
**Information technology — EPC
Information services — Specification**

*Technologies de l'information — Services d'information sur les codes
de produit électronique — Spécification*

Sample Document

get full document from standards.iteh.ai

Sample Document

get full document from standards.iteh.ai



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO/IEC 2015, Published in Switzerland

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Ch. de Blandonnet 8 • CP 401
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva, Switzerland
Tel. +41 22 749 01 11
Fax +41 22 749 09 47
copyright@iso.org
www.iso.org

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work. In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the WTO principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see the following URL: [Foreword — Supplementary information](#).

The committee responsible for this document is ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*.

Sample Document

get full document from standards.iteh.ai



Sample Document

EPC Information Services (EPCIS) Version 1.1 Specification

get full document standards.iteh.ai

Version 1.1, May 2014





© 2007–2014 GS1 AISBL

All rights reserved.

GS1 Global Office

Avenue Louise 326, bte 10

B-1050 Brussels, Belgium

Disclaimer

GS1 AISBL (GS1) is providing this document as a free service to interested industries.

This document was developed through a consensus process of interested parties in developing the Standard. Although efforts have been made to assure that the document is correct, reliable, and technically accurate, GS1 makes NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, THAT THIS DOCUMENT IS CORRECT, WILL NOT REQUIRE MODIFICATION AS EXPERIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY DICTATE, OR WILL BE SUITABLE FOR ANY PURPOSE OR WORKABLE IN ANY APPLICATION, OR OTHERWISE. Use of this document is with the understanding that GS1 DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY IMPLIED WARRANTY OF NON-INFRINGEMENT OF PATENTS OR COPYRIGHTS, MERCHANTABILITY AND/OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, THAT THE INFORMATION IS ERROR FREE, NOR SHALL GS1 BE LIABLE FOR DAMAGES OF ANY KIND, INCLUDING DIRECT, INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL, CONSEQUENTIAL OR EXEMPLARY DAMAGES, ARISING OUT OF USE OR THE INABILITY TO USE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN OR FROM ERRORS CONTAINED HEREIN.

Abstract

This document is a GS1 Standard that defines Version 1.1 of EPC Information Services (EPCIS). The goal of EPCIS is to enable disparate applications to create and share visibility event data, both within and across enterprises. Ultimately, this sharing is aimed at enabling users to gain a shared view of physical or digital objects within a relevant business context.

Status of this document

This section describes the status of this document at the time of its publication. Other documents may supersede this document. The latest status of this document series is maintained at GS1. See www.gs1.org/gsmf for more information.

This version of the GS1 EPCIS 1.1 Standard is the ratified version and has completed all GSMP steps.

Comments on this document should be sent to gsmf@gs1.org.

Differences from EPCIS 1.0.1

EPCIS 1.1 is fully backward compatible with EPCIS 1.0.1.

EPCIS 1.1 includes these new or enhanced features:

- Support for class-level identification is added to `ObjectEvent`, `AggregationEvent`, and `TransformationEvent` through the addition of quantity lists.
- A new event type, `TransformationEvent`, provides for the description of events in which inputs are consumed and outputs are produced.
- The “why” dimension of all event types are enhanced so that information about the sources and destinations of business transfers may be included.
- The “why” dimension of certain event types are enhanced so that item/lot master data may be included.
- The `SimpleEventQuery` is enhanced to encompass the above changes to event types.
- The introductory material is revised to align with the GS1 System Architecture.
- The XML extension mechanism is explained more fully.
- The `QuantityEvent` is deprecated, as its functionality is fully subsumed by `ObjectEvent` with the addition of quantity lists.



