



**International
Standard**

ISO 17090-4

**Health informatics — Public key
infrastructure —**

**Part 4:
Digital signatures for healthcare
documents**

Informatique de la santé — Infrastructure clé publique —

*Partie 4: Signatures numériques pour les documents des soins
médicaux*

**Third edition
2026-01**

Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

ISO 17090-4:2026

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/682bc2e5-958e-42f0-ab2f-b92313361a90/iso-17090-4-2026>

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.itih.ai>)
Document Preview

ISO 17090-4:2026

<https://standards.itih.ai/catalog/standards/iso/682bc2e5-958e-42f0-ab2f-b92313361a90/iso-17090-4-2026>



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2026

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

Page

Foreword	iv
Introduction	v
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 Target of application	2
4.1 Target system	2
4.2 Generation process	3
4.3 Verification process	4
4.3.1 General	4
4.3.2 Verification of ES-BES	4
4.3.3 Verification of ES-T	5
4.3.4 Verification of ES-A	6
4.4 CAdES specification	9
4.4.1 General	9
4.4.2 Long term signature profile	9
4.4.3 Representation of the required level	10
4.4.4 CAdES-T profile	10
4.4.5 CAdES-A profile	12
4.5 XAdES specification	14
4.5.1 General	14
4.5.2 Defined long-term signature profiles	14
4.5.3 Representation of the required level	14
4.5.4 XAdES-T profile	15
4.5.5 XAdES-X-Long form	16
4.5.6 XAdES-A profile	18
4.6 PAdES specification	18
4.6.1 General	18
4.6.2 Defined long term signature profiles	18
4.6.3 Representation of the required level	19
4.6.4 PAdES-T profile	19
4.6.5 PAdES-A profile	22
Annex A (informative) JAdES specification	23
Annex B (informative) Use cases	28
Bibliography	31

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

ISO draws attention to the possibility that the implementation of this document may involve the use of (a) patent(s). ISO takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, ISO had not received notice of (a) patent(s) which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at www.iso.org/patents. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 215, *Health informatics*.

This third edition cancels and replaces the second edition (ISO 17090-4:2020), which has been technically revised.

The main changes are as follows:

— update of the reference standard and addition of JAdES definitions.

A list of all parts in the ISO 17090 series can be found on the ISO website.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

Introduction

The healthcare industry is faced with the challenge of reducing costs by moving from paper-based processes to automated electronic processes. New models of healthcare delivery are emphasizing the need for patient information to be shared among a growing number of specialist healthcare providers and across traditional organizational boundaries.

Healthcare information concerning individual citizens is commonly interchanged by means of electronic mail, remote database access, electronic data interchange, and other applications. The internet provides a highly cost-effective and accessible means of interchanging information, but it is also an insecure vehicle that demands additional measures be taken to maintain the privacy and confidentiality of information. Threats to the security of health information through unauthorized access (either inadvertent or deliberate) are increasing. It is essential that reliable information security services that minimize the risk of unauthorized access be available to the healthcare system.

How does the healthcare industry provide appropriate protection for the data conveyed across the internet in a practical, cost-effective way? Public key infrastructure (PKI) and digital certificate technology seek to address this challenge.

The proper deployment of digital certificates requires a blend of technology, policy, and administrative processes that enable the exchange of sensitive data in an unsecured environment by the use of public key cryptography to protect information in transit and certificates to confirm the identity of a person or entity. In healthcare environments, this technology uses authentication, encipherment and digital signatures to facilitate confidential access to, and movement of, individual health records to meet both clinical and administrative needs. The services offered by the deployment of digital certificates (including encipherment, information integrity and digital signatures) are able to address many of these security issues. This is especially the case if digital certificates are used in conjunction with an accredited information security standard. Many individual organizations around the world have started to use digital certificates for this purpose.

Interoperability of digital certificate technology and supporting policies, procedures, and practices is of fundamental importance if information is to be exchanged between organizations and between jurisdictions in support of healthcare applications (for example between a hospital and a community physician working with the same patient).

Achieving interoperability between different digital certificate implementations requires the establishment of a framework of trust, under which parties responsible for protecting an individual's information rights can rely on the policies and practices and, by extension, on the validity of digital certificates issued by other established authorities.

Many countries are deploying digital certificates to support secure communications within their national boundaries. Inconsistencies will arise in policies and procedures between the certification authorities (CAs) and the registration authorities (RAs) of different countries if standards development activity is restricted to within national boundaries.

Digital certificate technology is still evolving in certain aspects that are not specific to healthcare. Important standardization efforts and, in some cases, supporting legislation are ongoing. On the other hand, healthcare providers in many countries are already using or planning to use digital certificates. This document seeks to address the need for guidance to support these rapid international developments.

The internet is increasingly used as the vehicle of choice to support the movement of healthcare data between healthcare organizations and is the only realistic choice for cross-border communication in this sector.

The ISO 17090 series, contributes to defining how digital certificates can be used to provide security services in the healthcare industry, including authentication, confidentiality, data integrity, and the technical capacity to support the quality of digital signature.

This document is in line with ISO/ETSI standards for long-term signature formats to improve and guarantee interoperability in the healthcare field.