
**Information technology — Biometric data
interchange formats —**

Part 8:

Finger pattern skeletal data

**AMENDMENT 1: Conformance testing
methodology**

Sample Document

*Technologies de l'information — Formats d'échange de données
biométriques —*

get full document <https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/ea96d39a-f6af-4b88-a4e1-896c2e0b6e03/iso-iec-19794-8-2011-amd-1-2014>

Partie 8: Données des structures du squelette de l'empreinte

AMENDEMENT 1: Méthodologie d'essai de conformité

Sample Document

get full document from standards.iteh.ai



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO/IEC 2014

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11
Fax + 41 22 749 09 47
E-mail copyright@iso.org
Web www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work. In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of the joint technical committee is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the joint technical committee are circulated to national bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the national bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Amendment 1 to ISO/IEC 19794-8:2011 was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC 37, *Biometrics*.

This corrected version of ISO/IEC 19794-8:2011/Amd.1:2014 corrects the Amendment number in the top right corner on the cover page.

Sample Document

get full document from standards.iteh.ai

Information technology — Biometric data interchange formats —

Part 8: Finger pattern skeletal data

AMENDMENT 1: Conformance testing methodology

1. The following text is to be added to the "Introduction" clause of ISO/IEC 19794-8:

Annex A to document addresses the conformance testing to be used for interchange format defined in this part of ISO/IEC 19794. This Annex A is distinct from the ISO/IEC 29109-8, which addressed conformance testing only of the first, 2007, edition of the ISO/IEC 19794-8 standard.

2. The following text is to be added to the "Scope" clause of ISO/IEC 19794-8:

This part of ISO/IEC 19794 also specifies elements of conformance testing methodology, test assertions, and test procedures as applicable to this part of ISO/IEC 19794. Specifically, it establishes

- test assertions of the structure of the finger pattern skeletal data format as specified in Clauses 7 and 8 of this part of ISO/IEC 19794 (Type A Level 1 as defined in ISO/IEC 19794-1:2011 AMD 1),
- test assertions of internal consistency by checking the types of values that may be contained within each field (Type A Level 2 as defined in ISO/IEC 19794-1:2011 AMD 1),
- tests of semantic assertions (Type A Level 3 as defined in ISO/IEC 19794-1:2011 AMD 1).

The conformance testing methodology specified in this part of ISO/IEC 19794 does not establish

- tests of other characteristics of biometric products or other types of testing of biometric products (e.g. acceptance, performance, robustness, security),
- tests of conformance of systems that do not produce data records conforming to the requirements of this part of ISO/IEC 19794.

3. The following text is to be added to the "Conformance" clause of ISO/IEC 19794-8:

Biometric data interchange format conformance tests conform to this part of ISO/IEC 19794 if they satisfy all of the normative requirements set forth in clauses 6, 7, and 8. Specifically, they shall use the test methodology specified in ISO/IEC 19794-1:2011 AMD 1, and all Level 1, Level 2 and Level 3 tests shall use the assertions defined in Table A.1 of Clause A.2 in this part of ISO/IEC 19794.

Implementations of this part of ISO/IEC 19794 tested according to the specified methodology shall be able to claim conformance only to those biometric data record (BDB) requirements specified in this part of ISO/IEC 19794 that are tested by the test methods established by this methodology.

In consideration of the semantic specifics in different parts of 19794, all level 1, level 2, and level 3 tests shall use the assertions defined in Table A.2 of clause A.3 of this part of 19794 in conformity with the concept and rules set in 19794-1 Annex A.

4. Replace 3rd paragraph of Clause 6.2.3 "Constructing direction elements" with the following:

In order to minimize integration of digitalisation error, each starting point must be computed with relatively high accuracy, i.e. its resolution shall be at least 100 times finer than the spatial resolution of the minutiae.

5. Replace the normative annex in ISO/IEC 19794-8:2011 with the following one:

Sample Document

get full document from standards.iteh.ai

Annex A (normative)

Conformance Testing Methodology

A.1 Introduction

The testing methodology specified in ISO/IEC 19794-1:2011 AMD 1 shall apply. The content of the tables below is based on the conformance testing methodology outlined in ISO/IEC 19794-1:2011 AMD 1 and shall only be used in the context of that testing methodology.

A.2 Table of requirements in the base standard

The normative requirements of this part of ISO/IEC 19794 are listed in Table A.1. The supplier of the IUT should explain which optional components of the standard are supported and the testing laboratory should note the results of the test.

