



SLOVENSKI STANDARD
SIST EN 18168:2026

01-maj-2026

Zunanji zrak - Biomonitoring z višjimi rastlinami - Metoda standardizirane izpostavljenosti ljuljke

Ambient air - Biomonitoring with higher plants - Method of the standardized rye-grass exposure

Außenluft - Biomonitoring mit Höheren Pflanzen - Verfahren der standardisierten Weidelgras-Exposition

Air ambiant - Biosurveillance à l'aide de plantes supérieures - Méthode de l'exposition normalisée de ray-grass

Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: EN 18168:2026

ICS:

13.040.20 Kakovost okoljskega zraka Ambient atmospheres

SIST EN 18168:2026

en,fr,de

Sample Document

get full document from standards.iteh.ai

EUROPEAN STANDARD

EN 18168

NORME EUROPÉENNE

EUROPÄISCHE NORM

April 2026

ICS 13.040.20

English Version

Ambient air - Biomonitoring with higher plants - Method of the standardized rye-grass exposure

Air ambiant - Biosurveillance à l'aide de plantes
supérieures - Méthode de l'exposition normalisée de
ray-grass

Außenluft - Biomonitoring mit Höheren Pflanzen -
Verfahren der standardisierten Weidelgras-Exposition

This European Standard was approved by CEN on 23 February 2026.

CEN members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration. Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre or to any CEN member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CEN member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Türkiye and United Kingdom.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels

Contents	Page
European foreword	4
Introduction	5
1 Scope	7
2 Normative references	7
3 Terms and definitions	8
4 Principle of the method	9
5 Test methods	10
5.1 Material	10
5.1.1 Grass species and cultivar	10
5.1.2 Substrate	10
5.1.3 Fertiliser solution	11
5.1.4 Water	11
5.1.5 Exposure device	11
5.2 Cultivation	12
5.3 Exposure	14
5.4 Exposure location	14
5.5 Exposure duration	15
6 Sampling and handling of samples	15
6.1 General	15
6.2 Sampling	15
6.3 Transport	16
6.4 Preparation of the samples	16
6.5 Storage	16
7 Documentation	16
8 Data handling and data reporting	17
8.1 Performance characteristics	17
8.2 Study design and data handling/reporting in dependence on the required explanatory power of the study	17
9 Quality control and quality assurance	17
9.1 Control of the plant material	17
9.2 Requirements for the exposure locations	17
9.3 Requirements for the sample quantity	17
9.4 Analytical requirements	17
10 Presentation of measured data	18
11 Assessment	18
11.1 General	18
11.2 Reference values for comparison	18
11.3 Threshold values	18
Annex A (informative) Recommended upper limits for element concentrations in substrate	20
Annex B (informative) Examples of exposure devices	22

Annex C (informative) Plates: cultivation and sampling	26
Annex D (informative) Sample preparation (before analysis)	27
Annex E (informative) Examples of protocols for documentation	28
Annex F (informative) Study design, data analysis and interpretation	30
Annex G (informative) Reference data	35
Bibliography	40

Sample Document

get full document from standards.iteh.ai

EN 18168:2026 (E)**European foreword**

This document (EN 18168:2026) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/TC 264 "Air Quality", the secretariat of which is held by DIN.

This European Standard shall be given the status of a national standard, either by publication of an identical text or by endorsement, at the latest by October 2026, and conflicting national standards shall be withdrawn at the latest by October 2026.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any feedback and questions on this document should be directed to the users' national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found on the CEN website.

According to the CEN-CENELEC Internal Regulations, the national standards organisations of the following countries are bound to implement this European Standard: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Türkiye and the United Kingdom.

Sample Document

get full document from standards.iteh.ai

Introduction

The impact of air pollution is of growing importance worldwide. Local and regional assessment is necessary as a first step to collect fundamental information, which can be used to avoid, prevent, and minimize harmful effects on human health and the environment as a whole. Biomonitoring can serve as a tool for such a purpose. As the effects on indicator organisms are a time-integrated result of complex influences combining both air quality and local climatic conditions, this holistic biological approach is considered particularly close to human and environmental health end points and thus is relevant to air quality management.

