
**Information technology — Advanced
image coding and evaluation —**

**Part 2:
Evaluation procedure for nearly
lossless coding**

*Technologies de l'information — Codage d'image avancé et
évaluation —*

*Partie 2: Mode opératoire d'évaluation pour codage presque sans
perte*

get full document from standards.iteh.ai

Sample Document

get full document from standards.iteh.ai



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO/IEC 2015, Published in Switzerland

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Ch. de Blandonnet 8 • CP 401
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva, Switzerland
Tel. +41 22 749 01 11
Fax +41 22 749 09 47
copyright@iso.org
www.iso.org

Contents

	Page
Foreword	iv
Introduction	v
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 Symbols and abbreviated terms	3
5 Test methods	3
5.1 Protocol selection.....	3
5.2 Media selection.....	3
5.3 Observers.....	3
5.3.1 Observer selection.....	3
5.3.2 Observer visual screening.....	4
5.3.3 Instructions to observers.....	4
5.3.4 Training session.....	4
5.4 Viewing conditions.....	5
5.4.1 Lighting and display calibration.....	5
5.4.2 Viewing distance.....	5
5.4.3 Viewing position.....	6
5.5 Viewing time.....	7
5.6 Trial retry.....	7
5.7 Test reporting.....	7
5.8 Verification of procedure.....	7
Annex A (normative) Forced choice paradigm with non-flickering images test protocol	8
Annex B (normative) Forced choice paradigm with interleaved images test protocol	10
Annex C (normative) Media selection and preparation procedure	12
Annex D (normative) Test report procedure	15
Annex E (informative) Observer vision testing	21
Annex F (informative) Self-test certification	22
Bibliography	25

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work. In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the WTO principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see the following URL: [Foreword — Supplementary information](#).

The committee responsible for this document is ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC 29, *Coding of audio, picture, multimedia and hypermedia information*.

ISO/IEC 29170 consists of the following parts, under the general title *Information technology — Advanced image coding and evaluation*:

- *Part 1: Guidelines for coding system evaluation (forthcoming)*
- *Part 2: Evaluation procedure for visually lossless coding*

Introduction

This International Standard normalizes a procedure to evaluate coding systems by subjective methods. The procedure is particularly useful for evaluating lightly compressed coding systems used, for instance, in display stream compression where a source compresses image data sent to a display. Examples of display streams include but are not limited to a wired link between a set-top box unit and a television or between a mobile host graphics processor and a display panel module in a mobile appliance. Viewers of these displays should be unaware that a coding system is employed in the device or system. A coding system will be considered visually lossless if the test results meet a pre-defined acceptable quality level demonstrated by the performance criteria described in this Specification under the viewing conditions specified and media sets provided.

Appliances that may require visually lossless performance for compressed display streams include: computer monitor displays, televisions, mobile phone and tablet displays. Data compression for these systems allows existing display links to carry more display data than is possible with uncompressed image streams or to reduce system power consumption or both.

The types of coding systems tested by this procedure may have the following properties:

- a) The presence of a coding system should be undetectable to a user who is viewing the display.
- b) The coding system operates in real-time, with negligible latency, low complexity hardware and minimal memory in both the encoder and the decoder.

This procedure builds on prior standardization and best practices embodied in ISO 3664, ISO 20462-2 and ISO/IEC TR 29170-1.

Sample Document

get full document from standards.iteh.ai

Sample Document

get full document from standards.iteh.ai

Information technology — Advanced image coding and evaluation —

Part 2: Evaluation procedure for nearly lossless coding

1 Scope

This Technical Specification normalizes evaluation and grading of a light coding system used for displays and display systems, but is independent of the display technology. This procedure measures whether an observer can distinguish between an uncompressed reference and the reconstructed image to a pre-determined, statistically meaningful level.

The procedure compares individual images with two possible forced choice comparison test methods. This procedure relies on subjective evaluation methods designed to discern image imperfections on electronic colour displays of any technology or size.

