TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION

ISO/TS 22703

Health informatics — Requirements for medication safety alerts

Informatique de santé — Exigences relatives aux alertes de sécurité sur les médicaments

iTeh Standards
(https://standards.iteh.ai)
Document Preview

ISO/TS 22703:2021
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/9b97e24d-77d1-41c3-af1a-3f49ff2e6f20/iso-ts-22703-2021

COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

Contents

Page

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 215, *Health informatics*, in collaboration with the European Committee for Standardization (CEN) Technical Committee CEN/TC 251, *Health informatics*, in accordance with the Agreement on technical cooperation between ISO and CEN (Vienna Agreement).

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

Introduction

To improve the quality and safety of patient care in digital work-flow environments, computer assisted clinical decision support systems (CDSSs) have been emphasized and implemented in healthcare organizations and pharmacies, especially focusing on medication safety.

CDSSs for medication safety have been developed and used in many countries as an essential component for decision support for clinicians in prescribing, dispensing and administering medication in connection with an electronic health record (EHR), computerized physician order entry (CPOE) system or pharmacy electronic health record (PEHR) and digitized knowledge bases.

Depending on the availability of knowledge bases and functionalities, CDSS can be classified into four types[12]:

Type 1 CDSS: provides categorized information that requires further processing and analysis by users before a decision can be made. This type of decision support includes direct access to relevant information, such as web-based access to current rules for travel inoculation.

Type 2 CDSS: presents the clinician with trends of a patient's changing clinical status and alerts clinicians to out-of-range assessment results and intervention strategies. Clinicians are prompted to review information related to the alerts before arriving at a clinical decision.

Type 3 CDSS: uses deductive inference engines to operate on a specific knowledge base and automatically generate diagnostic or intervention recommendations based on changing patient clinical condition, with the knowledge and inference engines stored in the knowledge base. These systems include systems that consider the disease and medication of the patient and whether these have contraindications for new medication. These systems require computer-readable rules and an underlying computer EHR system that is also computer processable. They also require computerized terminological representation of clinical concepts.

Type 4 CDSS: uses more complex knowledge management and inference models than the other three decision support types. These systems include case management reasoning, neural networks and statistical discrimination analysis to perform outcome or prognostic predictions. Such systems possess self-learning capabilities and use fuzzy set formalism and similarity measures or confidence level computation as mechanisms to deal intelligently and accurately with uncertainty.

Among the four types of CDSS, type 3 has been focused on developing CDSS for medication safety alerts in the countries where EHRs are in use, though type 4 is available in some countries.

Since the primary purpose of a medication CDSS implementation is the prevention of potential harmful effects of medication or errors, all types of CDSS have been designed to have the functionality of alerting or warning clinicians in a prospectively actionable fashion for all settings.

However, the desired outcome of prevention of harmful drug therapy with the use of CDSS for medication safety has not been clearly defined. This can be attributed to factors such as poor and varied stratification (mainly due to lack of clear consensus on terminology and rules) of safety risk warnings or alerts. In addition, alert fatigue (the result of frequent alerts to clinicians which are not clinically significant or tailored to speciality interests) is known to be one of the major factors contributing to alert overrides, which can result in serious clinical consequences.

Unclear content and verbose language in medication safety alerts can also be barriers to clear communication with clinicians of the clinical significance of potential safety risks.

In addition, since the alerts are linked to the embedded CDSS knowledge base through specifically designed algorithms, the differences between algorithms to produce alerts, even though they are based on the same knowledge base, can be another inhibiting factor in getting uniform and maximal benefit from safety alert systems operating on the same patient population with the same clinical condition or situation.

In the USA, a number of EHR and CPOE vendors, as well as several drug knowledge bases, are in use with wide differences in content, alert types and displays. Medication safety alerts in computerized information systems have typically been developed for pharmacy software, often in connection with pharmacy benefit management, the requirement for a prospective drug utilization review (DUR) programme for outpatients using a prescription filling service in community pharmacies, or both. For prospective DUR programmes, the potential medication problem types for medication safety alerts were defined by federal regulation and have been used for developing CDSS for pharmacy practitioners and CPOE by system vendors.

In the Republic of Korea, a number of drug knowledge bases (in the form of CDSS) with the functionality of safety alerts which are developed by system vendors and pharmacy benefit managers of national health insurance bodies are in use, mostly benchmarking the prospective DUR programme in the USA. However, they are not detailed enough to meet individual use cases and thus healthcare organizations resort to commercial vendors for more in-depth and user-friendly coverage of medication alert content.

In other countries, various types or methods for providing medication safety alerts in connection with digitized knowledge bases have been developed and implemented in digitized health information systems. However, there are no internationally or regionally standardized requirements for improving patient safety by alerting healthcare professionals to potential safety risks.

Given the wide variability of medication safety alert content and implementation approaches across different system vendors and drug knowledge bases, there is a need for medication alert standardization both nationally and internationally.

Stakeholders can use this document for developing common and structured medication safety alert systems to improve patient safety.

The actors included in the scope of this document include, but are not limited to:

— healthcare organisations which deploy EHR or PEHR systems incorporating medication safety alerts;

— vendors and implementors of systems with medication safety alerts or those who provide information for the alerts, such as:

    — CDSSs

    — EHRs

    — pharmacy systems

    — clinical information systems

    — practice management systems (EHR-like systems for individual or small-group settings).