Table of Contents

31			
32	1	Introduction.....	6
33	2	Relationship to the GS1 System Architecture	7
34	2.1	Overview of GS1 Standards	7
35	2.2	EPCIS in Relation to the “Capture” and “Share” Layers	8
36	2.3	EPCIS in Relation to Trading Partners	10
37	2.4	EPCIS in Relation to other GS1 System Architecture Components.....	12
38	3	EPCIS Specification Principles	15
39	4	Terminology and Typographical Conventions.....	16
40	5	EPCIS Specification Framework	16
41	5.1	Layers	16
42	5.2	Extensibility	18
43	5.3	Modularity.....	18
44	6	Abstract Data Model Layer	19
45	6.1	Event Data and Master Data.....	19
46	6.2	Vocabulary Kinds	22
47	6.3	Extension Mechanisms	23
48	6.4	Identifier Representation	25
49	6.5	Hierarchical Vocabularies	26
50	7	Data Definition Layer	26
51	7.1	General Rules for Specifying Data Definition Layer Modules.....	27
52	7.1.1	Content.....	27
53	7.1.2	Notation	28
54	7.1.3	Semantics	29
55	7.2	Core Event Types Module – Overview	29
56	7.3	Core Event Types Module – Building Blocks.....	33
57	7.3.1	Primitive Types.....	33
58	7.3.2	Action Type	34
59	7.3.3	The “What” Dimension	34
60	7.3.4	The “Where” Dimension – Read Point and Business Location	40
61	7.3.5	The “Why” Dimension	44
62	7.3.6	Instance/Lot Master Data (ILMD)	47
63	7.4	Core Event Types Module – Events	48
64	7.4.1	EPCISEvent	48
65	7.4.2	ObjectEvent (subclass of EPCISEvent)	50
66	7.4.3	AggregationEvent (subclass of EPCISEvent)	54
67	7.4.4	QuantityEvent (subclass of EPCISEvent) – DEPRECATED	60

68	7.4.5	TransactionEvent (subclass of EPCISEvent)	61
69	7.4.6	TransformationEvent (subclass of EPCISEvent)	67
70	8	Service Layer	71
71	8.1	Core Capture Operations Module	73
72	8.1.1	Authentication and Authorization	73
73	8.1.2	Capture Service	73
74	8.2	Core Query Operations Module	75
75	8.2.1	Authentication	75
76	8.2.2	Authorization	75
77	8.2.3	Queries for Large Amounts of Data	76
78	8.2.4	Overly Complex Queries	76
79	8.2.5	Query Framework (EPCIS Query Control Interface)	77
80	8.2.6	Error Conditions	87
81	8.2.7	Predefined Queries for EPCIS	90
82	8.2.8	Query Callback Interface	109
83	9	XML Bindings for Data Definition Modules	109
84	9.1	Extensibility Mechanism	109
85	9.2	Standard Business Document Header	112
86	9.3	EPCglobal Base Schema	114
87	9.4	Additional Information in Location Fields	114
88	9.5	Schema for Core Event Types	115
89	9.6	Core Event Types – Examples (non-normative)	123
90	9.6.1	Example 1 – Object Events with Instance-Level Identification	123
91	9.6.2	Example 2 – Object Event with Class-Level Identification	124
92	9.6.3	Example 3 – Aggregation Event with Mixed Identification	125
93	9.6.4	Example 4 – Transformation Event	126
94	9.7	Schema for Master Data	127
95	9.8	Master Data – Example (non-normative)	130
96	10	Bindings for Core Capture Operations Module	131
97	10.1	Message Queue Binding	131
98	10.2	HTTP Binding	132
99	11	Bindings for Core Query Operations Module	133
100	11.1	XML Schema for Core Query Operations Module	133
101	11.2	SOAP/HTTP Binding for the Query Control Interface	141
102	11.3	AS2 Binding for the Query Control Interface	148
103	11.4	Bindings for Query Callback Interface	153
104	11.4.1	General Considerations for all XML-based Bindings	153
105	11.4.2	HTTP Binding of the Query Callback Interface	154
106	11.4.3	HTTPS Binding of the Query Callback Interface	154
107	11.4.4	AS2 Binding of the Query Callback Interface	155
108	12	References	156
109	1		

Introduction

This document is a GS1 Standard that defines Version 1.1 of EPC Information Services (EPCIS). The goal of EPCIS is to enable disparate applications to create and share visibility event data, both within and across enterprises. Ultimately, this sharing is aimed at enabling users to gain a shared view of physical or digital objects within a relevant business context.

“Objects” in the context of EPCIS typically refers to physical objects that are identified either at a class or instance level and which are handled in physical handling steps of an overall business process involving one or more organizations. Examples of such physical objects include trade items (products), logistic units, returnable assets, fixed assets, physical documents, etc. “Objects” may also refer to digital objects, also identified at either a class or instance level, which participate in comparable business process steps. Examples of such digital objects include digital trade items (music downloads, electronic books, etc.), digital documents (electronic coupons, etc), and so forth. Throughout this document the word “object” is used to denote a physical or digital object, identified at a class or instance level, that is the subject of a business process step. EPCIS data consist of “visibility events,” each of which is the record of the completion of a specific business process step acting upon one or more objects.

