International Standard

ISO 26203-1

Metallic materials — Tensile testing at high strain rates —

Part 1:
Elastic-bar-type systems

Matériaux métalliques — Essai de traction à vitesses de déformation élevées —

Partie 1: Systèmes de type à barre élastique

Third edition
2025-12

iTeh Standards
(https://standards.iteh.ai)
Document Preview

ISO 26203-1:2025
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/128c0652-d8eb-4d58-bc6f-637fd47bea27/iso-26203-1-2025

Contents

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

ISO draws attention to the possibility that the implementation of this document may involve the use of (a) patent(s). ISO takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, ISO had not received notice of (a) patent(s) which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at www.iso.org/patents. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 164, *Mechanical testing of metals*, Subcommittee SC 1, *Uniaxial testing,* in collaboration with the European Committee for Standardization (CEN) Technical Committee CEN/TC 459/SC 1, *Test methods for steel (other than chemical analysis),* in accordance with the Agreement on technical cooperation between ISO and CEN (Vienna Agreement).

This third edition cancels and replaces the second edition (ISO 26203-1:2018), which has been technically revised.

The main changes are as follows:

— modification of note in subclause 7.1;

— note in A.6 changed to be part of main body.

A list of all parts in the ISO 26203 series can be found on the ISO website.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

Introduction

Tensile testing of metallic sheet materials at high strain rates is important in order to achieve a reliable analysis of vehicle crashworthiness. The strain-rate range between 10^{-3} and 10^3 s^{-1} is considered to be the most relevant to vehicle crash events based on experimental and numerical calculations such as the finite element analysis (FEA) work for crashworthiness. In order to evaluate the crashworthiness of a vehicle with accuracy, reliable stress-strain characterization of metallic materials at strain rates higher than 10^{-3} s^{-1} is typically used. During a crash event, the maximum strain rate often reaches 10^3 s^{-1}, at which the strength of the material can be significantly higher than that under quasi-static loading conditions. Thus, the reliability of crash simulation depends on the accuracy of the input data specifying the strain-rate sensitivity of the materials.

Although there are several methods for high-strain rate testing, there are three significant problems to be solved.

The first problem is the noise in the force measurement signal.

— The test force is generally detected at a measurement point on the force measurement device that is located some distance away from the test piece.

— Furthermore, the elastic wave which has already passed the measurement point returns there by reflection at the end of the force measurement device. If the testing time is comparable to the time for wave propagation through the force measurement device, the stress-strain curve often has large oscillations as a result of the superposition of the direct and indirect waves. In quasi-static testing, contrarily, the testing time is sufficiently long to have multiple round-trips of the elastic wave. Thus, the force reaches a saturated state and equilibrates at any point of the force measurement device.

There are two different solutions for this problem.

— The first solution is to use a short force measurement device which will reach the saturated state quickly. This approach is often adopted in the servo-hydraulic type system.

— The second solution is to use a very long force measurement device which allows the completion of a test before the reflected wave returns to the measurement point. The elastic-bar-type system is based on the latter approach.

The second problem is the need for rapid and accurate measurements of displacement or test piece elongation.

— Conventional extensometers are unsuitable because of their large inertia. Non-contact type methods such as optical and laser devices should be adopted. It is also acceptable to measure displacements using the theory of elastic wave propagation in a suitably-designed apparatus, examples of which are discussed in this document.

— The displacement of the bar end is simply calculated from the same data as force measurement, i.e. the strain history at a known position on the bar. Thus, no assessment of machine stiffness is required in the elastic-bar-type system.

The last problem is the inhomogeneous section force distributed along the test piece.

— In quasi-static testing, a test piece with a long parallel section and large fillets is recommended to achieve a homogeneous uniaxial-stress state in the gauge section.

— In order to achieve a valid test with force equilibrium during the dynamic test, the test piece is designed differently from the typically designed quasi-static test piece. Dynamic test pieces are intended to be generally smaller in the dimension parallel to the loading axis than the test pieces typically used for quasi-static testing.

The elastic-bar-type system thus provides solutions for dynamic testing problems and is widely used to obtain accurate stress-strain curves at around 10^3 s^{-1}. The International Iron and Steel Institute developed the "Recommendations for Dynamic Tensile Testing of Sheet Steel" [1] based on the interlaboratory test