
**Public key infrastructure for financial
services — Practices and policy
framework**

*Infrastructure de clé publique pour services financiers — Pratique et
cadre politique*

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

ISO 21188:2018

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/d72c9aad-d768-45be-a631-7b66aa6e8b81/iso-21188-2018>



iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

ISO 21188:2018

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/d72c9aad-d768-45be-a631-7b66aa6e8b81/iso-21188-2018>



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2018

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Fax: +41 22 749 09 47
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

Page

Foreword	v
Introduction	vii
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	2
4 Abbreviated terms	8
5 Public key infrastructure (PKI)	9
5.1 General	9
5.2 What is PKI?	10
5.2.1 General	10
5.2.2 Public key infrastructure process flow	11
5.3 Business requirement impact on PKI environment	11
5.3.1 General	11
5.3.2 Illustration of certificate application in a closed environment	11
5.3.3 Illustration of certificate application in a contractual PKI environment	12
5.3.4 Illustration of certificate application in an open environment	13
5.4 Certification authority (CA)	14
5.5 Business perspectives	15
5.5.1 General	15
5.5.2 Business risks	16
5.5.3 Applicability	16
5.5.4 Legal issues	16
5.5.5 Regulatory issues	16
5.5.6 Business usage issues	16
5.5.7 Interoperability issues	16
5.5.8 Audit journal requirements	18
5.6 Certificate policy (CP)	18
5.6.1 General	18
5.6.2 Certificate policy usage	19
5.6.3 Certificate policies within a hierarchy of trust	19
5.6.4 Certificate status	20
5.7 Certification practice statement (CPS)	21
5.7.1 General	21
5.7.2 Authority	21
5.7.3 Purpose	21
5.7.4 Level of specificity	22
5.7.5 Approach	22
5.7.6 Audience and access	22
5.8 Agreements	22
5.9 Time-stamping	23
5.10 Trust models	24
5.10.1 Trust model considerations	24
5.10.2 Wildcard considerations	25
5.10.3 Relying party considerations	25
6 Certificate policy and certification practice statement requirements	26
6.1 Certificate policy (CP)	26
6.2 Certification practice statement (CPS)	28
7 Certification authority control procedures	28
7.1 General	28
7.2 CA environmental controls	29
7.2.1 Certification practice statement and certificate policy management	29
7.2.2 Security management	30

7.2.3	Asset classification and management.....	31
7.2.4	Personnel security.....	31
7.2.5	Physical and environmental security.....	33
7.2.6	Operations management.....	34
7.2.7	System access management.....	35
7.2.8	Systems development and maintenance.....	37
7.2.9	Business continuity management.....	37
7.2.10	Monitoring and compliance.....	38
7.2.11	Audit logging.....	39
7.3	CA key life cycle management controls.....	42
7.3.1	CA key generation.....	42
7.3.2	CA key storage, back-up and recovery.....	43
7.3.3	CA public key distribution.....	45
7.3.4	CA key usage.....	45
7.3.5	CA key archival and destruction.....	46
7.3.6	CA key compromise.....	46
7.4	Subject key life cycle management controls.....	47
7.4.1	CA-provided subject key generation services (if supported).....	47
7.4.2	CA-provided subject key storage and recovery services (if supported).....	48
7.4.3	Integrated circuit card (ICC) life cycle management (if supported).....	49
7.4.4	Requirements for subject key management.....	50
7.5	Certificate life cycle management controls.....	51
7.5.1	Subject registration.....	51
7.5.2	Certificate renewal (if supported).....	53
7.5.3	Certificate rekey.....	54
7.5.4	Certificate issuance.....	54
7.5.5	Certificate distribution.....	55
7.5.6	Certificate revocation.....	55
7.5.7	Certificate suspension (if supported).....	56
7.5.8	Certificate validation services.....	57
7.6	Controlled CA termination.....	58
7.7	CA certificate life cycle management controls – subordinate CA certificate.....	59
Annex A (informative) Management by certificate policy.....		61
Annex B (informative) Elements of a certification practice statement.....		70
Annex C (informative) Object identifiers (OID).....		85
Annex D (informative) CA key generation ceremony.....		87
Annex E (informative) Mapping of RFC 2527 to RFC 3647.....		91
Annex F (normative) Certification authority audit journal contents and use.....		92
Annex G (informative) Alternative trust models.....		95
Bibliography.....		107

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 68, *Financial services*, Subcommittee SC 2, *Security*.