The EPCIS standard was originally conceived as part of a broader effort to enhance collaboration between trading partners by sharing of detailed information about physical or digital objects. The name EPCIS reflects the origins of this effort in the development of the Electronic Product Code (EPC). It should be noted, however, that EPCIS does not require the use of Electronic Product Codes, nor of Radio-Frequency Identification (RFID) data carriers, and as of EPCIS 1.1 does not even require instance-level identification (for which the Electronic Product Code was originally designed). The EPCIS standard applies to all situations in which visibility event data is to be captured and shared, and the presence of “EPC” within the name is of historical significance only.

EPCIS provides open, standardised interfaces that allow for seamless integration of well-defined services in inter-company environments as well as within companies. Standard interfaces are defined in the EPCIS standard to enable visibility event data to be captured and queried using a defined set of service operations and associated data standards, all combined with appropriate security mechanisms that satisfy the needs of user companies. In many or most cases, this will involve the use of one or more persistent databases of visibility event data, though elements of the Services approach could be used for direct application-to-application sharing without persistent databases.

With or without persistent databases, the EPCIS specification specifies only a standard data sharing interface between applications that capture visibility event data and those that need access to it. *It does not specify how the service operations or databases themselves should be implemented.* This includes not defining how the EPCIS services should acquire and/or compute the data they need, except to the extent the data is captured using the standard EPCIS capture operations. The interfaces are needed for interoperability, while the implementations allow for competition among those providing the technology and implementing the standard.

EPCIS is intended to be used in conjunction with the GS1 Core Business Vocabulary (CBV) standard [CBV1.1]. The CBV standard provides definitions of data values that may be used to populate the data structures defined in the EPCIS standard. The use of the standardized vocabulary provided by the CBV standard is critical to interoperability and critical to provide for

querying of data by reducing the variation in how different businesses express common intent. Therefore, applications should use the CBV standard to the greatest extent possible in constructing EPCIS data.

2 Relationship to the GS1 System Architecture

This section is largely quoted from [EPCAF] and [GS1Arch], and shows the relationship of EPCIS to other GS1 Standards.

2.1 Overview of GS1 Standards

GS1 Standards support the information needs of end users interacting with each other in supply chains, specifically the information required to support the business processes through which supply chain participants interact. The subjects of such information are the real-world entities that are part of those business processes. Real-world entities include things traded between companies, such as products, parts, raw materials, packaging, and so on. Other real-world entities of relevance to trading partners include the equipment and material needed to carry out the business processes surrounding trade such as containers, transport, machinery; entities corresponding to physical locations in which the business processes are carried out; legal entities such as companies, divisions; service relationships; business transactions and documents; and others. Real-world entities may exist in the tangible world, or may be digital or conceptual. Examples of physical objects include a consumer electronics product, a transport container, and a manufacturing site (location entity). Examples of digital objects include an electronic music download, an eBook, and an electronic coupon. Examples of conceptual entities include a trade item class, a product category, and a legal entity.

GS1 Standards may be divided into the following groups according to their role in supporting information needs related to real-world entities in supply chain business processes:

- Standards which provide the means to **Identify** real-world entities so that they may be the subject of electronic information that is stored and/or communicated by end users. GS1 identification standards include standards that define unique identification codes (called GS1 Identification Keys).
- Standards which provide the means to automatically **Capture** data that is carried directly on physical objects, bridging the world of physical things and the world of electronic information. GS1 data capture standards include definitions of bar code and radio-frequency identification (RFID) data carriers which allow identifiers to be affixed directly to a physical object, and standards that specify consistent interfaces to readers, printers, and other hardware and software components that connect the data carriers to business applications.
- Standards which provide the means to **Share** information, both between trading partners and internally, providing the foundation for electronic business transactions, electronic visibility of the physical or digital world, and other information applications. GS1 standards for information sharing include this EPCIS Standard which is a standard for visibility event data. Other standards in the “Share” group are standards for master data and for business transaction data, as well as discovery standards that help locate where relevant data resides across a supply chain and trust standards that help establish the conditions for sharing data with adequate security.




195 The EPCIS Standard fits into the “Share” group, providing the data standard for visibility event
196 data and the interface standards for capturing such information from data capture infrastructure
197 (which employs standards from the “Capture” group) and for sharing such information with
198 business applications and with trading partners.

199 2.2 EPCIS in Relation to the “Capture” and “Share” Layers

200 The following diagram shows the relationship between EPCIS and other GS1 Standards in the
201 “Capture” and “Share” groups. (The “Identify” group of standards pervades the data at all levels
202 of this architecture, and so is not explicitly shown.)

Sample Document

get full document from standards.iteh.ai



As depicted in the diagram above, the EPCIS Capture Interface exists as a bridge between the “Capture” and “Share” standards. The EPCIS Query Interface provides visibility event data both to internal applications and for sharing with trading partners.

