

---

---

**Molecular biomarker analysis —  
Determination of the performance  
characteristics of qualitative  
measurement methods and validation  
of methods**

*Analyse de biomarqueurs moléculaires — Détermination des  
caractéristiques de performance des méthodes de mesure qualitatives  
et validation des méthodes*

(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)  
Document Preview

[ISO/TS 16393:2019](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/cd9dae4b-6308-4ceb-afc8-db2cc40bcfbc/iso-ts-16393-2019)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/cd9dae4b-6308-4ceb-afc8-db2cc40bcfbc/iso-ts-16393-2019>



**iTeh Standards**  
**(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)**  
**Document Preview**

[ISO/TS 16393:2019](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/cd9dae4b-6308-4ceb-afc8-db2cc40bcfbc/iso-ts-16393-2019)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/cd9dae4b-6308-4ceb-afc8-db2cc40bcfbc/iso-ts-16393-2019>



**COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT**

© ISO 2019

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office  
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8  
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva  
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11  
Fax: +41 22 749 09 47  
Email: [copyright@iso.org](mailto:copyright@iso.org)  
Website: [www.iso.org](http://www.iso.org)

Published in Switzerland

# Contents

Page

<b>Foreword</b> .....	<b>iv</b>
<b>Introduction</b> .....	<b>v</b>
<b>1 Scope</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Normative references</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>3 Terms and definitions</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>4 Characterization of a qualitative method via a validation experiment</b> .....	<b>3</b>
4.1 Criteria for a standard measurement method.....	3
4.2 Performance of a validation experiment.....	3
4.3 Nature of test materials.....	4
4.4 Requirements for replicate test samples.....	4
4.5 Robustness (ruggedness).....	5
4.6 Applicability.....	5
4.7 Selectivity.....	6
4.8 Experimental design for a multi-laboratory study.....	6
4.8.1 Participating laboratories.....	6
4.8.2 Number of laboratories.....	6
4.8.3 Number of levels.....	6
4.8.4 Number of replicates per level and laboratory.....	7
4.9 Validation experiment under intermediate conditions.....	7
4.10 Expressing the results of a validation experiment.....	7
4.10.1 General.....	7
4.10.2 Graphical representation of the data.....	10
4.11 Calculation of the confidence interval for the general mean, confidence interval and prediction interval.....	12
4.12 Calculation of prediction interval for PODs in each laboratory.....	13
<b>5 Statistical model for test result</b> .....	<b>13</b>
5.1 General.....	13
5.2 Basic model.....	13
5.3 Constraints in the model.....	13
5.4 General mean, $m$ .....	14
5.5 Variance parameters.....	14
5.6 Relationship of qualitative model to the quantitative model.....	14
5.7 Derivation of a limit of detection.....	15
<b>Annex A (informative) Estimation of the mean and variance</b> .....	<b>16</b>
<b>Annex B (informative) Hybrid modified Wilson interval model</b> .....	<b>18</b>
<b>Annex C (informative) Maximum profile likelihood based on the probit model</b> .....	<b>20</b>
<b>Annex D (informative) Maximum likelihood estimate based on beta binomial distribution</b> .....	<b>22</b>
<b>Annex E (informative) Testing of the models via simulation</b> .....	<b>24</b>
<b>Bibliography</b> .....	<b>27</b>

## Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see [www.iso.org/directives](http://www.iso.org/directives)).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see [www.iso.org/patents](http://www.iso.org/patents)).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see [www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html](http://www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html).

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 34, *Food products*, Subcommittee SC 16, *Horizontal methods for molecular biomarker analysis*.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at [www.iso.org/members.html](http://www.iso.org/members.html).

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/cd9dae4b-6308-4ceb-afc8-db2cc40bcfbc/iso-ts-16393-2019>

## Introduction

Qualitative (binary) analytical methods (e.g. applied to screening tests) for use in the analysis of food or food products (including seeds of food crops) with the purpose of demonstrating the presence/absence of a given measurand in a sample should provide objective evidence that they are adequate for their intended use. A validated test method is much preferred over one that has not undergone studies to determine its accuracy and reliability for its specific purpose. These methods that yield a binary result (yes/no, positive/negative, etc.) are referred to as “qualitative” or “binary” methods.

As with quantitative methods, qualitative method performance has to be characterized with respect to the concentration of the measurand. However, only two conditions are indicated in the result: either the measurand is detected (a positive result) or it is not detected (a negative result). While internationally recognized guidelines (e.g. ISO 5725-2, References [7] and [16]) have been produced over the years to harmonize the validation of quantitative analytical methods, no consensus is yet available among stakeholders on a practical implementation of the performance criteria approach to the validation of qualitative methods for use in food and food products.

Conceptual approaches for validating qualitative methods classically focused on parameters such as sensitivity, selectivity, false positive rate and false negative rate, based on detection/non-detection of the measurand in the test sample. The limitation of this approach was the underlying assumption that the method had a predictable response to the presence of a measurand present at a non-zero concentration. In practice, however, a non-zero concentration can result in a variable probability of a positive result in the assay. Treating the concentration of measurand as a continuous variable with reasonable and/or previously determined confidence in a defined matrix using a specific analytical method is a better predictor of measurement response than a two-state, zero/non-zero variable.

This document describes the assessment of probability of detection (POD). This approach allows for comparison of probabilities across concentrations and further allows for a simple graphical representation of validation data as a POD response curve graphed by concentration with associated error bars of the mean POD value. This approach expresses the POD as dependent on concentration; the goal of validation is to characterize the response probability curve as a function of measurand mass or concentration.

### ISO/TS 16393:2019

<https://www.iso.org/standard/72443.html>: A number of models have been described in the literature for the calculations of the confidence intervals of the POD and confidence intervals or predictive ranges for concentrations in case of a positive or negative result, e.g. References [4], [8], [9], [11], [17], [19] and [20]. Whereas qualitative methods are often evaluated at 50 %, they are used close to 100 %, or at levels where the sample size is adjusted so as to always obtain a clear positive or negative result. The present specification is therefore the result of an extensive discussion of the possible improved models for characterization of qualitative methods, particularly focused on the characterization of the methods close to the 0 and 100 % POD cases. The performance characteristics include:

- a) the mean POD across laboratories (LPOD);
- b) the corresponding confidence interval of the LPOD, which is the interval estimate of the mean POD;
- c) the prediction interval for future observations of laboratory specific PODs.

An advanced statistical method allows the user to calculate confidence and/or prediction intervals for the concentrations where the user would expect positive or negative results. To do so is particularly challenging where the POD is close to 0 % or 100 %.