, 3.3, modified by adding "in general"]

3.17**reference conditions**

set of specified values and/or ranges of values of influence quantities under which the uncertainties, or limits of error, admissible for a measuring instrument are the smallest

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-311:2001, 311-06-02]

3.18**reference response** **R_{ref}**

response of the assembly under reference conditions to unit reference dose (rate) or activity which is expressed as:

$$R_{\text{ref}} = \frac{G}{M_c}$$

where G_{ref} is the indicated value of the equipment or assembly under test at reference conditions, G_0 is the zero reading (indicated value without additional radiation) and $M_{c,\text{ref}}$ is the conventional true value of the reference source, i.e., the conventional quantity value

3.19**relative response** **R_{rel}**

quotient of the response and the reference response under specified conditions

Note 1 to entry: For the specified reference conditions, the response is the reciprocal of the calibration factor.

3.20**response** **R**

quotient of the indicated value measured under specified conditions by the equipment or assembly under test, G , minus the indicated value without additional radiation, G_0 , and the conventional true value of this quantity, i.e., the conventional quantity value, M_c :

$$R = \frac{G - G_0}{M_c}$$

3.21**standard uncertainty**

standard deviation associated with the measurement result or an input quantity value

Note 1 to entry: See ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008, 2.3.4.

Note 2 to entry: The standard uncertainty of the measurement result is sometimes called "combined standard uncertainty".

Note 3 to entry: The quotient of the standard uncertainty and the measurement result is called "relative standard uncertainty" and sometimes given as percentage.

3.22**true quantity value****true value of a quantity****true value**

quantity value consistent with the definition of a quantity

Note 1 to entry: In the Error Approach to describing measurement, a true quantity value is considered unique and, in practice, unknowable. The Uncertainty Approach is to recognize that, owing to the inherently incomplete amount of detail in the definition of a quantity, there is not a single true quantity value but rather a set of true quantity values consistent with the definition. However, this set of values is, in principle and in practice, unknowable. Other approaches dispense altogether with the concept of true quantity value and rely on the concept of metrological compatibility of measurement results for assessing their validity.

Note 2 to entry: When the definitional uncertainty associated with the measurand is considered to be negligible compared to the other components of the measurement uncertainty, the measurand may be considered to have an "essentially unique" true quantity value. This is the approach taken by ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008 and associated documents, where the word "true" is considered to be redundant.

[SOURCE: ISO 11929-1:2019]

3.23**type test**

conformity test made on one or more items representative of the production

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-151:2001, 151-16-16]

3.24**uncertainty****uncertainty of measurement**

parameter, associated with the result of a measurement, that characterises the dispersion of the values that could reasonably be attributed to the measurand

Note 1 to entry: The parameter may be, for example, a standard deviation (or a given multiple of it), or the half-width of an interval having a stated level of confidence (coverage probability).

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008, 2.2.3]