At the centre of a data capture application is the data capture workflow that supervises the business process step within which data capture takes place. This is typically custom logic that is specific to the application. Beneath the data capture workflow in the diagram is the data path between the workflow and GS1 data carriers: bar codes and RFID. The green bars in the diagram denote GS1 Standards that may be used as interfaces to the data carriers. At the top of the

diagram are the interfaces between the data capture workflow and larger-scale enterprise applications. Many of these interfaces are application- or enterprise-specific, though using GS1 data as building blocks; however, the EPCIS interface is a GS1 Standard. Note that the interfaces at the top of the diagram, including EPCIS, are independent of the data carrier used at the bottom of the diagram.

The purpose of the interfaces and the reason for a multi-layer data capture architecture is to provide isolation between different levels of abstraction. Viewed from the perspective of an enterprise application (i.e., from the uppermost blue box in the figure), the entire data capture application shields the enterprise application from the details of exactly how data capture takes place. Through the application-level interfaces (uppermost green bars), an enterprise application interacts with the data capture workflow through data that is data carrier independent and in which all of the interaction between data capture components has been consolidated into that data. At a lower level, the data capture workflow is cognizant of whether it is interacting with bar code scanners, RFID interrogators, human input, etc, but the transfer interfaces (green bars in the middle) shield the data capture workflow from low-level hardware details of exactly how the data carriers work. The lowest level interfaces (green bars on the bottom) embody those internal data carrier details.

EPCIS and the “Share” layer in general differ from elements in the Capture layer in three key respects:

1. EPCIS deals explicitly with historical data (in addition to current data). The Capture layer, in contrast, is oriented exclusively towards real-time processing of captured data.
2. EPCIS often deals not just with raw data captured from data carriers such as bar codes and RFID tags, but also in contexts that imbue those observations with meaning relative to the physical or digital world and to specific steps in operational or analytical business processes. The Capture layers are more purely observational in nature. An EPCIS event, while containing much of the same “Identify” data as a Filtering & Collection event or a bar code scan, is at a semantically higher level because it incorporates an understanding of the business context in which the identifier data were obtained. Moreover, there is no requirement that an EPCIS event be directly related to a specific physical data carrier observation. For example, an EPCIS event may indicate that a perishable trade item has just crossed its expiration date; such an event may be generated purely by software.
3. EPCIS operates within enterprise IT environments at a level that is much more diverse and multi-purpose than exists at the Capture layer, where typically systems are self-contained and exist to serve a single business purpose. In part, and most importantly, this is due to the desire to share EPCIS data between enterprises which are likely to have different solutions deployed to perform similar tasks. In part, it is also due to the persistent nature of EPCIS data. And lastly, it is due to EPCIS being at the highest level of the overall architecture, and hence the natural point of entry into other enterprise systems, which vary widely from one enterprise to the next (or even within parts of the same enterprise).

2.3 EPCIS in Relation to Trading Partners

GS1 Standards in the “Share” layer pertain to three categories of data that are shared between end users:

Data	Description	GS1 Standards
Master Data	Data, shared by one trading partner to many trading partners, that provide descriptive attributes of real-world entities identified by GS1 Identification Keys, including trade items, parties, and physical locations.	GDSN
Transaction Data	Trade transactions triggering or confirming the execution of a function within a business process as defined by an explicit business agreement (e.g., a supply contract) or an implicit one (e.g., customs processing), from the start of the business process (e.g., ordering the product) to the end of it (e.g., final settlement), also making use of GS1 Identification Keys.	GS1 eCOM XML EANCOM
Visibility Event Data	Details about physical or digital activity in the supply chain of products and other assets, identified by keys, detailing where these objects are in time, and why; not just within one organization's four walls, but across organizations.	EPCIS

Transaction Data and Visibility Event Data have the characteristic that new documents of those types are continually created as more business is transacted in a supply chain in steady state, even if no new real-world entities are being created. Master Data, in contrast, is more static: the Master Data for a given entity changes very slowly (if at all), and the quantity of Master Data only increases as new entities are created, not merely because existing entities participate in business processes. For example, as a given trade item instance moves through the supply chain, new transaction data and visibility event data are generated as that instance undergoes business transactions (such as purchase and sale) and physical handling processes (packing, picking, stocking, etc). But new Master Data is only created when a new trade item or location is added to the supply chain.

The following figure illustrates the flow of data between trading partners, emphasizing the parts of the EPCIS standard involved in the flow of visibility event data.