Table A.1 — Requirements of the Base Standard

Requirement Identifier	Reference in Base Standard	Requirement Summary	Level	Status	Sub-format / Format Type Applicability			IUT Support	Supported Range	Test Result
					R	N	C			
R-1	6.1.1	Minutiae are points located at the places in the fingerprint image where friction ridges end or split into two ridges. Each minutia point has a "type" associated with it. There are two major types of minutia: a "ridge ending" represented by the 2-bit value 01 and a "ridge bifurcation" or split point represented by 2-bit value 10.	1	M	Y	Y	Y		N/A	
R-2	6.1.2	The coordinate system used to express the position of the minutiae points of a fingerprint shall be a Cartesian coordinate system. For the skeletal pattern card format, the resolution of the x and y coordinates of the minutia shall be in metric units. The position of the minutia for a ridge ending shall be defined as the coordinates of the skeleton point with only one neighbour pixel belonging to the skeleton. The position of the minutia for a ridge bifurcation shall be defined as the point of forking of the skeleton of the ridge. The position of a virtual ending shall be defined like the position of a real ridge ending.	3C	O-1	Y	Y	Y		N/A	N/A

Requirement Identifier	Reference in Base Standard	Requirement Summary	Level	Status	Sub-format / Format Type Applicability			IUT Support	Supported Range	Test Result
					R	N	C			
R-3	6.1.3	The direction of the lines starting or ending at a point with more than three arms (trifurcation, etc.) shall be defined like the direction of a real ridge ending. The direction of a virtual ending shall be defined like the direction of a real ridge ending.	3C	O-1	Y	Y	Y		N/A	N/A
R-4	6.2.2	To keep the encoding size small a line shall start with a real minutia (type 01 or 10) if possible. No assumption shall be made about the order of the line encodings in the record. The skeleton shall be encoded only for image areas where the ridge lines are displayed with a sufficient quality. The reconstructed ridge lines shall describe the fingerprint image in ridge position and structure. The reconstructed skeleton line polygon element shall be inside the area of the ridge it is describing for most part of its length. The reconstructed skeleton line shall never be inside the area of any other ridge but the one it is describing. The reconstructed skeleton line shall preserve the topology of the ridges.	3C	O-1	Y	Y	Y		N/A	N/A
R-5	6.2.3	In order to minimize integration of digitalisation error, each starting point must be computed with relatively high accuracy, i.e. its resolution shall be at least 100 times finer than the spatial resolution of the minutiae.	3C	O-1	Y	Y	Y		N/A	N/A
R-6	6.2.3	If the direction change of the skeleton line cannot be described by a direction element, the line encoding shall be interrupted by a "virtual continuation" and a new line encoding shall begin with the same point without repeating the minutia data.	3C	O-1	Y	Y	Y		N/A	N/A
R-7	6.3.2	It is not useful to list a neighbourhood relation twice, any of the other indices shall be different i.e. $A_{i-1} > A_i$.	3A	M	Y	Y	Y			

Requirement Identifier	Reference in Base Standard	Requirement Summary	Level	Status	Sub-format / Format Type Applicability			IUT Support	Supported Range	Test Result
					R	N	C			
R-8	7.3, Table 1	There shall be one and only one record header for the finger pattern skeletal data record. The record header will contain information describing the identity and characteristics of the device that generated the data.	3A	M	Y	N	N			
R-9	7.3.1, Table 1	The finger pattern skeletal data record shall begin with a format identifier to be recorded in four bytes. For this part of ISO/IEC 19794, it shall consist of the three ASCII characters "FSK", followed by a zero byte as a NULL string terminator.	1	M	Y	N	N			
R-10	7.3.2, Table 1	The version number for the version of this part of ISO/IEC 19794 used in constructing the record shall be placed in four bytes.	1	M	Y	N	N			
R-11	7.3.2, Table 1	This version number shall consist of three ASCII numerals followed by a zero byte as a NULL string terminator. The first and second character will represent the major revision number and the third character will represent the minor revision number. Upon approval of this specification, the version number shall be "020" (an ASCII '0' followed by an ASCII '2' and an ASCII '0').	1	M	Y	N	N			
R-12	7.3.3, Table 1	The length of the entire BDIR shall be recorded in four bytes.	1	M	Y	N	N			
R-13	7.3.3, Table 1	This count shall be the total length of the BDIR including the general record header and one or more representation records.	1	M	Y	N	N			
R-14	7.3.4	The total number of representation records contained in the BDIR shall be recorded in two bytes. A minimum of one representation is required.	1	M	Y	N	N			
R-15	7.3.5	The one-byte certification flag shall indicate whether each Representation Header includes a certification block. A value of 00HEX shall indicate that no representation contains a certification block. A value of 01HEX shall indicate that all representations contain a certification block.	1	M	Y	N	N			