
**Health informatics — Public key
infrastructure —**

**Part 3:
Policy management of certification
authority**

*Informatique de santé — Infrastructure de clé publique —
Partie 3: Gestion politique d'autorité de certification*

ITeH Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

[ISO 17090-3:2021](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/3faf94a0-92cb-4616-bf60-34b499882a0d/iso-17090-3-2021)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/3faf94a0-92cb-4616-bf60-34b499882a0d/iso-17090-3-2021>



iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

[ISO 17090-3:2021](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/3faf94a0-92cb-4616-bf60-34b499882a0d/iso-17090-3-2021)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/3faf94a0-92cb-4616-bf60-34b499882a0d/iso-17090-3-2021>



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2021

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

	Page
Foreword	v
Introduction	vi
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 Abbreviations	1
5 Requirements for digital certificate policy management in a healthcare context	2
5.1 General	2
5.2 Need for a high level of assurance	2
5.3 Need for a high level of infrastructure availability	2
5.4 Need for a high level of trust	2
5.5 Need for Internet compatibility	3
5.6 Need to facilitate evaluation and comparison of CPs	3
6 Structure of healthcare CPs and healthcare CPSs	3
6.1 General requirements for CPs	3
6.2 General requirements for CPSs	4
6.3 Relationship between a CP and a CPS	4
6.4 Applicability	4
7 Minimum requirements for a healthcare CP	5
7.1 General requirements	5
7.2 Publication and repository responsibilities	5
7.2.1 Repositories	5
7.2.2 Publication of certification information	5
7.2.3 Frequency of publication	5
7.2.4 Access controls on repositories	5
7.3 Identification and authentication	6
7.3.1 Initial registration	6
7.3.2 Initial identity validation	7
7.3.3 Identification and authentication for re-keying requests	8
7.3.4 Identification and authentication for revocation request	8
7.4 Certificate life-cycle operational requirements	9
7.4.1 Certificate application	9
7.4.2 Certificate application processing	10
7.4.3 Certificate issuance	10
7.4.4 Certificate acceptance	11
7.4.5 Key pair and certificate usage	11
7.4.6 Certificate renewal	12
7.4.7 Certificate re-key	13
7.4.8 Certificate modification	13
7.4.9 Certificate revocation and suspension	14
7.4.10 Certificate status services	17
7.4.11 End of subscription	18
7.4.12 Private key escrow	18
7.5 Physical controls	18
7.5.1 General	18
7.5.2 Physical controls	18
7.5.3 Procedural controls	18
7.5.4 Personnel controls	18
7.5.5 Security audit logging procedures	18
7.5.6 Record archive	18
7.5.7 Key changeover	19
7.5.8 Compromise and disaster recovery	19

7.5.9	CA termination	19
7.6	Technical security controls.....	19
7.6.1	Key pair generation and installation.....	19
7.6.2	Private key protection.....	20
7.6.3	Other aspects of key management.....	22
7.6.4	Activation data.....	23
7.6.5	Computer security controls.....	23
7.6.6	Life-cycle technical controls.....	23
7.6.7	Network security controls.....	23
7.6.8	Time stamping.....	24
7.7	Certificate, CRL and OCSP profiles.....	24
7.8	Compliance audit.....	24
7.8.1	General.....	24
7.8.2	Frequency of CA compliance audit.....	24
7.8.3	Identity/qualifications of auditor.....	24
7.8.4	Auditor's relationship to audited party.....	24
7.8.5	Topics covered by audit.....	24
7.8.6	Actions taken as a result of deficiency.....	25
7.8.7	Communication of audit results.....	26
7.9	Other business and legal matters.....	26
7.9.1	Fees.....	26
7.9.2	Financial responsibility.....	26
7.9.3	Confidentiality of business information.....	26
7.9.4	Privacy of personal information.....	26
7.9.5	Intellectual property rights.....	27
7.9.6	Representations and warranties.....	27
7.9.7	Disclaimers of warranties.....	29
7.9.8	Limitations of liability.....	29
7.9.9	Indemnities.....	30
7.9.10	Term and termination.....	30
7.9.11	Individual notices and communication with participants.....	30
7.9.12	Amendments.....	30
7.9.13	Dispute resolution procedures.....	30
7.9.14	Governing law.....	31
7.9.15	Compliance with applicable law.....	31
7.9.16	Miscellaneous provisions.....	31
8	Model PKI disclosure statement.....	31
8.1	Introduction.....	31
8.2	Structure of PKI disclosure statement.....	32
	Bibliography.....	33