It is important to emphasize that biomonitoring data are completely different from those obtained through physico-chemical measurements (ambient concentrations and deposition) and computer modelling (emissions data). Biomonitoring provides evidence of the effects that airborne pollutants have on organisms. As such it reveals biologically relevant, field-based, time- and space-integrated indications of environmental health as a whole. Legislation states that there should be no harmful environmental effects from air pollution. Only by investigating the effects at the biological level can this requirement be met. The application of biomonitoring in air quality and environmental management requires rigorous standards and a recognized regime so that it can be evaluated in the same way as physico-chemical measurements and modelling in pollution management.

Biomonitoring is the traditional way through which environmental changes have been detected historically. Various standard works on biomonitoring provide an overview of the state of the science at the time, e.g. [1; 2; 3]. The first investigations of passive biomonitoring are documented in the middle of the 19th century: By monitoring the development of epiphytic lichens it was discovered that the lichens were damaged during the polluted period in winter and recovered and showed strong growth in summer [4]. These observations identified lichens as important bioindicators. Later investigations also dealt with bioaccumulators. An active biomonitoring procedure with bush beans was first initiated in 1899 [5].

Biomonitoring and EU-legislation

Biomonitoring methods in terrestrial environments respond to a variety of requirements and objectives of EU environmental policy primarily in the fields of air quality (Directive (EU) 2024/2881 on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe, [6]), integrated pollution prevention and control (IPPC; Directive 2010/75/EU, [7]) and conservation (92/43/EEC on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora, [8]). The topics food chain [9] and animal feed [10; 11; 12] are alluded to as well.

For air quality in Europe, the legislator requires adequate monitoring of air quality, including pollution deposition as well as avoidance, prevention, or reduction of harmful effects. Biomonitoring methods appertain to the scope of short-term and long-term air quality assessment.

Directive (EU) 2024/2881 [6] states that “the use of bio indicators shall be considered where regional patterns of the impact on ecosystems are to be assessed [...]”.

Concerning IPPC from industrial installations, the permit procedure includes two particular environmental conditions for setting adequate emission limit values. The asserted concepts of “effects” and “sensitivity of the local environment” open a broad field for biomonitoring methods, in relation to the general impact on air quality and the deposition of operational-specific pollutants. The basic properties of biomonitoring methods can be used advantageously for various applications such as reference inventories prior to the start of a new installation, the mapping of the potential pollution reception areas and (long-term) monitoring of the impact caused by industrial activity. The environmental inspection of installations demands the examination of the full range of environmental effects. For the public authority, biomonitoring data contribute to the decision-making process, e.g. concerning the question of tolerance of impacts at the local scale.

EN 18168:2026 (E)

The Habitats Directive [8] requires competent authorities to consider or review planning permission and other activities affecting a site designated at the European level where the integrity of the site could be adversely affected. The Directive also provides for the control of potentially damaging operations, whereby consent may only be granted once it has been shown through appropriate assessment that the proposed operation will not adversely affect the integrity of the site. The responsibility lies with the applicant to demonstrate that there is no adverse effect on such a conservation area. For this purpose, biomonitoring is well suited as a non-intrusive form of environmental assessment.

As an important element within its integrated environmental policy, in 2003 the European Commission adopted a European Environment and Health Strategy [13] with the overall aim of reducing diseases caused by environmental factors in Europe. In chapter 5 of this document, it is stated that the “community approach entails the collection and linking of data on environmental pollutants in all the different environmental compartments (including the cycle of pollutants) and in the whole ecosystem (bio-indicators) to health data (epidemiological, toxicological, morbidity)”. The European Environment and Health Action Plan 2004-2010 [14] which followed the adoption of this strategy focuses on human biomonitoring, but emphasizes the need to “develop integrated monitoring of the environment, including food, to allow the determination of relevant human exposure”.

Development of the standardized grass culture

The method of standardized grass cultures can be used to detect air pollution induced accumulation of inorganic and organic substances in plants. It allows for the determination of the temporal and spatial distribution of pollution and the assessment of risks to plants, animals, and humans. This document standardises the method and minimizes confounding impacts of factors which affect the uptake of pollutants into the standardized grass cultures.

The methodology was originally developed in the Ruhr area in Germany in the late 1960s [15; 16] to determine the burden of fluorides, lead, cadmium, and zinc pollution in a heavily industrialized and densely populated region. In 1978, VDI 3792-1 [17] was published which described the cultivation of grass cultures and the standardized set up of plant containers in the field. In 1982 and 1985 additional VDI standards on the determination of fluorides and lead concentrations in grass cultures followed [18; 19]. In 2003, the standard series on grass culture was completely revised and consolidated in VDI 3957-2 (current version see [20]). The current version also includes persistent organic and inorganic compounds.

