TECHNICAL REPORT

ISO/TR 22930-1

First edition
2020-05

Evaluating the performance of continuous air monitors —

Part 1: Air monitors based on accumulation sampling techniques

Évaluation de la performance des dispositifs de surveillance de l'air en continu —

Partie 1: Moniteurs d'air basés sur des techniques d'échantillonnage par accumulation

Reference number
ISO/TR 22930-1:2020(E)

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Fax: +41 22 749 09 47
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

Page

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 85, *Nuclear energy, nuclear technologies, and radiological protection*, Subcommittee SC 2, *Radiological protection*.

A list of all the parts in the ISO/TR 22930 series can be found on the ISO website.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

Introduction

Sampling and monitoring of airborne activity concentration in workplaces are critically important for maintaining worker safety at facilities where dispersible radioactive substances are used.

The first indication of a radioactive substance dispersion event comes, in general, from a continuous air monitor (CAM) and its associated alarm levels. In general, the response of a CAM is delayed in time compared to the actual situation of release.

The knowledge of a few factors is needed to interpret the response of a CAM and to select the appropriate CAM type and its operating parameters.

The role of the radiation protection officer is to select the appropriate CAM, to determine when effective release of radioactive substances occurs, to interpret measurement results and to take corrective action appropriate to the severity of the release.

The objective of ISO/TR 22930 series is to assist radiation protection officer in evaluating the performance of a CAM.

ISO/TR 22930 series describes the factors and operating parameters and how they influence the response of a CAM.

This document deals with monitoring systems based on accumulation sampling techniques.

Evaluating the performance of continuous air monitors —

Part 1:
Air monitors based on accumulation sampling techniques

1 Scope

The use of a continuous air monitor (CAM) is mainly motivated by the need to be alerted quickly and in the most accurate way possible with an acceptable false alarm rate when a significant activity concentration value is exceeded, in order to take appropriate measures to reduce exposure of those involved.

The performance of this CAM does not only depend on the metrological aspect characterized by the decision threshold, the limit of detection and the measurement uncertainties but also on its dynamic capacity characterized by its response time as well as on the minimum detectable activity concentration corresponding to an acceptable false alarm rate.

The ideal performance is to have a minimum detectable activity concentration as low as possible associated with a very short response time, but unfortunately these two criteria are in opposition. It is therefore important that the CAM and the choice of the adjustment parameters and the alarm levels be in line with the radiation protection objectives.

The knowledge of a few factors is needed to interpret the response of a CAM and to select the appropriate CAM type and its operating parameters.

Among those factors, it is important to know the half-lives of the radionuclides involved, in order to select the appropriate detection system and its associated model of evaluation.

CAM using filter media accumulation sampling techniques are usually of two types:

a) fixed filter;

b) moving filter.

This document first describes the theory of operation of each CAM type i.e.:

— the different models of evaluation considering short or long radionuclides half-lives values,

— the dynamic behaviour and the determination of the response time.

In most case, CAM is used when radionuclides with important radiotoxicities are involved (small value of ALI). Those radionuclides have usually long half-life values.

Then the determination of the characteristic limits (decision threshold, detection limit, limits of the coverage interval) of a CAM is described by the use of long half-life models of evaluation.

Finally, a possible way to determine the minimum detectable activity concentration and the alarms setup is pointed out.

The annexes of this document show actual examples of CAM data which illustrate how to quantify the CAM performance by determining the response time, the characteristics limits, the minimum detectable activity concentration and the alarms setup.