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition, ISO 21188:2006, which has been technically revised, and incorporates ISO 15782-1:2009 and ISO 15782-2:2001.

The main changes to the previous edition are:

- [Clause 2](#), ISO/IEC 7811 removed as it is a standard for magnetic stripes;
- [3.21](#), 'hold' removed from definition of 'certification authority';
- [7.3.6](#) and [D.4](#), references to ISO 15782-1, Annex J removed;
- [7.4.1](#), "be performed by authorized personnel" changed to "be performed in a process initiated by authorized personnel";
- all instances of 'shall', 'should' and 'may' checked and updated if necessary;
- paragraph added to [5.4](#):
 'Two or more CAs can join a common scheme for mutual recognition, e.g. implemented by a trust list. Certificates issued by one CA can then be validated by relying parties who are customers of another CA belonging to the scheme.';
- control added to [7.2.2](#):
 'Responsible management of the CA should be able to demonstrate that the information security policy is implemented and adhered to.';
- proposal added to [7.2.2](#):
 'Procedures should exist to carry out a risk assessment to identify, analyse and evaluate trust service risks, taking into account business and technical issues. The results of the risk assessment

shall be communicated to a management group or committee responsible to information security and risk management.’;

- general editorial changes.

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

[ISO 21188:2018](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/d72c9aad-d768-45be-a631-7b66aa6e8b81/iso-21188-2018)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/d72c9aad-d768-45be-a631-7b66aa6e8b81/iso-21188-2018>

Introduction

Institutions and intermediaries are building infrastructures to provide new electronic financial transaction capabilities for consumers, corporations and government entities. As the volume of electronic financial transactions continues to grow, advanced security technology using digital signatures and trust services can become part of the financial transaction process. Financial transaction systems incorporating advanced security technology have requirements to ensure the privacy, authenticity and integrity of financial transactions conducted over communications networks.

The financial services industry relies on several time-honoured methods of electronically identifying, authorizing and authenticating entities and protecting financial transactions. These methods include, but are not limited to, personal identification numbers (PINs) and message authentication codes (MACs) for retail and wholesale financial transactions, user IDs and passwords for network and computer access, and key management for network connectivity. Over the past 30 years the financial services industry has developed risk management processes and policies to support the use of these technologies in financial applications.

The ubiquitous use of online services in public networks by the financial industry and the needs of the industry in general to provide safe, private and reliable financial transaction and computing systems have given rise to advanced security technology incorporating public key cryptography. Public key cryptography requires a business-optimized infrastructure of technology, management and policy (a public key infrastructure or PKI, as defined in this document) to satisfy requirements of electronic identification, authentication, message integrity protection and authorization in financial application systems. The use of standard practices for electronic identification, authentication and authorization in a PKI ensures more consistent and predictable security in these systems and confidence in electronic communications. Confidence (e.g. trust) can be achieved when compliance to standard practices can be ascertained.

Applications serving the financial services industry can be developed with digital signature and PKI capabilities. The safety and the soundness of these applications are based, in part, on implementations and practices designed to ensure the overall integrity of the infrastructure. Users of authority-based systems that electronically bind the identity of individuals and other entities to cryptographic materials (e.g. cryptographic keys) benefit from standard risk management systems and the base of auditable practices defined in this document.

Members of ISO/TC 68 have made a commitment to public key technology by developing technical standards and guidelines for digital signatures, key management, certificate management and data encryption. This document provides a framework for managing a PKI through certificate policies, certification practice statements, control objectives and supporting procedures. For implementers of this document, the degree to which any entity in a financial transaction can rely on the implementation of public key infrastructure standards and the extent of interoperability between PKI-based systems using this document will depend partly on factors relative to policy and practices defined in this document.