



Technical Specification

ISO/TS 5615

Health informatics — Accelerating safe, effective and secure remote connected care and mobile health through standards- based interoperability solutions addressing gaps revealed by pandemics

**First edition
2025-07**

*Informatique de santé — Augmentation de la sûreté, de
l'efficacité et de la sécurité des soins à distance et de la santé
mobile par l'intermédiaire de solutions d'interopérabilité fondées
sur les normes, en remédiant aux insuffisances mises en évidence
par la pandémie*

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

ISO/TS 5615:2025

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/2d2f79a0-883f-4d5d-93e9-6ddf624999c9/iso-ts-5615-2025>



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2025

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

Page

Foreword	v
Introduction	vi
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 Abbreviations	4
5 RCC-MH care locations and evolution	5
5.1 What is RCC-MH?	5
5.2 Care locations: hospitals, home and community, nursing, outpatient	6
6 RCC-MH relevant care delivery modes and use cases	8
6.1 General	8
6.2 Hospital care	8
6.2.1 Isolation room	8
6.2.2 Remote patient surveillance	9
6.3 Home and community care	9
6.3.1 Telehealth and virtual health	9
6.3.2 Remote delivery of care	9
6.4 Post-acute care	9
6.4.1 LTAC	9
6.4.2 Skilled nursing facility	10
6.5 Outpatient care	10
6.5.1 Remote consultation	10
6.5.2 GP/PCP visit	10
7 RCC-MH challenges and gaps	10
7.1 General	10
7.2 RCC-MH challenges and gaps – Safety and quality	10
7.3 RCC-MH challenges and gaps – Deployment	12
7.4 RCC-MH challenges and gaps – Service support	14
7.5 RCC-MH challenges and gaps – Infrastructure	15
7.6 RCC-MH challenges and gaps – Interoperability	15
7.7 RCC-MH challenges and gaps – Operations	16
7.8 RCC-MH challenges and gaps – Security	17
8 RCC-MH recommendations	19
8.1 Recommendations	19
8.2 RCC-MH recommendations – Safety and quality	19
8.3 RCC-MH recommendations – Deployment	21
8.4 RCC-MH recommendations – Service support	23
8.5 RCC-MH recommendations – Infrastructure	24
8.6 RCC-MH recommendations – Interoperability	24
8.7 RCC-MH recommendations – Operations	25
8.8 RCC-MH recommendations – Security	26
9 Conclusions and path forward	28
9.1 Recommended standards work items	28
9.2 Final thoughts	30
Annex A (informative) Regulatory and legal reactions to the pandemic	31
Annex B (informative) RCC-MH interoperability challenges	44
Annex C (informative) Nomenclature standards landscape for medical devices	47
Annex D (informative) Accelerating safe effective and secure (SES) RCC-MH	53
Annex E (informative) RCC-MH socio-technical challenges	56

Annex F (informative) RCC-MH communications standards landscape	57
Annex G (informative) RCC-MH cybersecurity standards landscape	72
Annex H (informative) RCC-MH telehealth standards landscape	79
Annex I (informative) Device specializations	81
Annex J (informative) Summary of applicable standards	82
Annex K (informative) Care delivery locations	85
Annex L (informative) Conformance landscape	87
Bibliography	89

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

[ISO/TS 5615:2025](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/2d2f79a0-883f-4d5d-93e9-6ddf624999c9/iso-ts-5615-2025)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/2d2f79a0-883f-4d5d-93e9-6ddf624999c9/iso-ts-5615-2025>

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

ISO draws attention to the possibility that the implementation of this document may involve the use of (a) patent(s). ISO takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, ISO had not received notice of (a) patent(s) which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at www.iso.org/patents. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 215, *Health informatics*, in collaboration with HL7 and with the European Committee for Standardization (CEN) Technical Committee CEN/TC 251, *Health informatics*, in accordance with the Agreement on technical cooperation between ISO and CEN (Vienna Agreement).

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/2d2f79a0-883f-4d5d-93e9-6ddf624999c9/iso-ts-5615-2025>

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic has created an enormous need to allow patients and clinicians to communicate with each other and report in a more flexible and virtual way outside of the traditional care delivery infrastructure. Numerous studies and reports from healthcare organizations have shown the dramatic increase in the use of telehealth visits and their associated benefits:

- reduction of pandemic-related risks typically associated with face-to-face visits.
- alleviation of care capacity pressures due to pandemic-induced patient influx.
- stemming the tide of continually increasing healthcare costs driven by aging populations and associated growth of chronic disease.
- catering to patient preferences and enabling patients to stay in their home longer, return sooner, or manage their condition at home altogether.

Many healthcare organizations have gone beyond telehealth in an attempt to deploy remote care approaches to interact with patients in the hospital as well as track the status of patients at home or alternate care institutions. This technology is also used for clinical trial data collection, real word evidence and patient surveillance, especially under the limitations and pressure of a pandemic. This is termed as “Remote Connected Care and Mobile Health (RCC-MH)”.

This document explores the current challenges of deploying RCC-MH widely in the current environment. In addition to technical gaps, this document also identifies techno-social gaps that will need to be overcome. The question then becomes: how to educate and motivate manufacturers and ‘consumers’ (hospitals, alternate care settings, patients and their advocacy groups, etc.) so they understand the benefits of interoperability and, since RCC-MH will not be realizable without interoperability, begin to demand interoperable devices and apps that take advantage of interoperable devices?

This document answers questions such as:

- What informatics standards can be considered when developing remote care / Mobile Health solutions?
- What safety, effectiveness & security (SES) standards can be leveraged to balance solution options with risk-based public good assessments?
- How can the application of these standards be scaled in crisis situations where resources and time are highly constrained?
- How can we develop more efficient interoperability solutions to rapidly address the needs of telehealth in pandemics cases?

This document is intended to inform a diverse set of stakeholders, including:

- industry – medical device vendors, point-of-care lab systems, pharma, SW and IoT vendors, apps vendors;
- government – regulatory, public health, state and local government;
- providers – primary care physicians (PCPs), general practitioners (GPs), specialists, healthcare delivery organizations (HDOs);
- SDOs (standards development organizations);
- patients (including advocacy groups);
- payors – government, private, and public insurers;
- infrastructure vendors – networking, security, cloud, mobile devices and apps.

Health informatics — Accelerating safe, effective and secure remote connected care and mobile health through standards-based interoperability solutions addressing gaps revealed by pandemics

1 Scope

This document reviews the structural changes that have been precipitated by the COVID-19 pandemic in Remote Connected Care and Mobile Health (RCC-MH). The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on care settings such as home and community care, acute care and outpatient care are reviewed discussing how well these healthcare environments were prepared to address the encountered connectivity challenges from a standards point of view. The current standards landscape is reviewed and gaps are identified leading to recommendations for future standards work.

2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

— ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>

— IEC Electropedia: available at <https://www.electropedia.org/>

3.1

effective

successful in producing a desired or intended result

3.2

effectiveness

ability to produce the intended result

Note 1 to entry: Clinical effectiveness is based on valid scientific evidence that in a significant portion of the target population the use of the device for its intended uses will provide clinically significant results.

[SOURCE: ISO 81001-1:2021, 3.2.5, modified — Note 1 to entry was added.]

3.3

harm

physical injury or damage, or both, to the health of people or damage to property or the environment

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC Guide 51:2014, 3.1, modified — “injury or damage” was changed to “physical injury or damage, or both”.]

3.4

hazard

potential source of *harm* (3.3)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC Guide 51:2014, 3.2]