
**Intelligent transport systems — Using
web services (machine-machine
delivery) for ITS service delivery —**

**Part 2:
Elaboration of interoperable web
services' interfaces**

*Utilisation des services du Web (livraison de machine à machine) pour
la livraison de services ITS*

get full document from standards.iteh.ai



Sample Document

get full document from standards.iteh.ai



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2015, Published in Switzerland

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Ch. de Blandonnet 8 • CP 401
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva, Switzerland
Tel. +41 22 749 01 11
Fax +41 22 749 09 47
copyright@iso.org
www.iso.org

Contents

Page

Foreword	iv
Introduction	v
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 Abbreviated terms	2
5 Notation and conventions	3
5.1 Namespace URI and prefixes used in this specification	3
5.2 Web service syntax notation: pseudo-schemas	3
5.3 XPath 1.0 expression	4
5.4 SOA stack name notation	4
5.5 The context of the terms WSDL, SOAP, and BP	4
5.6 Examples	4
5.7 The term {service, user}	4
6 Interoperable version selection of interface description metadata	4
7 SOAP version selection	5
7.1 SOAP 1.1 or SOAP 1.2?	5
7.2 Creating a SOAP 1.2 web service	5
7.3 SOAP 1.2 usage indication	6
8 WS-I basic profile conformance	7
8.1 What is WS-I?	7
8.2 Specific WS metadata and WS metadata relationships	8
8.3 Creating a basic profile conformant service	9
8.3.1 Using a WSDL editor	10
8.3.2 Using an XML editor	11
8.4 BP conformance claim	13
Annex A (informative) Pseudo WSDL 1.1 expression	14
Annex B (informative) Main standard schema locations	16
Annex C (informative) BP 1.2 and BP2.0 Coverage items	17
Annex D (informative) BP 1.2 and BP2.0 conformance policy assertion schema	19
Bibliography	20

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the WTO principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: [Foreword - Supplementary information](#)

The committee responsible for this document is ISO/TC 204, *Intelligent transport systems*.

ISO 24097 consists of the following parts, under the general title *Intelligent transport systems — Using web services (machine-machine delivery) for ITS service delivery*:

- *Part 1: Realization of interoperable web services*
- *Part 2: Elaboration of interoperable web services' interfaces* [Technical Report]

The following parts are under preparation:

- *Part 3: Quality of service* [Technical Report]

Introduction

ITS services have been evolving from single functional and limited area services, to services in which many systems co-operate to provide effective and efficient services across a wide area. In today's world, ITS services are required to communicate not just with other parts of the same ITS service provision, but between different ITS services, and even with non-ITS services or a user's system directly. (Some examples of these systems are communication with/between traffic management, route guidance systems, security systems, environment protection systems, private freight management systems, and transport-related electronic payment service with banking or credit industry.)

These systems (even those limited to ITS services) are usually deployed in a heterogeneous circumstance, use different hardware, different operating systems (OS), middleware, or development (programming) languages. This therefore creates a challenge in order to realize system coordination across the organizations in a way that is flexible, quick, and at reasonable cost. Web services (WS) are a recent methodology that overcomes these difficulties. Using WS technology for ITS services can significantly simplify and reduce the cost of internet based service provision, which may well affect the level and speed of take up of use of ITS services.

WS require a lot of functionalities, and as a result, architecture is indispensable. WS standardization organizations construct standards by Service-Oriented Architecture (SOA). SOA is an evolutionary form of distributed computing and object orientation.

By applying SOA based standards to the ITS services, the following effects are expected.

From a business viewpoint:

- increased service value;
- internationalization;
- expansion to the business automation.

From a system development viewpoint:

- Easy and quick development of ITS service coordination and service area expansion;
- WS enables system developer focus on "WHAT" not "HOW". "HOW" is covered by standard-based tools. This enables quick and easy system software development;
- WS standards have a composable structure, and so promote reusability of software by SOA;
- Easy connection to a legacy system.

In the ITS sector, message standardization of many applications have already been completed, are well-advanced, or are determined regionally. Message standardization is intended to improve system coordination, interoperability, and re-use. So the conditions for WS are considered already mature. In addition, the use of WS will increase the flexibility of ITS services to interoperate and communicate beyond the ITS sector and in areas where the delineation between ITS services and general commercial services converge.

From the viewpoint of WS standards evolution, 2007 was an epoch-making year. Web Service Description Language (WSDL) 2.0 became a W3C recommendation. Corresponding with this, relevant WS specifications were standardized by open standard bodies (W3C and OASIS). These standards cover all functional layers. Using these standards, the ITS sector has a sound base for interoperable WS.

ITS service collaboration with other sectors is expected to increase mutual effectiveness. Globalization of economies also requires communication across the domains and jurisdictions. All these collaborations rely on the interoperability of services. Interoperability can be achieved if based on open international standards.

WS was created to use distributed network resources in an interoperable way. However, to realize interoperable WS various functionalities are required.

In most ITS services, availability and quick recovery from a fault is critical. Business process management and monitoring help to realize these requirements. Business Process Execution Language (BPEL) is considered one method. It is based on web services. BPEL also enables various services combination and automatic execution of business process. All these apply to web services.