Image selections for testing a specific coding system has bearing on the results this procedure will yield, but specific images required for testing are not within scope, excluding an informative annex describing self-test certification. Image categories may vary between end-usage products. For example, content relevant to television manufacturers may or may not be relevant to computer display manufacturers. Due to the nature of this procedure as a visual psychophysical test, observer's age is considered a meaningful parameter of the results.

2 Normative references

The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and are indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 3664, *Graphic technology and photography — Viewing conditions*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

3.1

advance time

time a reference image or test image is displayed during an interleaved pair comparison test

3.2

algorithm

unique combination of test conditions that contribute to a unique test image, for example, the combination of coding an image with one compression level and one coding method represents coding with one algorithm

Note 1 to entry: Coding an image with a different compression level and the same coding method represents a second unique algorithm.

3.3

blank time

time between trials when the display shows no stimulus

**3.4
block of trials**

long experiment is logically divided into a series of trials

Note 1 to entry: There is an expectation that the observer iteratively completes each trial presented during a short block of time without stopping for breaks beyond those allocated by the software presentation timing.

**3.5
control image**

cropped test image coded to ensure defects are easily detectable in a paired comparison test

**3.6
evaluator**

expert in the field of image or compression artefact analysis or vision science, who prepares images, categorizes images or instructs the observer

**3.7
expert observer**

observer skilled in vision science or coding technology and image artefacts

**3.8
image**

still representation of pixels rendered by the display

**3.9
just noticeable difference**

stimulus difference that would lead to a 0,75 probability of correct responses in a two-alternative forced-choice task

**3.10
non-expert observer**

observer with no special skills or training in vision science or coding technology

**3.11
observer**

individual performing the subjective evaluation procedure by evaluating stimulus to make paired comparison task choices

**3.12
picture element
pixel**

smallest element that is capable of generating the full intended functionality (e.g. colour and gray scale) of the display

Note 1 to entry: In a multicolour display, the smallest addressable element capable of producing the full colour range or the smallest element that is capable of generating the full functionality of the display.

**3.13
reference image**

cropped original image displayed during a trial

**3.14
session**

description of the full time interval in which an observer participates in the experiment on a given day

Note 1 to entry: It can encompass multiple blocks of trials. A full experiment can be divided into multiple sessions.

**3.15
test image**

cropped version of a reconstructed image after coding displayed during a trial

3.16**trial**

single unit of the experiment

Note 1 to entry: A set of images will be presented on the screen for a defined viewing time. The trial is complete only when the observer has entered a response.

3.17**viewing time**

number of seconds an observer views paired stimuli. The viewing time has a maximum value in all situations

3.18**visually lossless**

fully decoded image or multimedia sample presenting a display that is visually indistinguishable from the original uncompressed data over the same spatial area and when viewed under the same conditions

4 Symbols and abbreviated terms

AQL acceptable quality level

PPD pixels per degree

RGB red-green-blue

5 Test methods**5.1 Protocol selection**

The evaluator shall select the most suitable test protocol from those listed in [Table 1](#) and contained in the listed annex. The protocol selection depends on the media under test, end display usage and the target AQL.

Table 1 — Visually lossless testing protocol

	Test Protocol	Comment
Annex A	forced choice paradigm with a non-flickering image	sensitive testing, suitable for testing images compressed and reconstructed by light coding systems, but not as sensitive as interleaved testing
Annex B	forced choice paradigm with interleaved images	most sensitive testing, suitable for testing images compressed and reconstructed by light coding systems

5.2 Media selection

Media selection takes images or other media for display rendering relevant to the display type and user applications.

Evaluators shall follow media selection procedures in [Annex C](#) after selecting a test protocol.

5.3 Observers**5.3.1 Observer selection**

The observers shall be selected from a general population that may include both experts and non-experts. The observers for the experiment shall not include evaluators who participated in the media selection for the experiment being conducted.