Meanwhile, the feasibility of the original method has been tested and approved in eight European countries within the European network for the assessment of air quality by the use of bioindicator plants [21]. The results of this EU project confirm that the method can be applied across large climatic gradients and that it is well suited for comparison of air pollution effects in different countries [22]. Based on these experiences, the grass culture method has also been adopted as a standard in France [23]. The present document is primarily based on the above-mentioned national standards and it has been jointly edited by experts from seven European countries.

1 Scope

This document gives guidance on the procedure for the bioaccumulation of substances liable to cause atmospheric pollution. This is done by using the grass species *Lolium multiflorum* ssp. *italicum* designated hereafter as Italian rye-grass. It is an active biomonitoring approach insofar as the plants used are first cultivated in set conditions before being exposed at the monitoring locations in the field. The plants then record any pollution events that occur while they are being exposed, allowing such events to be accurately dated.

The document specifies a method for identification and localization of one or more single pollution sources and the tracking of their “plume” on a local or regional scale. The method described also offers a tool to monitor sites in the long term by the repeated application of a clearly defined procedure and to describe the local or regional air pollution situation.

The method described in this document is applicable to solid and gaseous substances deposited on plants, where they can accumulate on their surface or in their tissues. These substances include sulphur, chloride, fluoride and especially metals as well as low volatile organic and halo-organic compounds such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB), polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDE), polychlorinated dibenzo dioxins (PCDD) and polychlorinated dibenzo furans (PCDF). It is as well possible to verify pesticides which are used in plant protection products. The range of potential substances can be expanded according to the task at hand and the capabilities of conducting trace analyses and assessment.

The method described in this document allows spatial and temporal comparisons and allows for screening, thus providing a first indication of risk. The results of grass culture studies can suggest risks to biota (e.g. via the food chain) which require further investigation.

The method described in this document does not replace physico-chemical methods of direct measurement or modelling of air pollutants and cannot be replaced by them for its part; it complements them by indicating biological effects.

Potential areas of deployment are:

- permit procedures related to air pollution legislation;
- preservation of evidence related to the code for protection from pollution;
- monitoring of emission sources and performance control;
- assessment of local-scale emission transport;
- evidence of causation, e.g. related to environmental liability;
- air quality maintenance plans/strategies;
- long-term monitoring of ecological effects of atmospheric depositions;
- detection and assessment of local, regional, and countrywide effects of atmospheric depositions;
- assessment of risks for humans and/or animals via the food chain.

This document is of interest to those involved in environmental monitoring.

2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.

EN 18168:2026 (E)

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- IEC Electropedia: available at <https://www.electropedia.org/>
- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>

3.1

biomonitoring

use of biological systems (organisms and organism communities) to monitor environmental change over space and/or time

Note 1 to entry: Biological systems can be further considered as bioindicators.

Note 2 to entry: Active biomonitoring refers to deliberate field exposure following a standardized methodology; passive biomonitoring refers to *in situ*-sampling and/or observation of selected bioindicators currently or previously present in the environment.

[SOURCE: EN 16789:2016 [24], definition 2.1]

3.2

bioindicator

organism or a part of it or an organism community (biocoenosis) which documents environmental impacts

Note 1 to entry: It encompasses bioaccumulators and response indicators.

[SOURCE: EN 16789:2016 [24], definition 2.2]

3.3

bioaccumulator

organism which can indicate environmental conditions and their modification by accumulating substances present in the environment (air, water, or soil) at the surface and/or internally

[SOURCE: EN 16789:2016 [24], definition 2.3]

3.4

response indicator

effect indicator

organism which can indicate environmental conditions and their modification by either showing specific symptoms (molecular, biochemical, cellular, physiological, anatomical, or morphological) or by its presence/absence in the ecosystem

[SOURCE: EN 16789:2016 [24], definition 2.4]

3.5

blank sample

sample of grass taken from pots prior to the exposure in the field

3.6**background level**

concentration of a substance in samples exposed and/or collected in a part of the study area, where no emission source has a local influence

Note 1 to entry: To help characterize the background deposition either measured or modelled data can be used.