This Technical Report presents a base of high quality ITS services with easy and quick development as well as a base for further service expansion.

“Using web services (machine-machine delivery) for ITS service delivery” has been developed considering these requirements. ISO 24097 consists of three parts: ISO 24097-1, ISO 24097-2, and ISO 24097-3. ISO 24097-1 is an International Standard. ISO 24097-2 and ISO 24097-3 are Technical Reports.

ISO 24097-1 focused on an approach to realize interoperable ITS WS. ISO 24097-2 and ISO 24097-3 are example based documents that show how to realize interoperable ITS web services that are already described in ISO 24097-1.

Fundamental concept

Metadata, as this term reminds us, may be considered a higher level description of requirements and constraints of a web service. Metadata are, by its nature, declarative. Declarative means one **does not care about how to realize requirement**, but only about **what functionality is wanted in a WS**.

ISO 24097-1 proposed to construct metadata description based on standards. Recap key points of ISO 24097-1 are as follows:

- a) To construct interoperable WS, standards-based **metadata description** is mandatory. This also implies a technical contract between service provider and service consumers.
- b) WS service description metadata consists of **interface metadata** and Quality of Services (**QoS**) **metadata**. Only by describing both metadata could WS be interoperable. In addition to interoperability, this metadata provides the following:
 - 1) easy development of WS starting from requirements and constraints (top down approach);
 - 2) quick and effective and high quality system delivery of service from metadata with support from a software tool called a generator (especially this feature is especially important for a service consumer);
 - 3) readily realize service evolution and maintenance throughout its lifecycle.
- c) **Interface** metadata describes the interface between a service program and a service consumer program. Therefore, this metadata represents interface contract between service provider and consumer. This information is published by the service provider and evaluated by potential consumers. This metadata are expressed in Web Service Description Language (WSDL).
- d) **QoS** metadata are composite of domain specific requirements and constraints such as security, reliable messaging, message addressing, Simple Object Access Protocol (SOAP) message transmission optimization. **QoS** metadata are described using WS-Policy. WS-Policy is constructed by two standards; “WS-Policy 1.5 — Framework” and “WS-Policy 1.5 — Attachment”.

[Figure 1](#) depicts web service descriptive language metadata USE Case and its role in web services.




Figure 1 — High level ITS web service metadata role

[Table 1](#) is a high-level use case description of ITS web service metadata's role.

get full document from standards.iteh.ai

Table 1 — Use case description of ITS WS metadata's role in high level

Use case description (Level 1)	
Name	ITS Web Services metadata role
Actors	Service provider Potential service consumer Service consumer
Description	This use case depicts a high level ITS WS metadata role through an ITS WS lifecycle.
Main scenario	1. Service provider creates standard-based interface and QoS metadata, and gives version number individually. (See Alt-1 for alternative scenario) 2. Service provider publishes metadata through Universal Description, Discovery, and Integration (UDDI), Service end point, web page, etc. 3. Service provider develops web service, including (Generating skeleton or stub). 4. Service provider provides the service. 5. Potential service consumer estimates the service from the view point of business value to him and/or interoperability realization difficulty. 6. If potential user decides to utilize the service, he develops client WS program, including the generation of a skeleton or stub. 7. Service consumer tests his program interoperability to the service program. 8. After successful interoperability test consumer utilizes the service.
Alternative scenario	Alt-1: In the case of the service provider service 1. He changes the metadata and the relevant version number. 2. Publishes revised metadata. 3. Resume.
Use case description (Level 2)	
Name	Generate skeleton or stub
Description	Service provider or service consumer creates skeleton or stub, respectively. Usually this process is done by using WS development tools. This enables stakeholder to quickly develop program and go sooner to interoperability test.
Main scenario	1. A tool reads interface metadata and QoS metadata. 2. It creates the interface part and run time data for required QoS.

- e) “WS-Policy 1.5—Framework” acts as a structure for connecting domain specific metadata in one policy document. Each domain specific metadata has its own vocabulary and is identified by its namespace. Joining service side policy and service consumer policy, interoperability can then be tested. If join is not empty, then service provider program and service consumer program are interoperable.
- f) “WS-Policy 1.5—Attachment” gives mechanism to attach policy to WSDL that policy is effective at runtime. [Figure 2](#) exhibits WS-Policy's role to connect QoS to **Interface metadata**.




Figure 2 — Web service policy roles

- g) For policy reuse, promotion of maintainability, and readability, policy description as an independent document from the interface document is recommended. Then combine both documents in a `wsp:PolicyReference` element in a WSDL.
- h) When WS evolves, backward compatibility is recommended strategy.

NOTE WS-Policy related issues are to be elaborated in ISO 24097-3.

The second phase standards of web services metadata were delivered through 2007 to 2014 from standardization organizations like W3C and OASIS, and continue to evolve. There have been, to date, few documents that explain how to apply new metadata standards in a consistent and comprehensive manner. Without such assistance it is not easy to use relevant standards in a consistent manner.

In addition, making WS secure is essential, but realizing secure WS requires quite vast cryptography technologies in the background, such as basic XML signature and XML encryption. So applying these fundamentals could become a major hurdle to overcome.