
Aeronautika - Vodenje programa - Preverjanje in validacija numeričnih modelov in simulacij

Aerospace series - Programme management - Verification and validation of numerical models and simulations

Luft- und Raumfahrt - Programm-Management - Überprüfung und Validierung von numerischen Modellen und Simulationen

Série aérospatiale - Management de programme - Vérification et validation des modèles et simulations numériques

Tren Standards
Document Preview
Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: prEN 9247

[oSIST prEN 9247:2025](https://standards.itel.si/catalog/standards/list/86676149-428c-4e00-866c-2222a61d16/sist-pr-en-9247-2025)

<https://standards.itel.si/catalog/standards/list/86676149-428c-4e00-866c-2222a61d16/sist-pr-en-9247-2025>

ICS:

03.100.01	Organizacija in vodenje podjetja na splošno	Company organization and management in general
49.020	Letala in vesoljska vozila na splošno	Aircraft and space vehicles in general

oSIST prEN 9247:2025

en,fr,de

**EUROPEAN STANDARD
NORME EUROPÉENNE
EUROPÄISCHE NORM**

**DRAFT
prEN 9247**

May 2025

ICS 49.020

English Version

**Aerospace series - Programme management - Verification
and validation of numerical models and simulations**

Série aérospatiale - Management de programme -
Vérification et validation des modèles et simulations
numériques

Luft- und Raumfahrt - Programm-Management -
Überprüfung und Validierung von numerischen
Modellen und Simulationen

This draft European Standard is submitted to CEN members for enquiry. It has been drawn up by the Technical Committee ASD-STAN.

If this draft becomes a European Standard, CEN members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration.

This draft European Standard was established by CEN in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CEN member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Türkiye and United Kingdom.

Recipients of this draft are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which they are aware and to provide supporting documentation.

<https://standards.cen.ai/calllog/standards/sis/8667f1d9-428a-4ecc-86fc-2332acf4f916/osist-pren-9247-2025>

Warning : This document is not a European Standard. It is distributed for review and comments. It is subject to change without notice and shall not be referred to as a European Standard.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels

Contents

	Page
European foreword	4
Introduction	5
1 Scope.....	6
2 Normative references.....	7
3 Terms and definitions.....	7
4 List of acronyms	13
5 Principles/concepts	14
5.1 Document objectives and added value.....	14
5.2 Simulation-related organization.....	14
5.3 Maturity of a model	14
5.3.1 Maturity levels	14
5.3.2 Maturity assessment methods	15
5.3.3 Maturity based on the product lifecycle stages.....	17
6 Modelling and simulation	18
6.1 Assessment of the simulation need.....	18
6.2 Selecting the type of simulation, model and tool	20
6.3 Simulation plan.....	22
6.3.1 Objectives of the simulation plan.....	22
6.3.2 Identifying the need in terms of simulations.....	22
6.3.3 Verification cross-reference matrix (VCRM)	23
6.3.4 Identification and ranking of physical phenomena	23
6.3.5 Identification of the types of simulation to be implemented	24
6.3.6 Determining the criticality of the simulations	24
6.3.7 Description of the simulation workflow.....	25
6.3.8 Finalization of the first version of the simulation plan.....	25
6.4 Modelling	25
6.4.1 Different types of physical behaviour models.....	25
6.4.2 Construction of a simulation model.....	27
6.4.3 Assumptions	30
6.5 Input data.....	32
7 Verification and validation (V&V)	34
7.1 General.....	34
7.2 Verification.....	35
7.2.1 Creation of a model	35
7.2.2 Verification of the calculation code.....	36
7.2.3 Solution verification	36
7.2.4 Types of errors and recommendations.....	36
7.2.5 Verification methods	37
7.3 Validation	39
7.3.1 Validation domain	39
7.3.2 Validation activity.....	40
7.3.3 Reference data	41
7.3.4 Validation criteria	42
7.3.5 Uncertainties quantification.....	42

7.3.6	Deviations between operational, simulation and test environments	44
7.3.7	Deviations between operational, simulation and test models.....	44
8	Interpretation, use and publication of the simulation outputs	44
8.1	Interpretation of the simulation outputs.....	44
8.2	Use of the simulation outputs	45
8.3	Publication of the simulation outputs.....	46
9	Archiving.....	46
9.1	Definition and objective	46
9.2	Challenges involved in long-term storage	46
9.3	Archived data access scenarios	46
9.4	Archived data	47
9.5	Constraints.....	47
9.6	Challenges of archiving.....	48
9.7	Elements necessary for archiving modelling and simulation data.....	48
9.8	Long-term preservation of data and models	49
9.8.1	Technological obsolescence	49
9.8.2	Description of the data	49
9.8.3	Loss of formats.....	49
9.8.4	Verification of data to be archived	50
9.8.5	Validation of archived data.....	50
10	Configuration management for the simulation	50
10.1	Configuration management in short.....	50
10.2	Management of simulation data.....	51
10.3	Challenges involved in simulation data.....	51
10.4	Application of configuration management.....	51
10.5	Main differences with PLM.....	52
Annex A (informative)	Example of a simulation plan template.....	53
Annex B (informative)	Example of a criticality assessment matrix	56
Annex C (informative)	Example of a data non-regression validation.....	58
Annex D (informative)	Example of sections in an analysis report.....	59
Bibliography		62

prEN 9247:2025 (E)

European foreword

This document (prEN 9247:2025) has been prepared by ASD-STAN.

After enquiries and votes carried out in accordance with the rules of this Association, this document has received the approval of the National Associations and the Official Services of the member countries of ASD-STAN, prior to its presentation to CEN.

This document is currently submitted to the CEN Enquiry.

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

[oSIST prEN 9247:2025](#)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/8667f1d9-428a-4ecc-86fc-2332acf4f916/osist-pren-9247-2025>

Introduction

This document has been drawn up in response to an identified need, which is widely shared among aerospace and defence industry, to have a document laying down recommendations and best practices for ensuring the fidelity and robustness of the outputs of physical behaviour simulations.

Through their recommendations, the experts wish to promote to decision-makers the use of calculations and simulations in all stages of the product lifecycle (from the preliminary project stage to the use stage).

iTeh Standards

(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)

Document Preview

[oSIST prEN 9247:2025](#)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/8667f1d9-428a-4ecc-86fc-2332acf4f916/osist-pren-9247-2025>