Note 2 to entry: Emission sources might be industry, households, agriculture, or transport.

3.7**effect**

response of organisms or a set of organisms (biocoenosis) to physical and chemical conditions of the environment

Note 1 to entry: That includes changes in the chemical composition of the bioindicator.

3.8**study area**

geographical area considered by the study

Note 1 to entry: It shall be described in detail in terms of extent, land use classification and altitudinal range.

[SOURCE: EN 16789:2016 [24], definition 2.7]

4 Principle of the method

Standardized grass cultures are cultures of Italian rye-grass in plant pots, which are exposed to ambient air in an investigation area, and which can accumulate chemical compounds from the atmosphere. Each culture is exposed for a certain period and is subsequently analysed for deposited substance accumulation. In each study period or growing season several grass cultures are sequentially exposed at each location so that the temporal sequence of the pollution load can be determined, and the results can be verified statistically. The ability of grass cultures to accumulate inorganic and organic pollutants (e.g. heavy metals, fluorides, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, and dioxins) was proven in numerous studies [23; 25; 26; 27; 28; 29; 30; 31; 32; 33; 34].

As grass cultures grow, their leaf surface area and biomass will change. In addition, varying climatic conditions can affect growth and surface properties. These influence their ability to accumulate substances from the air, while the concentration of absorbed compounds is diluted by the growth. There are also processes by which substances are removed (e.g. abrasion, volatilisation). The proximity of a pollution event to the sampling date will have an important influence on the concentration recorded in the plant material, thus an event occurring just before harvest will produce a stronger signal than the one occurring just after the start of exposure. Through repeated exposure of grass cultures on one location it is possible to get temporally integrated information on the pollution effects over the course of a study period.

The use of grass cultures in active monitoring has the following advantages over passive monitoring using plants growing *in situ*:

- it is easier to identify pollutant accumulation in grass cultures than in plants growing *in situ*, because the initial concentrations at the start of exposure are known;
- because of the standardization, grass cultures are at the same stage of development and have the same conditions (genetic composition, soil, nutrient and water supply) at all locations; therefore, results from different locations can be compared optimally;

EN 18168:2026 (E)

- a possible pollution effect can be assigned to a certain time period accurately;
- the monitoring locations can be chosen freely;
- due to the higher accumulation potential of the grass culture compared to grassland vegetation, the standardized grass culture is an early-warning indicator.

The use of grass cultures as biomonitors depends critically on whether they represent accumulations in other plants. In the case of various heavy metals and fluorides a very close positive correlation has been found between pollution-induced accumulation in grass cultures and plants growing *in situ* (e.g. vegetables) [35; 36; 37; 38]. The ratio will vary according to the situation and the vegetation type but increased concentrations in the grass culture can indicate a potential risk.

In contrast to physico-chemical measurements of pollution concentrations or deposition rates, standardized grass cultures as representatives of the protected resource vegetation detect the portion of air pollutants absorbed or adsorbed by the plants. This makes the effect caused by pollutants directly assessable. In addition, there are lipophilic organic substances that can accumulate in the plant but are much more difficult to measure using physico-chemical methods such as bulk samplers. Just as for the vegetation at a location, the portion of pollutants causing an effect depends strongly, amongst others, on weather conditions, which are not reflected in physico-chemical measurements. Therefore, there is usually no direct relationship to results from measurements of pollution concentrations and deposition rates [39; 40; 41; 42].

5 Test methods**5.1 Material****5.1.1 Grass species and cultivar**

Italian rye-grass (*Lolium multiflorum* Lam. ssp. *italicum*) shall be used for the method described. For the choice of a specific cultivar, the following prerequisites shall be fulfilled:

- adapted to the local climate situation;
- admitted in the respective country;
- suitable biomass, but not fast-growing;
- short-growing.

Once a cultivar has been chosen, it shall be used continuously to ensure comparability.

Examples of cultivars tested and regularly used:

- in Austria: cultivar “Gemini”;
- in France: cultivar “Bio Mowestra”;
- in Germany: cultivars “Balance” and “Gemini”.

For annual exposure periods, the grass seeds shall be from a single batch of the same origin or brand.

5.1.2 Substrate

Care should be taken to use a standardized soil mixture as substrate for the grass cultures which is available in a large area (e.g. nationally) so that the same substrate can be used in other programmes. It