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 215, *Health informatics*.

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition (ISO 17090-3:2008), of which it constitutes a minor revision. The changes compared to the previous edition are as follows:

— update to references;

[ISO 17090-3:2021](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/3faf94a0-92cb-4616-bf60-34b499882a0d/iso-17090-3-2021)

— editorial update.

A list of all parts in the ISO 17090 series can be found on the ISO website.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

Introduction

The healthcare industry is faced with the challenge of reducing costs by moving from paper-based processes to automated electronic processes. New models of healthcare delivery are emphasizing the need for patient information to be shared among a growing number of specialist healthcare providers and across traditional organizational boundaries.

Healthcare information concerning individual citizens is commonly interchanged by means of electronic mail, remote database access, electronic data interchange and other applications. The Internet provides a highly cost-effective and accessible means of interchanging information, but it is also an insecure vehicle that demands additional measures be taken to maintain the privacy and confidentiality of information. Threats to the security of health information through unauthorized access (either inadvertent or deliberate) are increasing. It is essential to have available to the healthcare system reliable information security services that minimize the risk of unauthorized access.

How does the healthcare industry provide appropriate protection for the data conveyed across the Internet in a practical, cost-effective way? Public key infrastructure (PKI) and digital certificate technology seek to address this challenge.

The proper deployment of digital certificates requires a blend of technology, policy and administrative processes that enable the exchange of sensitive data in an unsecured environment by the use of “public key cryptography” to protect information in transit and “certificates” to confirm the identity of a person or entity. In healthcare environments, this technology uses authentication, encipherment and digital signatures to facilitate confidential access to, and movement of, individual health records to meet both clinical and administrative needs. The services offered by the deployment of digital certificates (including encipherment, information integrity and digital signatures) are able to address many of these security issues. This is especially the case if digital certificates are used in conjunction with an accredited information security standard. Many individual organizations around the world have started to use digital certificates for this purpose.

Interoperability of digital certificate technology and supporting policies, procedures and practices is of fundamental importance if information is to be exchanged between organizations and between jurisdictions in support of healthcare applications (for example between a hospital and a community physician working with the same patient).

Achieving interoperability between different digital certificate implementations requires the establishment of a framework of trust, under which parties responsible for protecting an individual’s information rights may rely on the policies and practices and, by extension, the validity of digital certificates issued by other established authorities.

Many countries are deploying digital certificates to support secure communications within their national boundaries. Inconsistencies will arise in policies and procedures between the certification authorities (CAs) and the registration authorities (RAs) of different countries if standards development activity is restricted to within national boundaries.

Digital certificate technology is still evolving in certain aspects that are not specific to healthcare. Important standardization efforts and, in some cases, supporting legislation are ongoing. On the other hand, healthcare providers in many countries are already using or planning to use digital certificates. The ISO 17090 series seeks to address the need for guidance of these rapid international developments.

The ISO 17090 series describes the common technical, operational and policy requirements that need to be addressed to enable digital certificates to be used in protecting the exchange of healthcare information within a single domain, between domains and across jurisdictional boundaries. Its purpose is to create a platform for global interoperability. It specifically supports digital certificate-enabled communication across borders, but could also provide guidance for the national or regional deployment of digital certificates in healthcare. The Internet is increasingly used as the vehicle of choice to support the movement of healthcare data between healthcare organizations and is the only realistic choice for cross-border communication in this sector.